

OFFICE OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA

Prescott, Arizona

October 16, 2000

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session on October 16, 2000.

Present: Chip Davis, Chairman; John Olsen, Member; Bev Staddon, Clerk.

Absent: Gheral Brownlow, Vice Chairman, due to scheduled vacation.

Also present: Jim Holst, County Administrator; Dave Hunt, Board Attorney/Assistant County Administrator.

Due to Supervisor Brownlow's absence, when the phrase "approved by unanimous vote" is used throughout the minutes it is understood to mean "approved by unanimous vote of those present."

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: Marisa Byrnes, a 6<sup>th</sup> Grader at Big Park Elementary School.

ITEM NO. 1. Board of Supervisors.

1. Approve minutes of meeting of October 2, 2000 and special meeting of September 26, 2000. Each was approved as written, by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Olsen, second by Chairman Davis.
2. Recognition of citizen accomplishments: Chairman Davis presented to certificates of appreciation to all of the persons or organizations listed below:
  - a. Joan McClelland, Village of Oak Creek (Big Park), for helping the County find and correct a major inaccuracy in the way the Arizona Department of Transportation was assigning vehicle license taxes, resulting in approximately \$250,000 in vehicle license taxes being returned to the County. After explaining that because Ms. McClelland had been tenacious in reporting to the County that her vehicle had been erroneously registered in Coconino County for two years and that her actions had ultimately led to the County receiving an additional \$250,000 in vehicle license taxes per year, Chairman Davis presented her with a certificate and a gift from the Board.
  - b. Dave Dumas, Mingus High School Building Trades and Northern Arizona Council of Governments First Place for Youth Leadership Development – Governor's Excellence in Rural Development Awards 2000. NACOG Economic and Workforce Development Executive Director Teri Drew explained that NACOG had received this award for its partnering efforts with the high school. She introduced Mr. Dumas, who explained that the program provided opportunities for high school students to become familiar with construction trades. Mr. Dumas introduced Duane Badger, a CAD teacher at the high school, recognizing him for his assistance with the program. Ms. Drew then presented certificates to the following individuals in recognition of their involvement in the program: Ben Walsh, Ben Walsh Concrete; Frank Gordon and Joe Stanke, Building Inspectors for the City of Cottonwood; Ron Glazer and Pat Krose, Glazer Construction.
  - c. Tony Gioia and Chris Moran, Water Advisory Committee, First Place for Leadership, Governor's Excellence in Rural Development Awards 2000. Ms. Moran provided background information regarding the Water Advisory Committee. Chairman Davis and Supervisor Olsen both expressed their pleasure with the WAC, saying that it had brought both sides of the County together and that it was an example of Yavapai County taking the lead statewide.
  - d. Joanne Johnson and Dick Kane, Verde Valley School Road Median Project, Honorable Mention in the Community Development/Infrastructure category of the Governor's Excellence in Rural Development Awards 2000. Chairman Davis explained that Ms. Johnson and Mr. Kane had been involved in a citizen effort landscape the median on Verde Valley School Road, raising more than \$11,000 for the project and involving numerous volunteers to do the work. He described the effort as a wonderful example of citizens taking control of their community.
  - e. Kate Blevins, Verde Valley Recycling Coalition and Sedona Recycles, Inc., Honorable Mention in Community Development/Infrastructure category of the Governor's Excellence in Rural Development Awards 2000. Chairman Davis noted that the recycling program included all of the communities in the Verde Valley, both incorporated and unincorporated. Ms. Blevins briefly explained the program. Supervisor Olsen expressed interest in the County becoming involved in a campaign to encourage people to take trash to central locations rather than throwing it out onto the County's highways and roads.
3. Discussion and possible action regarding effect of rescinding tax rate for the bond portion of the Mingus Union High School District tax levy for FY 2000-2001. Mr. Holst explained that the County contracts with the Arizona Department of Revenue to print and mail tax bills, and that although the tax rate for the bond debt service for the school district had been included in the information given by the County to DOR and subsequently confirmed by DOR as having been received, when the tax bills were printed that tax rate was not

included. He said it was the County's intent to place a claim with the State of Arizona for the costs to the school district and the County as a result of this error. He said the claim could be placed once the costs were determined, and that the costs would have to do with the amount of interest that would not be received by the school district which might create a cash flow problem for the school district which the County would have to cover. He added that the tax rate for this year would have to be included in next year's tax levy. Upon a motion by Supervisor Olsen, seconded by Chairman Davis, the Board voted unanimously to authorize submission of a claim to the state at the appropriate time. No comments from the public.

