

OFFICE OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA

Prescott, Arizona

November 5, 2001

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session on November 5, 2001.  
Present: Gheral Brownlow, Chairman; Lorna Street, Vice Chairman; Chip Davis, Member; Bev Staddon, Clerk.  
Also present: Jim Holst, County Administrator; Dave Hunt, Board Attorney/Assistant County Administrator.

ITEM NO. 1. Board of Supervisors.

1. Consider approval of intergovernmental agreement with the City of Prescott for parking in downtown Prescott. Mr. Holst said there had been parking issues in the downtown area because of the County's major facilities there, and that the County's consideration for participating in the City's parking garage was to be assured of parking in the downtown area for the County whether it was in the parking garage or not, and also for the City to recognize that the County was providing resources for the parking garage. He told the Board that the intergovernmental agreement confirmed all of those items and would give the County 20 additional spaces in the downtown area for County employee parking plus guaranteed jury parking no matter how many jurors there are. He said that money was available to pay for the County's contribution of \$250,000. There was brief discussion regarding the total number of parking spaces needed by the County, during which Superior Court Administrator Debi Schaefer said that generally about 100 people are called for one jury service, with between 50 and 60 actually showing up. Supervisor Davis asked about the provision for the County to pay 50% of the maintenance costs. Mr. Hunt said there were actually two maintenance provisions, but that only one could be in effect at any time. He said that one provision had to do with the number of spaces actually occupied by jurors over a one-year period. He told the Board that the other provision was for the County to pay for 50% of the maintenance on the Goodwin Street lot that would provide the County with 20 of the 40 parking spaces in that lot. In response to a question from Supervisor Davis, Mr. Hunt said it would probably be possible for the County to get out of the agreement in the future because the County's contribution would be made up front. Supervisor Davis asked if it was wise for the County to make its contribution up front. Chairman Brownlow said he believed it was the right thing to do because downtown merchants suffer when jurors take up parking spaces that would otherwise be used by customers. Supervisor Davis asked if anyone knew what the total cost of the garage would be. Supervisor Street said she thought it was in the millions of dollars. Local radio announcer John Rust said he thought it was between \$4 million and \$5 million. Supervisor Street moved to approve the agreement. Supervisor Davis seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote. No comments from the public.
2. Consider approval of items appearing on the Consent Agenda for Board of Supervisors and on the Consent Agenda for Special Districts. With the exception of item 7., all items were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public. See Consent Agenda for detail.

ITEM NO. 2. County Attorney Sheila Polk. Request for approval of a Legal Clerk position, to be paid for from existing budgeted funds. Ms. Polk reminded the Board that she had initially requested two Legal Secretary positions in her 2001-2002 budget, and subsequently requested a Legal Clerk position that she believed had received the Board's approval but which was not included in her final budget. She referred to the minutes of the Board's June 4, 2001, meeting, which supported her belief that the Board had indicated its agreement with her request. Ms. Polk said that because of increasing caseloads the additional clerical help was needed, and that if she did not have someone to process the paperwork then the attorneys would end up doing that work. Supervisor Davis said he believed the County Attorney's Office had been doing a good job, and that if granting this position would free up attorneys' time he was in favor of it. Supervisor Davis then moved to approve the request. Supervisor Street seconded the motion, saying she had thought that the Board had already approved it. The motion carried by unanimous vote, with no comments from the public.

ITEM NO. 3. Human Resources Director Julie Ayers.

1. Update regarding activities of the Yavapai Combined Trust, including financials and new Freedom of Choice benefits program. Ms. Ayers told the Board that the Trust had paid out \$482,000 more in claims than what the Trust had collected, but that because of the 25% increase in premiums for dependant coverage that took effect in July 2001 the Trust was now back on track. She told the Board that a total of \$4.5 million had been paid out in claims, and that the County was the largest member of the trust. Ms. Ayers talked about a flexible insurance program, saying that even with the County's contribution employees were still paying out \$114 every pay period for dependant coverage. She said that employees had expressed concern that the percentage of increase in the insurance premiums was greater than the cost-of-living increase, and that beginning on January 1, 2002, there would be three separate medical options, one dental option and one vision option. Ms. Ayers said that one option was to have reduced, but free, medical coverage. She told the Board that every employee was being asked to attend a meeting during November to learn about the new programs and to sign new paperwork. Supervisor Street noted that employees would not really see any change in their own coverage and that the new programs really only affected those employees who have dependant coverage.
2. Consider creating Flexible Spending Accounts for County employees. Ms. Ayers explained that Flexible Spending Accounts would allow employees to pay for medical expenses on a pre-tax basis, and that it would also work for daycare. She said it was a great benefit for employees, and that in order to implement it there would need to be a plan document that would cost about \$700. Ms. Ayers said that in addition to the plan document cost, there would also be an ongoing cost of \$4 per month for each participant but because the program was pre-tax the County would save money because it would not be making a FICA match on those amounts. She told the Board that because this was an IRS program there was some risk to both the County and to participating employees. She said that if, for example, an employee decided to use the account to save \$2,000 to pay for eye surgery and had the surgery after paying in only \$100, the County would have to pay the balance and would not have the ability to collect from the employee if the employee left County service following the surgery. Ms. Ayers said that, on the other hand, if an employee did not use the funds in his or her account by the end of the year that amount would be forfeited to the County. She recommending trying the program for year to see how it would work out, saying that the other Trust members had the program and that none of them had reported any abuses of it. Supervisor Street asked if an employee would sign up for this program upon being employed or whether an employee would have to be off probation in order to be eligible. Ms. Ayers said it would work the same way as County insurance coverage. Mr. Holst pointed out that the County's maximum exposure for each employee participating in the program would be \$2,000. Supervisor Street said as long as the County was doing what the other Trust members were doing she had no problem with it. Supervisor Davis moved to approve the request, including payment of \$700 for the plan document and to set a limit of \$2,000 as the maximum account amount for each participating employee. Supervisor Street seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote. No comments from the public.
3. Consider amendment of Personnel Policy 5.10, Health Plan. Ms. Ayers explained that the proposed amendment would change the life insurance provided to employees by eliminating the \$50,000 cap. She said this would allow additional employees to receive life insurance and would cost the County only \$350 per month more. Supervisor Street said she did not like it, and that she remembered when each employee had \$10,000 of life insurance coverage. She said she had not realized that the policy had been changed to allow for life insurance of one times the employee's salary up to a maximum of \$50,000. Supervisor Street said that meant that the more money someone made the more life insurance the County would pay. Supervisor Davis moved to deny the amendment. Supervisor Street seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote, with no comments from the public.

ITEM NO. 4. Superior Court Administrator Debi Schaefer. Consider acceptance of bid from AT Systems Security, Inc., in the amount of \$171,084 and increase Superior Court budget by \$24,721 for security guard services at the

Yavapai County Courthouse, Courthouse Plaza, and Camp Verde Justice Facility. Bids opened September 25, 2001. AT Systems Security, Inc., was sole bidder. Ms. Schaefer said she had discussed security needs for the Courthouse, Plaza, and Camp Verde Justice Facility with Mr. Holst, including the issue of pay increases for security people. She told the Board that only one bid had been received, that AT Systems was currently providing security services and was doing a good job, and that the rates for this service at the Camp Verde Justice Facility had not changed in four years and had not changed in two years at the Prescott facilities. Mr. Holst added that staff had not been able to find a less expensive way to provide security services other than contracting out for them. Supervisor Davis moved to award the bid to AT Systems Security, Inc., in the amount of \$171,084 and to authorize \$24,721 of that amount to be paid from Contingency. Supervisor Street seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote. Mr. Holst noted that this contract would put the services on a fiscal year basis. No comment from the public.

ITEM NO. 5. Development Services Director Ken Spedding and Senior Planner Elise Link. Planning and zoning. Planning & Zoning Commission member Linda Bitner was present to represent the Commission.

1. Use permit to allow the installation and operation of a wireless communication facility in an RCU-2A zoning district, 403-05-004H, southeast corner of Interstate 17 and State Route 169, Jason Gigliotti for Crown Atlantic Company agent for Richard C. and Anita J. Phillipi, #7270. Consideration of a Use Permit in order to allow the installation and operation of a wireless communication facility with a 100-foot, non-lighted lattice tower and accessory equipment storage structures on a 100-foot x 100-foot leased area of an overall 18 acre parcel, located in a RCU-2A (Residential; Rural; 2-acre minimum) zoning district. Located on the southeast corner of the intersection of Interstate 17 and State Route 169. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended denial of the Use Permit. Ms. Link noted that the Commission had recommended denial of this use permit because of the availability of alternate sites. She noted that the applicant had advised her that they would not be in attendance at this day's meeting. Upon a motion by Supervisor Davis, seconded by Supervisor Street, the Board voted unanimously to approve the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission, which was to deny the application. No comments from the public.
2. Use permit to allow horse privileges on properties currently zoned R1L-35, 100-02-012, 013, 014 and 018A, Wildwood Subdivision Unit 1, Prescott area, Robert Read agent for Robert Read, Madaleen Pulsifer, Judith Raessler, Frank and Sharon Benedicit, and David and Kathleen Mecca, #1013. Consideration of a Use Permit on 4 parcels totaling approximately 4.37 acres (100-02-018A = 1.38 acres; 100-02-014 = 1.21 acres; 100-02-013 = 1.12 acres; 100-02-012 = .66 acres) to allow horse privileges on properties currently zoned R1L-35 (Residential; Single Family Limited-35,000 sq. ft. minimum). Located on Glen Oaks Dr. within the Wildwood Subdivision Unit 1 approximately 300 feet northwest of the intersection of Wildwood Dr. and Iron Springs Rd. in the vicinity of the City of Prescott. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended denial of the use permit. Ms. Link explained that the application was for four separate parcels owned by four different people, and that it was to allow for two horses on each parcel. She told the Board that that this subdivision had been established in 1965, prior to the County implementing zoning, and that both the plat and the CC&Rs included a horse corral area. She said that in 1970 the area was zoned to R1L-35, which she described as the most restrictive zoning and one that allows for horses only on parcels 1.6 acres in size. Ms. Link said that all four of the parcels in question were less than 1.6 acres. She said that the 1965 CC&Rs do govern properties in Unit 1, but that the County does not enforce CC&Rs and that the Commission would have had to find a good reason to waive the zoning requirements in order to recommend approval of the use permit application, and that the Commission had voted unanimously to recommend denial of the application. Ms. Link said that since the Commission meeting the applicant had met with staff and that as of the previous Friday staff had received additional information stating that the CC&Rs for Unit 1 did allow horses. She said she was also aware that the homeowners' association had indicated that it wanted to make the CC&Rs that expire in 2005 consistent with CC&Rs for the rest of the subdivision. Ms. Link told the Board she had also received letters from two of the four property owners involved stating that they were withdrawing their properties from consideration. In response to a question from Chairman Brownlow, Deputy County Attorney Randy Schurr told the Board that the County enforces its Zoning Ordinance and that the Ordinance can, and at times does, conflict with CC&Rs. He said that the County does not enforce CC&Rs. Mr. Schurr said that the current zoning prohibits horses on the subject lots and that the zoning had been in full force and effect for a very long time. He told the Board that it