4. Consider approval of items appearing on the Consent Agenda and on the Consent Agenda for Special Districts. Approved by unanimous vote, with the exception of item 4.b. under Consent Agenda for Special Districts. Motion by Supervisor Olsen, second by Chairman Davis. No comments from the public.

ITEM NO. 2. Northern Arizona Council of Government District Director Teri Drew. Report on Economic Development Assessments. Ms. Drew provided the Board with a brief overview of the economic development program, saying that a multi-agency economic development document had been prepared, that \$1.5 million had been received for Yavapai College for a regional vocational skills center in the Verde Valley, and that NACOG was also working with the Prescott Valley Economic Development Foundation on an incubator program for new businesses. Chairman Davis and Supervisor Olsen commended Ms. Drew and NACOG on a job well done.

ITEM NO. 3. Resolve into the Yavapai County Board of Equalization. Petition for appeal for proposed correction, Prescott Country Club Acq., Represented by Joy Gomez, B&G Property Tax Association, Parcel No. 402-14-046N. Reference: Board of Equalization minutes.

ITEM NO. 4. Resolve into Board of Directors of Sun-Up Ranch Road Improvement District. Reference: Special district minutes.

1. Approve minutes of meetings of June 5, July 3 and August 7, 2000.
2. ARCADIS Geraghty & Miller, Inc. Project Manager Chris Turner, P.E. and George Flanagan, P.E.. Update on engineering work.

ITEM NO. 5. Public Works Director Richard Straub.

1. Hearing: Consider approval of water franchise agreement with Arizona Water Company for an expansion in service area. Robert W. Geake, representing Arizona Water Company, and Deputy County Attorney Randy Schurr participated in discussion. Following clarification from Mr. Geake that the legal description of the water company's franchise boundaries were included in the franchise agreement, and clarification from Mr. Schurr that regulations for the placing or repair of water lines was addressed in both the franchise agreement and by County ordinance, the Board voted unanimously to approve the franchise agreement. Motion by Supervisor Olsen, second by Chairman Davis. No comments from the public.
2. Hearing: Consider approving a resolution to establish Sexton Ranch Road as a County highway. Resolution No. 1268 was approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Chairman Davis, second by Supervisor Olsen. No comments from the public.
3. Announcement regarding Beaverhead Flat Road groundbreaking ceremony. Mr. Straub announced that a groundbreaking ceremony for Beaverhead Flat Road would be held on this day at 1:00 p.m. He noted that construction of this road was an approximately \$4.1 million project that had been on the County's half-cent sales tax project list for quite some time.

ITEM NO. 6. Planning & Building Director Mike Rozycki. Planning and zoning. Assistant Planning & Building Director Enalo Lockard presented all items. Planning & Zoning Commission member Helmut Woellmer was present to represent the Commission.

1. Special use permit for storage and habitation of four RVs in an RCU-2A zoning district in conjunction with an existing temporary construction yard, 405-23-006, Beaver Creek area, Tiffany Construction Co. agent for Charles and Patricia McDonald and Clyde and Sonya McDonald, #6857. Consideration of a Special Use Permit to allow the storage and habitation of four recreational vehicles in an RCU-2A (Residential; Rural, minimum two [2] acres lot size) zoning district as part of and in conjunction with an existing temporary construction yard. Located on a parcel approximately forty (40) acres on the east and west side of Cornville Road approximately one (1) mile from its' intersection with Interstate 17 in the Beaver Creek Community Plan area. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of the Special Use Permit subject to the

following stipulations: 1). Development in conformance with the Letter of Intent dated August 2, 2000 and the Site Plan received August 3, 2000; 2). Use Permit to be granted for a five (5) year period; 3). Use Permit to be nontransferable; 4). The recreational vehicles shall meet the minimum setback requirements of the RCU-2A zoning district including a ten (10) feet building separation; 5). The recreational vehicles shall be served by an adequate sewage disposal system approved by the Environmental Services Department; 6). The recreational vehicles shall remain in operable condition and shall not be attached to the ground; 7). The recreational vehicles shall be occupied by the Applicant's employees only and shall never be rented to other persons; 8). The recreational vehicles shall not exceed four (4) in number and have current registration tags; 9). If a house is built on the premises, the RV's shall be removed before the house is occupied. In response to a question from Chairman Davis, applicant's agent Herb Tiffany said he had no problem with the stipulations being recommended. Upon a motion by Supervisor Olsen, seconded by Chairman Davis, the Board voted unanimously to approve the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission. No comments from the public.