must look at whether there would be a benefit to the community by granting the request for a use permit, and that it was not up to the County to try to interpret what the CC&Rs mean. He said that in order to amend the CC&Rs it would be necessary to look at the provisions of each separate document and that in this particular case, it would require a vote of two-thirds of the property owners to amend the CC&Rs. Supervisor Street asked whether someone would be grandfathered in if they had a horse on their property prior to the zoning implementation. Mr. Schurr said yes, if it was a continuous use, but that there was no evidence of anyone in Unit 1 having a grandfathered right for horses. Applicant Robert Read told the Board he was representing himself and Judy Raessler. He said the developer of the subdivision had selected Lots 3 through 9 in Unit 1 and designated them as horse corrals. He said he had worked with the last three homeowner association boards to try to re-establish horses, and that the boards had always acknowledged the Unit 1 CC&Rs are what should be followed. He said that the CC&Rs conflicted with the County zoning. He said there had been horses on the Raessler property until 1997, and that there had been horses on his property from time to time. He said his sister, who had lived on the property until her death, had kept very good records and that he had found notes indicating that in 1976 through 1978 subdivision developer Bob Hannay had referred to the CC&Rs and references to horses in Unit 1, even though the County had changed the zoning in 1970. He said he would like very much to prove to everyone that horses would not be a detriment to the neighborhood, and that he would be willing to accept a use permit on a temporary basis. Mr. Read said that he and the other property owners had received many letters of support, and that of the 62 notices mailed out as part of the citizen participation requirement, 31 positive responses had come back. Supervisor Davis asked Mr. Read how long he had lived in Wildwood. Mr. Read responded that he had been there since 1995, but on and off before that because of his sister and her husband, both of whom were now deceased. Wildwood residents Arthur Park and Judy Raessler (also a co-applicant) spoke in favor of the application, urging the Board to approve it. Ms. Raessler said the previous owner of her property had had horses, and that she had had a total of 11 horses on the property during the time she owned it and that no one had objected to the horses. She said she had spoken with the three closest property owners and that none of them had any problem with her having horses on her property. Wildwood residents Jane Robertson, David Robertson and Carolyn Startzell all spoke in opposition to the application. Mr. and Mrs. Robertson referred to the length of time the zoning had been in place, pointing out that people knew what the zoning was. Mrs. Robertson added that it would be a hardship to have a barn, corral and horses within 100 feet of her dining room window. Ms. Startzell said that if the use permit was approved horses would be allowed on one side of the cul-de-sac but not on the other side, and that this could affect property values for those who do not have horse privileges. She said she did not really care whether there were horses in the area, but was opposed to the way the issue was presented to property owners because it had started out as one property owner wanting to have one horse on the national forest side of the subdivision and had ended up with the potential for 10 horses on one side of the cul-de-sac. In response to a question from Chairman Brownlow, Mr. Schurr said that the County had always been required to notify property owners within 300 feet of any changes in zoning. Supervisor Street said she just did not see any way that the Board could approve the use permit because the subject property was in a residential subdivision, the lots were not large enough to meet zoning requirements to have horses, and there had been no continuous horse use on the properties. Supervisor Street moved to approve the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission, which was to deny the use permit. Supervisor Davis seconded the motion, saying that his personal opinion was that properties located outside of incorporated areas were more rural and nature and that the County should be a little more accepting of horses, chickens and pigs on such properties. He said that in this particular case, he saw a neighborhood where the rules had been established and that if the Board approved the use permit it would amount to spot zoning. Chairman Brownlow called for the vote, which carried unanimously.

3. Final subdivision plat, Bensch Ranch Unit 1, 500-25-021H, Mayer area, Bensch Ranch Estates, L.L.C., #1008. Consideration of a Final Subdivision Plat for Phase 1 of Bensch Ranch, consisting of a total of 112 lots ranging in size from approximately 0.50 acre to 1.9 acres on a total of 168 acres in a Planned Area Development (PAD) zoning district. Located on the southwest side of SR 69 between the communities of Spring Valley and Mayer. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of a Final Site Plan/Preliminary Subdivision Plat subject to the following stipulations: 1). Submittal of Final subdivision Plat for phase I within two years of Board of Supervisors approval of the Preliminary subdivision Plat or extension of time must be applied for by the applicant with a revised phasing schedule; 2). Extension of CCN boundaries and ADWR report of adequate water

supply to be submitted prior to submittal of the Final Subdivision plat; 3). Applicant to modify typical setbacks to be consistent with the zoning ordinance density district standards for the different lot sizes. 4). Applicant to obtain confirmation from the BLM that the applicant can make the required improvements to Old Black Canyon Highway (aka Antelope Creek Road) on BLM lands prior to submittal of the Final Plat; 5). Crossing of Big Bug Creek: Construction plans for the bridge crossing on Bensch Ranch Boulevard will need to be reviewed and approved by the County Public Works Department as part of the Final Plat for Phase I of the project; 6). Water submittal of a statement of "Adequate Water Supply" approved by the Department of water Resources prior to submittal of Final Subdivision plat for phase I; 7). ADEQ/Environmental Services Department approval of sanitary facilities will need to get any necessary permits and approvals for not only the construction but reuse of effluent from ADEQ prior to or as part of Final Plat submittal. 8). Conformance with requirements set forth in letter from the Mayer Fire District dated August 7, 2000 to Bill Digman; 9). The developer to continue to work with the school district and finalize negotiations for a mutual agreement with the school district prior to Final Plat approval; 10). Bensch Ranch Boulevard to remain as a public, non-gated residential connector built to County Road standards within a 68 foot right of way.; 11). Review and approval by Public Works Department of future road construction plans during submittal of the Final Plat for the first phase to show speed standards, and the turnarounds to meet County standards; 12. Financial assurances shall be posted for required improvements prior to recordation of final plat; 13). Fencing only in building envelopes to be included on a note on the Final Plat and included in the CC&R's. Hackberry Wash and the wash that runs along the Bensch Ranch Boulevard shall remain free of fences to function as a wildlife corridor. Said fencing restrictions to be placed on the Final Plats and in the subdivision CC&R's that no fencing to be allowed outside of the building envelopes; 14). Necessary permits to be obtained from ADOT for appropriate ingress/egress and approval by ADOT of off-site improvements to Highway 69 to include, at a minimum, construction of a right turn lane and removal of the median cross-over 800 feet (+-) to the west; 15). Both a Phase II and III drainage report be reviewed and approved by Flood Control District prior to Final Plat submittal for the first phase; 16). One Foot non-access easement to be depicted on the plat along those portions of the subdivision boundaries where platted lots share a common border with public lands on all subsequent submittals; 17). Final plats for all phases to illustrate building envelopes on every lot; 18). Parking to comply with Section 108N. of the zoning ordinance; 19). All Lighting to comply with the Dark Sky Ordinance; 20). Easement for possible future roadway development from Rough Rider Ridge Road to BLM lands to the north to be gated at the applicant's expense, as part of Phase II of the development. A one foot (1') -vehicular non-access easement to be identified as the terminus of said future roadway easement for access to the unsubdivided lands to the north of the project. Said vehicular non-access easement to be automatically abandoned upon acceptance of a dedication of right-of-way or approval of a roadway for extending the street on to the adjoining property by the Board of Supervisors. \*\* Ms. Link told the Board that this subdivision, as well as Ravenscrest (item 4., below) had met all requirements, including showing proof of water adequacy. Supervisor Davis moved to approve the final plat for Bensch Ranch Unit 1 with the provision that it be built in accordance with all prior requirements. Supervisor Street seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote. No comments from the public.