2. Special use permit to allow rehabilitation of an existing mobile home in an R1-12 zoning district, 408-31-012A, Sedona area, Eva Goodrich, #7095. Consideration of a Special Use Permit to allow the rehabilitation of an existing mobile home located on a single family residential lot upon which a site built home is also located in an R1-12 [Residential; Single Family, twelve thousand (12,000) square feet minimum lot size]. Located on a parcel approximately one and one-half (1.50) acres on the west side of Rocky Road near its' intersection with Upper Red Rock Loop Road in the Red Rock Dry Creek Community Plan area. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended denial of the Special Use Permit. Mr. Lockard told the Board that staff had received a telephone call earlier on this day from the applicant, who had stated that the mobile home was being moved off the property on this day. Upon a motion by Supervisor Olsen, seconded by Chairman Davis, the Board voted unanimously to approve the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission.
3. Zoning map change from RCU-2A to PAD together with preliminary site plan, Deer Pass Ranch on Oak Creek, 407-25-001, 002A, 002D, 002E, 003 and 006, Page Springs area, Steve Biasini, Biasini/Bryar Architects agent for Ron Peterson, #7064B. Consideration of a Zoning Map Change from RCU-2A (Residential; Rural, two [2] acres minimum lot size) to a PAD (Planned Area Development) together with a Preliminary Site Plan to allow the development of a resort type facility with fifty-two (52) single family units, equestrian facility, spa/fitness facility and clubhouse with food service/dining area on approximately seventy-one (71) acres. Located on Deer Pass Road (Forest Service Road 89B) approximately two (2) miles from its' intersection with State Highway 89A in the Page Springs area approximately three (3) miles southwest of Sedona and approximately eight (8) miles northeast of Cottonwood. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of the Zoning Map Change subject to the following stipulations: 1). Submittal of a Final Site Plan/Preliminary Plat within two (2) years of the Board of Supervisors approval that is in general conformance with the Preliminary Site Plan dated May 30, 2000 and the supporting Letters of Intent dated May 26, May 31 and the update dated August 22, 2000; 2). Installation of road improvements in conformance with Resolution 1036 and approved by the County Engineer prior to commencement of use with a final traffic impact analysis to support the level of improvements needed. Road improvements to include accommodation as required to intersect Hwy 89A per ADOT requirements and in compliance with US Forest Service NEPA requirements; 3). Waiver of a portion of Resolution 1036 to allow twenty-two (22) feet of pavement with two (2) foot shoulders where twenty-four (24) feet of pavement and four (4) foot shoulders would be required for a residential street. Approval of this waiver will mean that the roads shall remain private and will not be maintained by Yavapai County; 4). Yavapai County Environmental Services Department's and/or Arizona Department of Environmental Quality's approval of sanitary facilities prior to commencement of use, and made available to existing residences on out parcels; 5). Submittal of a complete outdoor lighting package in conjunction with a Final Site Plan application for review and approval by the Planning and Zoning Commission and Board of Supervisors; 6). Signage to conform to RCU Zoning District and subdivision standards; 7). A Landscaping Plan be submitted in conjunction with a Final Site Plan application for review and approval by the Planning and Zoning Commission and the Board of Supervisors; 8). Submittal and approval by the Flood Control District of a Grading and Drainage Plan in conformance with the Yavapai County Drainage Criteria Manual; 9). Approval of Fire Suppression Plan by the Cornville Page Springs Fire District prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy as set out in their letter dated September 2, 1999; 10). The development shall comply with the requests made by the United States Forest Service in its letter dated September 2, 2000 and clarified in their July 5, 2000 letter regarding fencing, access, road and NEPA requirements along with resolution of encroachment issues; 11). Bridge construction to comply with all applicable County Engineer, Flood Control District, Army Corp of Engineers/Clean Water permits and or other requirements needed to span Oak Creek; 12). Future submittals to address how police/security issues will be handled for this project; 13). Applicant to work with Trans Verde Trails Coalition to formally

recognize trails/trail heads adjacent to the site in collaboration with the USFS; 14). That reference to lodge on the plan is actually intended for the clubhouse and it is understood that there will be no guest accommodations therein. This is a residential PAD with no Commercial uses allowed and the recreational amenities/facilities are for the exclusive use of the residents and their guests; 15). Sales office only for the initial sales of units to individual owners and will cease once developer has completed all 52 unit sales; 16). Applicant to obtain from the Arizona Department of Water Resources a letter stating that the water supply is adequate prior to approval and recordation of a Final Plat; 17). CC&R's be developed and to address rental of units in not less than 30 day periods. Mr. Lockard described the proposed project as 52 single-family homesites on 71 acres, which he said appeared to him to be very upscale with prices in the half million dollar range. He said that the proposal called for the development of 15 acres, with the remaining acreage to be used as open space. Mr. Lockard told the Board the entire site was surrounded by Forest Service property and that the applicant would have to go through the NEPA process for road access and to build a bridge over Oak Creek. In response to a question from Supervisor Olsen, Mr. Lockard said the applicant would have to meet County standards as well as Corps of Engineer standards with regard to the bridge. Deputy County Attorney Randy Schurr said this was different from what the County normally sees because the applicant was proposing a private road, and that upon final approval the Board might want to look at the standards with the idea that the County might want to maintain the bridge. He added that the bridge would have to meet 25-year performance criteria. Chairman Davis asked if the Board could require 100-year performance criteria. Mr. Schurr responded that it could, adding that the Army Corps of Engineers' interest would be related to the Clean Water Act and whether construction of the bridge would cause pollution downstream. Chairman Davis asked if the project would have secondary access. Mr. Schurr said that was not proposed. Mr. Lockard said the Commission and Board could waive some requirements, such as secondary access. He said that the applicants had requested some waivers in light of the fact that they would improving two miles of road and putting in a bridge. He added that the bridge over Oak Creek would not have the same impact as a bridge over Oak Creek at Red Rock Crossing. Chairman Davis asked what would happen to residents if flooding occurred and they were unable to get out of the subdivision. Mr. Lockard responded that the applicant planned to have on-site emergency personnel. Applicant's agent Bruce Burkson told the Board that the applicant had been prepared to build a 24-foot wide County-approved road, but that the Forest Service had informed the applicant that it would like to see as little impact as possible. He said the applicant would love to build the road to County standards and have the County maintain it, but the Forest Service had asked for minimal impact. Mr. Burkson said that with regard to the bridge, the applicant would be replacing an existing bridge that is a safety hazard. He added that the Cornville-Page Springs Fire District had asked if the applicant could build a facility on the site to house one of the District's pump trucks, and that the applicant had agreed to do that. Area resident Ron Snap said he was a fire fighter with the Sedona Fire District who hoped to become a resident in the new subdivision and that he also hoped to go to work for the Cornville-Page Springs Fire District. Mr. Burkson said that the entire project was located above the 100-year floodway. Chairman Davis asked if the Highway 89A Access Management Plan called for relocation of the intersection of Deer Pass Road. Mr. Burkson said that was correct, and that the applicant had offered to pay for acceleration and deceleration lanes at that point but had not heard back from ADOT. He said the applicant wanted to be a good citizen of the County and be a blueprint of what future subdivisions will have to do. Chairman Davis said he had recently toured the Cornville-Page Springs Fire District facilities and did not think the District had an abundance of equipment. Mr. Burkson replied that he had spoken to Doug Longfellow and that Mr. Longfellow had told him if the applicant would build the facility the District would house a pump truck there. Chairman Davis asked if the applicant was willing to put in the deed restrictions that there would be no local law enforcement and that the subdivision would be responsible for it. Mr. Burkson said the applicant could do that. He said security cameras would be installed, and that the effort was to make the project appeal to homeowners who require security. Chairman Davis asked how fire personnel would reach the subdivision if a fire was to erupt. Mr. Burkson responded that currently fire personnel would have to travel over two miles of dirt road and a low water crossing. He said the applicant would be paving the road and replacing the existing bridge with a safe structure. He added that the applicant had been working with staff and fire personnel to design the road and that the Forest Service, which he said currently has no access across the applicant's property, would obtain legal access as part of a maintenance agreement. Chairman Davis noted that the applicant had already purchased two sewer treatment plants and moved them to the site. He asked if the applicant was second-guessing that the Board would approve the zoning map change. Mr. Burkson said the applicant was not second-guessing the Board. He said the applicant knew that if approval was received the sewer plants would be needed. He said the applicant had an opportunity to purchase the sewer plants at a very low price and did so knowing that the zoning map change might not be approved