4. Final subdivision plat, Ravenscrest, 304-01-003S, Paulden area, Kevan Larson, #1034. Consideration of a Final Subdivision Plat known as Ravenscrest consisting of 29 two (2) acre plus in size, single-family residential lots on 68.85 acre portion of an unsubdivided parcel that is a total of 513.82 acres in size known as the Wineglass Ranch. Located in an RCU-2A (Residential; Rural; two (2) acre minimum lot size). Located on the north side of Big Chino Road approximately three (3) miles from its intersection with Highway 89 in the Paulden area. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of the Preliminary Subdivision Plat, subject to the following stipulations: 1). Submittal of a Final Plat as specified under Article 5 of the Subdivision Regulations in substantial conformance with the approved Preliminary Plat; 2). Development in conformance with the plat entitled Preliminary Plat for Ravenscrest of Paulden dated 12-20-99; 3). A Final (Phase III) Drainage Report shall be submitted and approved by the Flood Control District prior to Final Plat submittal and Development permit issuance. A master drainage plan for the balance of the Wineglass Ranch to be submitted as part of future phase; 4). Applicant to post financial assurances for improvements to Big Chino Road the entire length of the development in accordance with cost estimate sheet dated 2-08-2000 prior to recordation of the Final Plat; 5). Non Vehicular Access Easement (NVAE) to be included in the C.C. and R's together with a note

on the plat map prior to recordation; 6). Temporary secondary access for this phase only. Any subsequent phases shall provide a secondary/emergency access and the temporary one shall be abandoned; 7). Review and approval by Environmental Services of percolation testing on twenty-five percent (25%) of the lots prior to approval of the Final Subdivision Plat; 8). All street names and addresses shall be approved by the Address Coordinator and Fire District prior to Final Plat approval; 9). Applicant to include all portions of the balance of contiguous property within the ownership of the subdivider for purposes of regional roads, trails, drainage, access to surrounding lands and airport as part of future submittal; 10). Any future phases of development will need to address such issues as noise, setbacks, disclaimer statements in the Public Report and FAA requirements related to the airport; 11). Applicant to meet Fire District standards for water storage, turn-around easements and fire flow; 12). Final Plat submittal shall identify building envelopes prior to recordation; 13). Phasing schedule and land uses for the balance of the Wineglass Ranch development to be submitted as part of the next phase of development; 14). Disclosure statement on Final Plat map and in Public Report that states this subdivision is within twelve hundred feet (1200') of an airstrip; 15). Applicant to provide statement from ADWR regarding adequate water supply prior to submittal of Final Plat; 16). Applicant to consider a Zoning Map Change to either RMM or R1L. Chairman Brownlow said he had comments to make regarding this subdivision, but that he wanted to be clear that his comments were not directed at staff because he believed staff had done just what the Ordinance required. He said he questioned some of the stipulations placed on the developer and believed that the Board needed to be careful not to push someone into lot splitting instead of going through the subdivision process. He said he believed there should be a way to handle subdivisions like this one a little differently. Chairman Brownlow referred to stipulation #3 regarding drainage plans for the balance of the property, and asked developer Kevan Larson what the report had cost. Mr. Larson said he was not sure of the specific cost of that particular report, but that it had cost \$749,000 for all items related to developing the subdivision of 29 lots. Chairman Brownlow referred to stipulation #4, regarding improvement to Big Chino Road, pointing out that while it was good planning Mr. Larson had already contributed to prior improvements on that road, and that in addition the County was requiring a secondary access to the subdivision under stipulation #6. He said that stipulation #7 required Mr. Larson to do perk tests on 25% of the properties, and asked what that would cost. Chairman Brownlow said the point he was trying to make was that the developer could have avoided all of those requirements by lot splitting. Mr. Spedding said that the issue of lot splitting would be discussed at the Board's next joint session with the Planning & Zoning Commission on November 14, 2001. Chairman Brownlow moved to approve the final plat. Supervisor Street seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

Consent Agenda for planning and zoning items, for which there were no protests at the Planning & Zoning Commission hearing, and which provides for acknowledgement of deferred or withdrawn items that have been advertised for hearing on this date. Items 1., 2., and 3. were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Davis. No comments from the public.

1. Zoning map change from R1L-12 to RS-12, 103-11-002A, Diamond Valley area between Prescott and Prescott Valley, Calvin Knock, #1014. Consideration of a zoning map change from R1L-12 (Residential limited to site built homes with a 12,000 sq. ft. minimum lot size) to RS-12 (Residential Services). Located on a 14,000 sq. ft. parcel on the south west corner of the intersection of Diamond Drive and SR 69 in Diamond Valley. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of the zoning map change subject to the following stipulations: 1). Approval of conditional RS-12 zoning, allowing only professional offices – no clinics or other RS uses allowed; 2). Zoning map change and building permit approval, subject to the applicant resolving drainage issues that have been identified by the County Flood Control District and those drainage issues noted in ADOT's January 5, 2001 letter to the Flood Control District; 3). Property to be developed in accordance with site plan submitted with zoning map change application; 4). Only non-reflective roofing material and siding materials to be used in building construction; 5). Proposed vegetative screening on the southern boundary to consist of a minimum of 15 gallon evergreen trees, planted no less than 10 ft. on center. Trees to be kept in a live state.

2. Zoning map change from R2-4 to R2-3, 103-07-258 and 103-07-260, Prescott East Subdivision adjacent to Prescott Valley, Planning & Zoning Commission, #1023. Consideration of a zoning map change from the R2-4 (Multi-Family Residential: 4,000 sq. ft. per dwelling) R2-3 (Multi-Family Residential: 3,000 sq. ft. per dwelling) for a total of two parcels totaling approximately 19,000 square feet, in order to conform with the existing triplex structures that have been permitted and constructed on each parcel. Located in the Prescott East Subdivision on the west side of Superstition Lane just north of its intersection with Copper Hill Dr. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of the zoning map change subject to the following stipulations: 1). Zoning Map Change from R2-4 to R2-3; 2). Development of the property shall be in conformance with all other applicable standards and regulations in the Planning and Zoning Ordinance, unless waived by the Board of Supervisors.
3. Zoning map change from R2-4 to R2-3, 103-07-259, Prescott East Subdivision adjacent to Prescott Valley, Ennio Formica, #1016. Consideration of a zoning map change from the R2-4 (Multi-Family Residential: 4,000 sq. ft. per dwelling) R2-3 (Multi-Family Residential: 3,000 sq. ft. per dwelling) on a parcel totaling approximately 9,200 square feet, in order to allow the existing duplex structure to be modified into a triplex. Located in the Prescott East Subdivision on the west side of Superstition Lane just north of its intersection with Copper Hill Dr. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of the zoning map change subject to the following stipulations: 1). Zoning Map Change from R2-4 to R2-3; 2). Development of the property shall be in conformance with all other applicable standards and regulations in the Planning and Zoning Ordinance, unless waived by the Board of Supervisors.
4. Use permit to allow for a maximum of 15 potbellied pigs in an R1-35 zoning district, 102-01-109, Williamson Valley area north of Prescott, Clay and Vicki Starin, #1012. Consideration of a Use Permit on a parcel totaling approximately 1.21 acres to allow the applicant to continue to keep 15 potbellied pigs on their property, which is currently zoned R1-35 (Residential; Single Family). Located on the south corner of Stirrup Lane and Spur Rd. within the Equestrian Estates Subdivision on Lot 99 approximately 2,200 feet southeast of the intersection of Williamson Valley Rd. and Glenshandra Dr. and approximately 2 miles northwest of the City of Prescott incorporated limits. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of the use permit subject to the following stipulations: 1). Use Permit approved on a 10-year non-transferable basis; 2). Staff review for conformance with stipulations on a yearly basis for a maximum of 15 pigs and no further pigs are to be brought onto the property. Supervisor Street said that when property is zoned R or when a person purchases a house in a residential area there are certain things they do not expect to see in their neighbor's back yard. She said she believed the Board needed to be careful about making exceptions to the Ordinance. Supervisor Street moved to deny the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission. Ms. Link told Supervisor Street that she understood what she was saying, but that this was something of a unique circumstance. She said the pigs had been on the property for a long time and that the Starins were actually involved in a rescue effort. She added that the Commission had unanimously recommended approval of the use permit and had limited the number of pigs allowed to no more than 15. She said the pigs were kept at the back of the property and that it was hard to tell they were even there. Supervisor Street's motion died for lack of a second. Supervisor Davis said he believed the Commission had adequately addressed the issue. He said he wanted to thank the Starins for what they had done, and that he was sorry they had to go through the planning and zoning process but that it was necessary. Supervisor Davis moved to approve the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission. Chairman Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by a vote of 2-to-1, with Chairman Brownlow and Supervisor Davis voting "yes" and Supervisor Street voting "no." There were no comments from the public.
5. Zoning map change from R1L-175 and RCU-2A to PAD, Wickenburg Ranch, 201-02-002B and 149, 201-06-001B, 201-07-002 and 003A, 201-09-001X, 001Y and 001Z, Wickenburg area, Mark Soden for Design Workshop agent for CDC Wickenburg Ranch, L.L.C., #1015. This item was deferred by the Planning & Zoning Commission, and no action was taken by the Board of Supervisors.

ITEM NO. 6.

Elections Director Sharon R. Keene Wright and County Recorder Patsy Jenney-Colon.

1. Review and possible action regarding precinct boundary changes, some affected by new legislative and congressional boundary changes. Ms. Jenney-Colon pointed out that the legislative district lines were not set in stone, but that the County needed to move forward with precinct boundary changes. The Board discussed this item in conjunction with item 2., below. Ms. Keene-Wright told the Board that it had until December 1, 2001, to approve the changes. She noted that there are currently four legislative districts in the County and that the number of districts would be reduced to two, as proposed by the Independent Redistricting Commission. She said that the County is currently in one congressional district, but that it would be in two congressional districts in the future with Castle Hot Springs being the only part of the County in a different congressional district. She said she wanted the Board to look at the precinct maps to see if they agreed with the way she had made new precincts or changes in existing precinct boundaries. Ms. Keene-Wright told the Board that at its November 19, 2001, meeting, she would be presenting more changes because of precincts that have new development in them, but that she wanted to keep those changes separate from the changes being considered on this day. She said that there were also some precincts that could be put back together because they would no longer be split by legislative district lines. She asked that the Board not take action on this day with regard to Yavapai College districts, saying that the College was not prepared yet. Supervisor Davis asked Ms. Keene-Wright if she would work with the numbers in the precincts. She responded that these changes did not really involve numbers. Ms. Jenney-Colon said that every effort was made to keep precincts to about 1,000 voters because it was easier to work with. Discussion turned to Justice of the Peace precinct boundaries, with Ms. Keene-Wright saying the only recommended change was to move the Kirkland Precinct from the Prescott Justice Precinct into the Bagdad-Yarnell Justice Precinct. Bagdad-Yarnell Justice of the Peace Anna Mary Glaab told the Board she had no problem with that recommendation, but that she would like to reserve the right to ask the Board for additional help if the change resulted in a big change in her caseload. Discussion turned to proposed changes in supervisorial district boundaries, with Ms. Keene-Wright saying that a portion of The Ranch would go from District 2 into District 1, and that the change was necessitated by changes in legislative district boundaries. Supervisor Street said she understood that Coyote Springs and Dearing Park would also go from District 2 to District 1. Chairman Brownlow said he would like Cherry to be in District 1. Ms. Keene-Wright asked Supervisor Davis if he had any problem with her combining Packard and Jerome Precincts. He responded that he was fine with it. Mr. Holst asked the Board if it was satisfied with the current boundaries between the Prescott and Mayer Justice Precincts or whether it wanted to make changes. There appeared to be general agreement that no changes were needed. The Board did not take any action on this item.
2. Review and possible action regarding proposed changes to Board of Supervisors districts, Justice of the Peace precincts, and Yavapai College districts. See discussion in item 1., above. The Board did not take any action on this item.
3. Request authorization for the County Survey Crew to prepare the legal descriptions for the changed precinct boundaries. Ms. Keene-Wright told the Board that Sam Fulkerson, Curt Audis and Wiley Audis had prepared legal descriptions for her in the past and requested that they be authorized to do so again. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Chairman Brownlow, second by Supervisor Davis. No comments from the public.