and that he would have to turn around and sell the plants. Chairman Davis noted that the Planning & Zoning Commission had discussed the use of CC&Rs as a "hammer", but that CC&Rs were not enforceable by the County. Mr. Lockard said that was correct, and that the Commission had felt it would be easier if homeowners knew up front what was and was not allowable. Mr. Schurr said his preference would be to have the road and the bridge built to County standards, and that Public Works had initially indicated it would not recommend approval because of the proposed road width. He reminded the Board that on this day it was being asked only to approve the zoning map change and that it would have an opportunity to review access issues and other subdivision regulation issues in the future. Mr. Schurr said he would urge the applicant to meet with Public Works and with the Forest Service to see if there was an acceptable approach to the issue. He said the applicant might want to dedicate a helipad, and that the Board might want to require that structures in the subdivision be sprinklered. He said that the County reserved the right to review those issues as part of the subdivision process. Chairman Davis said he had some concerns about the amount of responsibility that would be placed on the property owners' association. He said he understood that the subdivision was for people from Manhattan and that it did not sound to him like people were going to be full-time residents. He asked who was going to be part of the property owners' association. Chairman Davis noted that the average price of a home in the subdivision was projected to be more than \$600,000 and asked how the Planning & Zoning Commission thought that would address the differences between the best and poorest in housing in the County. Mr. Burkson said many things had been taken out of context with regard to who would be purchasing homes in the subdivision. He said there were concerns about high density, but that it was relative because someone moving to the area from a high-rise in Manhattan would think they were moving to the Ponderosa. He said the cost was not an issue, and that it was a question of availability. He said that with regard to enforcement of CC&Rs, a person who purchases property in the subdivision would have total control over enforcement of the CC&Rs. He said the applicant would apply a more stringent rental program than what the County currently allows, and that each property would be sold to only one owner. In response to a question from Supervisor Olsen, Mr. Burkson said the project was comprised of 71.2 acres and that the ideal concept for the homes would be duplex-type units and that they would sell for about \$670,000. Supervisor Olsen commented that property owners who have half a million dollars invested would be very interested in maintaining their property. He said he did not believe there would be a problem with the area deteriorating, and that he had no problem with the zoning map change because it was just a preliminary step. He said that any private property existing in the County was subject to development, and added that he did not know what would happen if Proposition 202 passed in November. Mr. Burkson noted that the proposed project would actually eliminate some existing septic systems in the area, saying that currently there are 11 homes in the area dumping sewage into Oak Creek. He added that instead of requesting a PAD the applicant also had the option of lot-splitting the property. Chairman Davis asked how the Arizona Department of Real Estate would view a subdivision that has access from another entity. Mr. Schurr responded that it could be an issue because of the possibility that the permit for access could be revoked if conditions are not met. He said that if the Forest Service did not transfer the permit from the applicant to the property owners' association, the applicant would be responsible for the road. Mr. Burkson asked the Board if it would like the road to be a County road, saying the applicant would be happy to engineer the road so the County could be responsible for it and that the applicant's initial intent was to build the road to County standards. Chairman Davis said he personally did not think the subject property was the right place for a resort and that there were road access and safety issues. He moved to deny the zoning map change. Supervisor Olsen said he would not second the motion, saying he believed the application should receive further consideration and a vote of the full Board. Chairman Davis' motion died for lack of a second. Mr. Schurr suggested that the Board continue the matter to the next Board meeting in the Verde Valley. Chairman Davis called for public comment. Jeff Golan, who said he owned property adjacent to the applicant's property and owned the Kachina Stables, told the Board he believed the applicant's proposal was a great use for the property and that he would welcome the project. Mr. Snap, who said he lived in Elmerville, also spoke in favor of the project. Sedona area resident Doris Baumgartner read a letter from Harry Easton of the Red Rock-Dry Creek Community Plan Committee commending the applicant for stopping a timeshare proposal for the property but expressing concerns about density and requesting that no commercial uses be allowed. Joanne Johnson of the Village of Oak Creek Association and the Big Park Regional Coordinating Council said her organizations were pleased that the applicant was planning to market the property for single-family homes and not for timeshares. She said many of her organizations' concerns had been addressed by the Commission's stipulations, but that there were still concerns about high density with 52 units on 71 acres and about the lack of a second access. She asked for reduced density and said that a resort-type atmosphere was excessive for the area, adding that there were also concerns about the County's ability to supervise this type of subdivision. Big Park