ITEM NO. 7.

Public Works Director Richard Straub.

1. Hearing: Consider renewal of an existing water franchise agreement with Sherman Pines Water Company. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.
2. Consider approval of a purchase agreement with Kulmer & Schumacher, a Utah Partnership, in the amount of \$10,000, to purchase a portion of the old railroad property needed for New Fain Road (District 1). Half-cent sales tax project. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.
3. Consider approval of a purchase agreement and fees with Richard Trust in the amount of \$37,700 plus escrow fees not to exceed \$500 for purchase of parcel 114-07-027, which is necessary right-of-way for the Heather Heights Project (District 1). Half-cent sales tax project. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.



4. Consider approval of purchase agreement with Deep Well Ranches in the amount of \$139,000 for land necessary for the future widening of Outer Loop Road (District 1). Half-cent sales tax project. Approved by unanimous vote after Mr. Straub explained that this was an existing road expected to eventually become a four-lane road and that acquisition of right-of-way was on the project list. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.
5. Consider approval of purchase agreements and fees with the following property owners for needed right-of-way for the Hillcrest/Butterfield Connector Project (District 1): Rodriguez, 114-07-032J, \$2,780.36, escrow fees not to exceed \$500; Johnson, 114-06-103A, \$44,089.48, escrow fees not to exceed \$500. Half-cent sales tax project. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.
6. Consider approval of purchase agreement with Robert N. Hamill in the amount of \$7,562.50 plus escrow fees not to exceed \$500, for parcels 103-42-155A and 156, which is necessary for the Widening and Realignment of State Route 89A through the Prescott Valley area. Half-cent sales tax project. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.
7. Request from the Town of Chino Valley for \$5,000 from unexpended Local Transportation Assistance Fund II funds (2000-2001 LTAF II program) to be used for operation and maintenance costs for the Chino Valley Senior Center van to transport seniors to the Senior Center for meals and other activities. Mr. Straub said this request would be paid for out of last year's LTAF funds still available for the western part of the County. Supervisor Davis said he thought the voucher system had used all of the funds still available from last year. Chairman Brownlow said he did not think that was the case, and that there was enough money left to grant this request as well as requests from some other agencies. Supervisor Street said she thought there was a difference between what was available and what was allocated. Supervisor Davis moved to hold this item in abeyance. He said the Board needed to remember that the voucher system program would be competing for the same money that other groups were requesting, and that the Board also needed remember that it had a lot of other obligations throughout the County. Mr. Holst said it appeared that the voucher program would also require a match from the County General Fund. Supervisor Davis said he had been watching state groups and the security of local transportation funds, and that the future of those funds was not certain. He said that in his district he was looking at what could be done to help groups for the next ten years with the understanding that no more funds would be coming in. Supervisor Street seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote. No comments from the public.
8. Discussion and possible action regarding the proposed Lakeshore Drive Traffic Interchange on the Fain Road Realignment Project in the Prescott Valley area (Districts 1 and 2). Half-cent sales tax project, no additional funds necessary for this item. Mr. Straub told the Board that a proposed intergovernmental agreement had been sent to the Town of Prescott Valley regarding this item, but that no agreement had yet been reached regarding the Town's share of the funding. He said the Town had previously agreed to share in the funding in the amount of about \$1 million for the new Fain Road, but that if this issue could not be resolved by December the County would need to take the proposed interchange out of the plans. Supervisor Street said she would like to hold this item at least until the next meeting. She said she had spoken with a couple of Prescott Valley Town Council members, and that last week she and Mr. Holst had met with the mayor and town manager. She said the Town knew it needed to move forward with the agreement. Mr. Holst said he had received a call from the town manager late last week and that apparently the Town was requesting the ability to pay their share over ten years. He said that would be a problem for the County, and that the agreement with the City of Cottonwood for its share of the Mingus Avenue Extension project, which he said was about the same amount of money, was to have it paid over four years. He asked the Board what it thought was reasonable in this case, saying that information would help him in discussions with the Town. Supervisor Davis noted the possibility of the County borrowing money for the Fain Road project and asked if it would be possible for the Town to piggyback on that process. Mr. Holst said the County was looking at paying back any borrowed monies over four years. Supervisor Davis asked if it was true that the Town was being asked to pay about \$1.5 million while the County was paying \$13 million for the project. Mr. Holst said those were roughly the figures involved. Supervisor Street said it was her understanding that the proposed interchange was being done at the request of the Town of Prescott Valley. She said it was a benefit to the Town and that her comment to the mayor and manager last week was to ask where the money was. Mr. Straub said that if the interchange was not included in the initial construction it would be considerably more expensive to do in the future. Supervisor Davis said it appeared to him that the County might have to move forward with the project and if the Town wanted the interchange later it would have to fund it. Supervisor Street said that was correct. Supervisor Davis moved to hold this item in abeyance. Supervisor Street seconded the motion, which carried

by unanimous vote with no comments from the public. In answer to Mr. Holst's question regarding a reasonable payback period for the Town, there was general agreement that the Town of Prescott Valley should receive the same type of agreement as that provided to the City of Cottonwood.

9. Consider approval of items appearing on the Consent Agenda for Public Works. All items on the Consent Agenda for Public Works were approved by unanimous vote, with no comments from the public. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Street.

#### CONSENT AGENDA FOR PUBLIC WORKS:

1. Consider approval of a co-op project on Restaurant Road in the Skull Valley area (District 1) and contingent upon co-op approval accept petition to establish Restaurant Road as a County highway and set hearing for November 19, 2001, at 12:00 p.m.
2. Consider accepting a petition to establish Naples Street in the Paulden area as a County highway and set hearing for November 19, 2001, at 12:00 p.m.
3. Consider approval of an Extension of Completion of Services to Authorization of Services #008868 with Cannon and Associations until May 1, 2002, for Design Services for a Grade-Separated Interchange at State Route 89 and the Realigned State Route 89A (Airport Connector). No additional funds required. Half-cent sales tax project.
4. Award or reject bids received for Contract/Supplemental Agreement #1 to the Annual Contract for Rental Equipment (Street Sweeper) in Yavapai County, Contract #2111064. Bids opened October 23, 2001, with one bid received from Pacific Utility Equipment Company, Phoenix. Recommend rejecting bid as non-responsive.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS: Unless otherwise noted, all items were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.