Domestic Wastewater Improvement District board member Ruth Kane said she found it hard to believe that this type of development could be approved without approval from the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality. Supervisor Olsen moved to hold this matter in abeyance until the Board's November 20, 2000, meeting in the Verde Valley. Chairman Davis said he would not second the motion. The motion died for lack of a second. Mr. Schurr said that no action by the Board would constitute no approval of the application because the Board had not approved the application and had not rescheduled it. Supervisor Olsen said this proposal had been in the works for a long time and that maybe the applicant could make some changes that could be approved. Mr. Burkson asked the Board if there was a level of density that the County could live with. He said the applicant was proposing so many programs that would provide a benefit and that to see the project killed because of density made no sense. He asked if there was some way to come to a meeting of minds. Chairman Davis said he thought RCU-2A zoning was fine. Mr. Burkson reiterated the benefits of the project, saying that four existing wells would be traded for one deep well, that water quality would be improved, that wildlife habitat would be created and that all the applicant was asking for was a little cooperation. He asked if it would be possible to compromise on 44 units instead of 52 units. Chairman Davis said he believed the property needed to remain residential, noting that there was no second access. Mr. Burkson said the applicant would provide a fully-engineered bridge, saying that if the applicant's bridge washed out so would the bridge at Tlaquepaque. He said that whatever the County wanted to see, the applicant would do it but needed a certain number of units to make the project economically feasible. Chairman Davis said he was set on RCU-2A zoning. Mr. Burkson asked if that meant that any increase above 35 or 36 units would be unacceptable, and if what the County wanted was 100 percentage coverage of the property instead of developing just a small portion of it. Chairman Davis said that in the Verde Valley Regional Plan all parties had agreed to not increase density outside cities and that the applicant's project would increase the density of the property by 48 percent and that there had been very little discussion about the other facilities related to the project, such as the gift shop, weight room, and the like. Mr. Woellmer told the Board that the only member of the Planning & Zoning Commission who had voted against the application was Curt Garner, and that Mr. Garner had voted against it because of concerns about density. Mr. Woellmer said he had not voted because he was acting as chairman but that his personal feeling was that the applicant's project was worth looking into and possibly extending the time to allow an opportunity for the parties to talk. He said he thought the applicant was out to prove what could be done in a responsible way and that he believed it was worth continuing a decision to a later date. Supervisor Olsen said he felt the same. Mr. Schurr noted that if there were significant revisions the proposal could come back. He said that if Proposition 202 passed, any increase above the two-acre zoning would be subject to unanimous consent of the Board.

Consent agenda for planning and zoning items, for which there were no protests at the Planning & Zoning Commission hearing, and which provides for acknowledgement of deferred or withdrawn items which have been advertised for hearing on this date.

1. Preliminary plat for creation and eventual conveyance of 53 single-family residential lots in an RCU-2A zoning district, 406-15-443K, Cottonwood area, Pender Engineering agent for Cottonwood Associates, L.L.C., #7108. *The Planning & Zoning Commission deferred this item to an unspecified date to allow the applicant additional time to work with staff to address the Commission's concerns. No action will be taken by the Board of Supervisors.*

CONSENT AGENDA FOR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS: All items were approved by unanimous vote, with no comment from the public. Motion by Supervisor Olsen, second by Chairman Davis.

1. Board of Supervisors:
  - a. Liquor licenses for which there are no protests and which is approved by the Sheriff: Series 12 Original, The Ranchhouse Beaver Creek, Lake Montezuma, Michael Allen Bishop. Series 7 Person Transfer, Verde Santa Fe Golf Course, Cottonwood area, Richard Raymond Ford.
  - b. Approve appointments to the Local Workforce Investment Board as recommended by the LWIB: Robert Armbruster, The Employment Network Magazine; and David Horn, Ace Hardware Retail Support Center, Prescott, both to represent the private sector.
  - c. Approve list of real estate held by the State by tax deed and set date and time for sale of property for December 1, 2000, at 9:00 a.m.
2. Requests from Sheriff for renewal of lease with Bert Teske for the Mayer Substation for a period of two years at \$700 per month.
3. Request from Recorder for permission to sell for \$1 an old AUS Jena 16/35 MM microfilm reader to the Northern Arizona Genealogical Society, an Arizona non-profit organization.
4. Requests from Elections Department:

- a. Designate polling places for the November 7, 2000, General Election, and to appoint poll workers, early board workers, central board workers and troubleshooters for the November 7, 2000, General Election.
- b. Consider approval for \$100,000 to be transferred from Contingency Fund to Elections.
- 5. Request from Facilities to approve awarding or rejecting bids for the Public Works security trailer. Bids opened October 10, 2000. One bid received, from Modular Solutions, in the amount of \$67,282.40. Recommend rejecting bid.
- 6. Request from Finance to approve appropriation transfers to cover departmental deficit balances and individual fund deficit balances for the year ended June 30, 2000.
- 7. Request from Health Department to approve Amendment No. 3 to subcontract with Samuel W. Downing, MD, which extends his role as medical director of the mobile clinic program through December 31, 2000.
- 8. Request from Fleet Management to go to bid to purchase ¾ ton diesel truck for Castle Hot Springs Grader Camp position, in the approximate amount of \$25,500 to be paid for from Motor Vehicles account.
- 9. Requests from Public Works:
  - a. Award or reject bids received for Supply or Supply and Deliver of Aggregate Base Course in Yavapai County, Contract #2009610. Bids opened October 3, 2000, with bids received from ACT Contracting, LLC; Dunbar Stone Co.; Earth Products, Inc.; Hanson Aggregates of Arizona, Inc. (Clarkdale and Wickenburg); Meadow Valley Contractors, Inc.; and Yavapai Apache Sand & Rock. Recommend awarding to all bidders at unit prices bid.
  - b. Consider approval of Change Order No. 1 with Phillips Three, Inc., for final quantity adjustments for project close-out, Installation of Guardrail at Various Locations in Yavapai County, Project #209889. Increase of \$4,483 to be paid for with grant funds.
  - c. Permission to enter into a right-of-way co-op on a portion of Verde Ranch Road, Paulden area.
  - d. Consider approval of Contract Extension with Hughes Supply, Inc. and Quail Piping Products, Inc., until October 17, 2001 at the unit prices bid, for Supply and Deliver Smooth Bore Polyethylene Pipe in Yavapai County, Contract #998840.
  - e. Consider approving a right-of-way acquisition project on Rincon Road and Scenic Loop Road in the Wickenburg area.
- 10. Approve vouchers.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR DIRECTORS OF YAVAPAI COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL, FREE LIBRARY, AND JAIL DISTRICTS, AND COUNTY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS: Reference: Special District minutes.

- 1. Resolve into the Boards of Directors of the Yavapai County Flood Control, Free Library District and Jail Districts and other County improvement districts as follows, for the purpose of approving vouchers: Coyote Springs Road Improvement District; Prescott East Sanitary District.
- 2. Resolve into the Board of Directors of the Coyote Springs Road Improvement District II.
  - a. Approve minutes of meeting of October 2, 2000.
  - b. Purchase additional 14-foot right-of-way along the west side of Coyote Springs Road from David and Diann Hickman; Craig and Bronte Casperson; and Ottis and Dolores Clark.
- 3. Resolve into the Board of Directors of the Yavapai County Flood Control District:
  - a. Approve minutes of meeting of October 2, 2000.
  - b. Consider approval of an intergovernmental agreement with the Town of Prescott Valley for flood mitigation assistance in the amount of \$220,200.
  - c. Request permission to purchase one new computer to replace an outdated system with budget funds in the amount of \$1,300.
- 4. Resolve into the Board of Directors of the Prescott East Sanitary District:
  - a. Approve minutes of meeting of September 5, 2000.
  - b. Consider awarding contract for the 8" sewer extension to the Watkins Companies, \$254,806. Bids also received from Rocky Mountain Underground L.L.C., base bid - \$309,974.00; Arizona Earthmovers, base bid - \$291,546.00.
- 5. Resolve into Board of Directors of the Yavapai County Jail District:
  - a. Approve minutes of meetings of June 5, July 3 and August 7, 2000.
  - b. Authorize staff to prepare a recommendation for financial services for the new Jail.