1. Requests from Board of Supervisors:
  - a. Approve minutes of meeting of October 15, 2001, and of special meetings of October 23-24, and October 29, 2001.
  - b. Approve appointment of the following precinct committeemen, as recommended by the Yavapai County Democrats: Karen Bump, Prescott Valley 1 Precinct; Donna Campbell, Groom Creek Precinct; Marvin H. Christensen, Shadow Valley Precinct; Gordon Harrel, Roundup Precinct; Dianne Hathaway, Prescott Valley 1 Precinct; Carol Jantz, White Spar Precinct; Delyla Kysar, Glassford Precinct; Dick Lewis, Taylor Hicks Precinct; Tom Pettit, Miller Valley East Precinct; Wilbur Roach, Prescott Northwest Precinct; Fred Rubi, Prescott Valley 1 Precinct; Carolyn Savage, Lynx Creek Precinct; Robert Segura, Mountain View Precinct; Janet Tower, Mountain View Precinct; Mary Wade, Prescott Valley 1 Precinct; Lawrence Zimmerman, Pine Lakes Precinct; Ruth Abraham, Cordes Precinct; Jan Blankemeier, Copper Basin Precinct; Donna Crenshaw-Bacon, Beaver Creek Precinct; Donald Davenport, Cordes Precinct; Susan German, Chino Valley 1 Precinct; Vicki Harom, Cordes Precinct; Ethel Murphy, Cordes Precinct; Virginia Porter, Cordes Precinct; and Gilbert Schroeder, Glassford Precinct. Also note resignation of the following precinct committeemen: Sheryl Hunnell, Camp Wood Precinct; Michael Zarr, Pine Knoll Precinct; Margaret Ramos, Kirkland Precinct; Rosemary Cleveland, Prescott South Precinct; William Oriol and Pat Oriol, Big Park Precinct.
  - c. Approve Resolution No. 1295A, effective July 25, 2001, for Adoption of the Budget for Fiscal Year 2001-02.
  - d. Approve list of real estate held by the State of Arizona by tax deed and set date and time for sale of property for December 7, 2001, at 9:00 a.m., pursuant to A.R.S. 42-18301.
  - e. Request from City of Prescott for approval of increase in per ton rate at Prescott Transfer Station from \$52 to

\$55.

- f. Consider approval of amendment to agreement with Yavapai Regional Medical Center for the Prescott Valley Health Care Facility.
2. Request from MIS Department for approval of a contract with IBM in the amount of \$19,000 for installation and maintenance of equipment. To be paid from existing budgeted funds in Outside Services account.
3. Request from Recorder/Voter Registration for permission to purchase seven computers at a total cost of approximately \$10,000, to be paid for from the Storage and Retrieval Fund, Furniture & Equipment account.
4. Requests from Sheriff:
  - a. Award bid for Sheriff's Mobile Radio, bids for which were opened September 18, 2001, with bids received from the following vendors: Countrywide Communications, \$1,250 plus tax; Intermountain Communications, \$1,820.70 plus tax; and Western Communications, \$1,800 plus tax. Recommend awarding to low bidder, Countrywide Communications.
  - b. Consider approval of Cooperative Law Enforcement Agreement with the U.S. Forest Service in the amount of \$63,500 for patrol services and dispatching/communications services.
5. Request from Human Resources for reappointment of Jess Thomas to the Public Safety Retirement Board, with term to expire November 30, 2005.
6. Requests from Assessor:
  - a. Pursuant to A.R.S. 42-12054 for change in numerous parcels from Legal Class 4 to Legal Class 3 as of their date of conversion, as evidenced in Board Memorandum No. 2001-05.
  - b. Consider approval of request for Assessor to act on behalf of the Board of Supervisors to address redemption of untimely filed requests for exemptions pursuant to A.R.S. 42-11153 (request is for reaffirmation of Board action taken on November 18, 1996).
7. Request from School Superintendent for permission for Fred Czarnowski to attend the Association of Education Services Agencies Annual Conference, Atlanta, Georgia, November 28-December 1, 2001, at approximate cost of \$1,500 to be paid from Special Programs fund. Supervisor Davis asked staff to prepare a breakdown of travel, saying he had seen travel requests for this person on almost every agenda. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Street.
8. Request from Merit Award Board for permission to award \$100 to each of the two recommended Employees of the Month for November and December, 2001.
9. Request from County Attorney for permission to purchase an additional copy machine without exceeding the total amount budgeted for fax machines and a copy machine for 2001-2002.
10. Request from Facilities for permission to extend the Elevator Maintenance Agreement with ThyssenKrupp Elevator Corporation for the period from January 13, 2002 to January 12, 2003, for maintenance of elevators at the Fair Street Administrative Services Building, Gurley Street Building, and Courthouse, at the rate of \$694.16 per month, to be paid from Outside Services.
11. Requests from the Health Department:
  - a. Consider approval of a letter of support from the Board of Supervisors for the Town of Chino Valley's proposal to

- the Arizona Department of Health Services for Health Facility Construction funding.
- b. Consider approval of a Memorandum of Understanding with Youth Count for placement of AmeriCorps Member at the Health Department.
  - c. Permission for Pat McCarver to attend the HealthPro Users Conference, San Antonio, Texas, November 7-10, 2001, at an approximate cost of \$1,000 to be paid by the Community Access Program Grant.
12. Request from Recorder for permission for Ana Wayman-Trujillo to attend an advanced Cris+ users seminar in Driggs, Idaho, November 6-8, 2001, with all costs to be paid by Eagle Computer Systems.
13. Approve vouchers.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR DIRECTORS OF YAVAPAI COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL, FREE LIBRARY AND JAIL DISTRICTS, AND COUNTY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS:

- 1. Resolve into the Boards of Directors of the Yavapai County Flood Control, Free Library and Jail Districts and other County improvement districts as follows, for the purpose of approving vouchers: Coyote Springs Road Improvement District II; Ash Fork Street Lighting Improvement District; Prescott East Sanitary District; Yarnell Street Lighting Improvement District; Seligman Street Lighting Improvement District; Sun-Up Ranch Road Improvement District; Seligman Sanitary District; Pine Valley Street Improvement District.
- 2. Resolve into the Board of Directors of the Yavapai County Jail District:
  - a. Approve minutes of meeting of October 15, 2001.
  - b. Permission for Detention Sergeant Jason Miner to attend Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies, Inc., San Diego, California, November 13-17, 2001, at an approximate cost of \$1,000 to be paid from Jail District training budget, and permission to take a County vehicle out of state.
- 3. Resolve into the Board of Directors of the Yavapai County Flood Control District:
  - a. Approve minutes of meeting of October 15, 2001.
  - b. Consider approval of a professional services contract with Project Engineering Consultants in the amount of \$192,225 for aerial mapping and preparation of the West Chino Valley Area Drainage Master Study, FCD 002-001, to be paid from West Chino Valley Area Drainage Master Study and request transfer of \$7,500 from District 1 portion of Poquito Valley Flood Hazard Study Project.
  - c. Consider approval of a professional services contract with Dibble & Associates, Inc., in the amount of \$49,809 for the Kachina Place Flood Hazard Study, FCD 002-005, to be paid from Kachina Drive Wash Flood Hazard Mitigation.

CLAIMS AGAINST YAVAPAI COUNTY

<u>ACCOUNT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ACCOUNT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
General Fund	2,722,631.73	Jail District	485,405.20
District 1 Park Fund	548.04	District 2 Park Fund	9,349.29
District 3 Park Fund	925.11	Family Planning	12,293.61
MCH Programs	3,025.04	Home Health Ser	27,487.42

Health Promotion	4,636.58	Nutrition	3,478.03
T.B. Control	3,407.81	WIC Program	22,324.03
Jail Enhance	12,500.68	Juvenile Delinq. Reduct	15,535.21
Juvenile IPS	28,297.34	Family Counseling	1,170.25
Juvenile Food Prog	2,903.43	Commun Advis. Bd	0.00
Probation Serv	6,724.73	Adult IPS	51,442.57
Adult Probation Fees	39,091.01	Prob Enhance	64,446.87
Recorder's Surcharge	21,379.79	Indigent Def/Dg	2,263.13
Misc Small Grants	397.87	Crim Just/Atty	19,793.38
Bad Check Prog	5,640.05	Juv Prob Svs	10,785.06
Commodity Fd	3,132.66	Azeip Case Mgmt	4,634.29
Sexual Trans Disease	290.73	Hi Risk Chld HI	4,183.61
Clerk's Storage	1,023.02	WIC/TOB Intervention	3,436.14
HIV Counsel & Test	4,364.26	Atty Anti-Racket	46,916.40
PANT	7,202.62	Law Library	598.01
CASA	8,304.07	Case Process	8,619.11
Azeip Coordinator	5,351.73	Vict Witns Prog	11,525.06
Court Enhancement	3,107.39	Council Court	2,849.50
Drug Enf Fndg	4,554.34	Primary Care Svs	31,008.06
Victims Rights Impl	6,889.52	JAIBG Juv Acct	664.23
JAIBG Juv Acct III	3,969.75	Yav Indian Agreement	3,598.35
Hassayampa/LTC	1,982.32	Dietetic Intern	1,109.36
Immuniz Service	4,302.29	Personal Care Svs	970.06
Idea-Preschool	1,746.36	Subs Abuse/DARE	585.05
Chem Abuse	497.33	Family Drug Court	1,677.03
Juvenile Drug Court	5,382.59	Juv Det/PACE	7,652.92
Prevent Child Abuse	2,311.94	Special Program	18,425.22
Sm Schools Ecia	1,393.36	Sm Schools Beha	54,349.28
Cops in School	9,068.37	Fill the Gap - Courts	12,185.93
Hurf Road Funds	610,088.02	Health Fund	132,947.80
Jail Commissary	15,803.80	Yav. Cemetary Assoc.	513.57
Landfill Administ.	77,220.64	Water Advisory Comm	5,692.43
Clinical NICP	1,365.79	Tire Recycle	25,554.45
Safe School Pro	12,704.28	Adhs-Svs Coord	1,306.00
Local Incentive Awards	1,072.04	Fill the Gap - Attorney	4,046.66
Family Law Commiss.	7,455.73	Comm Punish Pro	4,058.74
Juv. Detent Ed Pro	781.51	Regnl Road Project	1,175,562.17
Library Auto Consor	98.56	Sterilization Svs	4,890.53
Health Start	8,102.32	Victim Compens.	4,432.70
Child Victims of Abuse	37,538.00	Interstate Compact Pro	4,767.96
Ryan White II	3,170.17	Primary Care Fees	590.34
Perinatal Block	4,687.24	Well Woman Health	1,494.07
Tobacco Educ	24,500.73	Hopwa Housing Op	269.67
Cops Fast	6,080.32	Ad Prob Education	748.25
Detention Education	187.11	Youth Summer Train	121,464.63
School Res. Mayer	3,619.70	Direct Treat Ed Fund	2,476.00
Mental Health RWJF	1,044.50	Field Trainer	3,538.28
Comm. Access Pro	1,053.80	Attendant Care	41,455.24
HIV/CT	887.57	HIV Targeted	3,904.57
Child Justice	910.88	Child Sup & Vis	1,244.17
Domestic Relations	95.83	Self Service	869.46
VOCA	6,446.19	LTAf VLT Local Tran	20,800.00
Yav. Drug Court	3,559.82	JTSF Treatment	7,730.68
Diversion Conseq.	3,251.26	Tobacco Donation Fund	100.00
Transferred Youth	2,872.31	Capital Projects	8,863.11
Juv Jail Improve P-1	31,686.34	Sedona Muni Airport	124,415.22
Sedona Airport	26,268.00	ALTCS	753,516.00

In addition, payroll was issued on October 19 for the pay period ending October 27; warrant numbers 2411447 through 2411942, in the amount of \$379,120.01. Jury certificates were also issued, warrant numbers 6852733 through 6852842; 6852844 through 6853065. Warrants issued for November 5 Board day, 4158353 through 4158815; 4158816 through 4159224; 4159225 through 4159639.

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned.

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_ Clerk \_\_\_\_\_ Chairman