CLAIMS AGAINST YAVAPAI COUNTY

<u>ACCOUNT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ACCOUNT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
General Fund	1,110,490.18	Jail District	171,871.54
Dist. 2 Park Fund	75.00	Quail Ridge Park	4,147.51
Family Planning	3,589.77	Family Planning Fees	987.26
Home Health Ser	10,412.47	Health Promotion	1,640.98
Teen Pregnancy	0.00	Nutrition	1,807.12
T.B. Control	1,408.83	WIC Program	8,737.14
Jail Enhance	5,911.84	Diversion Intak	7,636.24
Juvenile IPS	12,269.49	Family Counseling	1,669.00

Juvenile Food Prog	1,074.60	Probation Serv	3,962.83
Adult IPS	23,226.49	Adult Probation Fees	14,137.86
Prob Enhance	24,130.08	Recorder's Surcharge	4,432.03
Indigent Def/Dg	1,455.34	Crim Just/Atty	4,669.05
Bad Check Prog	4,421.00	CDBG Grant	12,146.47
Juv Prob Sys	2,737.36	Commodity Fd	299.22
Azeip Case Mgmt	1,568.58	Yavapai Indian Agree	1,644.94
Sexual Trans Disease	51.17	Hi Risk Chld HI	1,705.89
Clerk's Storage	988.74	WIC/TOB Intervention	885.39
HIV Prevention	1,503.51	Atty Anti-Racket	34,887.59
PANT	2,655.04	Law Library	6,315.50
CASA	2,479.02	Cops in School	2,627.24
Azeip Coordinator	108.60	Vict Witns Prog	6,164.02
Court Enhancement	999.81	Concil Court	612.87
Workforce Inv. Bd	845.14	Child Proverty Book	6,775.89
Drug Enf Fndg	989.69	Vital Statistic	2,078.49
Youth Count	200.00	Victims Rights Impl	2,972.58
JAIBG Juv Acct	1,237.39	Yav Indian Agreement	438.00
Hassayampa/LTC	2,295.78	Dietetic Intern	774.83
Immuniz Service	1,525.82	Idea-Preschool	1,377.31
Subs Abuse/DARE	371.13	Chem Abuse	192.09
Family Drug Court	729.00	Juvenile Drug Court	1,723.12
Juv Det/PACE	128.12	Collab Comp Rev	420.31
Prevent Child Abuse	857.03	Special Program	8,535.99
Sm Schools Ecia	1,362.68	Sm Schools Beha	10,804.09
Public Works	451,269.77	Health Fund	43,928.48
Jail Commissary	13,706.43	Osteoporosis	301.73
Environ Svcs Di	62.50	W Yav Solid Waste	5,965.30
V V Landfill	45.43	Water Advisory Comm	2,738.08
Develop Clinic	568.98	Tire Recycle	1,862.18
Safe School Pro	4,988.71	Adhs-Svs Coord	508.48
Family Law Commiss.	3,868.58	Comm Punish Pro	741.93
Pace Chapter 1	5,393.09	Regnl Road Project	115,001.82
Gohs Safety Grant	1,221.94	Health Facil Program	0.00
Health Start	4,208.20	Victim Comp	202.28
Intstcomp Prog	2,062.49	Ryan White II	1,556.02
COPS More	4,593.03	Perinatal Block	2,866.70
Tobacco Educ	14,210.00	Equal Ad Det Ed	85.40
Grant in IAS #98A14	0.00	DTEF	540.00
Attendant Care	12,696.67	HIV/WYGC	429.85
Network Develop	6,595.12	HIV Targeted	590.14
Children's Justice	897.84	Child Sup & Vis	527.53
Domestic Relations Ed	402.84	Case Flow	405.06
COPS Hiring	2,049.59	VOCA	2,578.26
JTSF Treatment	3,017.96	Divrsn Consequ	1,255.30
Tobacco Donation Fund	34.14	Alt Dispute	1,185.30
Inmate Food	3,554.00	Resource Offcr	1,622.78
Court Imp Proj	713.14	Head Start	410.52
Auto Theft Author.	1,532.44	Dom VInc Prevent	1,287.63
COPS 99	6,643.05	Capital Projects	216,770.15
Sedona Air-Taxi Reloc	43,594.83	Sedona Muni Airport	6,414.21
ALTCS	1,170,238.75		

In addition, payroll was issued on October 6 for the pay period ending September 30; warrant numbers 2392436 through 2392945, in the amount of \$365,330.07. Jury certificates were also issued, warrant numbers 6848173 through 6848195. Warrants issued for October 16 Board day, 4136342 through 4135755; 4135756 through 4136122.

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned.

ATTEST:



\_\_\_\_\_ Clerk \_\_\_\_\_ Chairman