# OFFICE OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA

Prescott, Arizona August 19, 2002

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session on August 19, 2002.

Present: Lorna Street, Chairman; Chip Davis, Vice Chairman; Gheral Brownlow, Member; Bev Staddon, Clerk.

Also present: Jim Holst, County Administrator; Dave Hunt, Board Attorney/Assistant County Administrator.

### ITEM NO. 1. Board of Supervisors.

- 1. Set primary and secondary tax rates for 2002 and approve resolution providing for the collection of taxes by the County Treasurer. Mr. Holst explained that the various jurisdictions had provided their levy amounts to the County, and that the County, using the levy amounts and the assessed valuation for each jurisdiction, calculated the tax rates. Supervisor Davis noted that most jurisdictions had reduced rates. He then moved to approve the tax rates and Resolution No. 1365 providing for the collection of taxes by the County Treasurer. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.
- 2. Discussion and possible action regarding PL 106-393 Forest Project funds. Mr. Hunt told the Board it had until September 30 to determine the percentage of funds it wanted to use for projects. He said he would like to have some guidance as to how the Board would like to obtain input for projects, adding that the chipper project had already been mentioned as a possibility for funding again this year. He said the Board would need to allocated between 15% and 20% for projects, but that it did not need to identify specific projects at this time. Supervisor Davis said he believed the Board should continue to fund the Forest Patrol deputies with project funds, and that if the funds were used to cover the fire mitigation project it would still leave some funding available for other projects. There was brief discussion regarding the amount of funding that might be available, during which Supervisor Davis said he had been contacted by the Conservation Corps regarding possible funding and Chairman Street said she was interested in helping the Highland Center. Mr. Hunt said that if the Board already had projects in mind for funding that was fine, or he could take steps to get information out about the program. There was general agreement that the Board would consider setting the percentage for the program at its September 16, 2002, meeting.
- 3. Discussion and possible action regarding a voluntary water conservation program. Supervisor Davis said that the state was in the fourth year of a drought that some experts had forecasted might continue for 30 or 40 years. He said that although Arizona was an arid state it was probably one of the least conservative states in the nation with regard to water. He said that the program, which would send letters to encourage restaurant owners to serve water only upon request of their customers and hotels and motels to encourage the reuse of towels and bedding for those guests staying more than one night, would help people to be aware of the importance of conserving water. Chairman Street said she knew there were some businesses in the County that were already doing this, but that the program would be a good reminder. Supervisor Brownlow moved to approve the program. Supervisor Davis seconded the motion. Chairman Street asked if there was any reason why the Yavapai County Water Advisory Committee could not be involved in a water conservation program. Supervisor Davis said the WAC had discussed the possibility, and that he would talk with some of the WAC members about it. Chairman Street called for the vote, which carried unanimously.
- 4. Consider approval of items appearing on the Consent Agenda for Board of Supervisors and on the Consent Agenda for Special Districts. With the exception of items 1.c., 1.l., and 1.n. on the Consent Agenda for Board of Supervisors, and items 2.c. and 3.b. on the Consent Agenda for Special Districts, the Board voted unanimously to approve both Consent Agendas. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Brownlow. See Consent Agenda for details.
- ITEM NO. 2. Medical Assistance Director Mona Berkowitz. Request to increase travel line item in the Long Term Care budget from \$1,200 to \$8,000, to be transferred from the contingency account within the Long Term Care budget. Mr. Holst said he would present this item on behalf of Ms. Berkowitz. He reminded the Board that during the budget process he had eliminated all amounts listed for out-of-state travel from departmental budgets. He said that for whatever reason, nearly all of the travel requested for the Long Term Care budget was listed as out-of-state and that as a result he had removed it from the budget, leaving \$1,200 in travel for a department of 56 people. Mr. Holst said that

Ms. Berkowitz had sinced clarified that nearly all of the travel she had requested was actually for instate travel, and that he could not explain why it had been listed as out-of-state travel on their budget. He pointed out that last year the department had been budgeted for \$6,500 in travel and that what Ms. Berkowitz was requesting for the current fiscal year was \$8,000. Mr. Holst said the money was available in a contingency account within the Long Term Care budget and that he was requesting permission to transfer money from that account to the department's travel account in order to make up the difference between \$1,200 and \$8,000. Supervisor Davis moved to approve the request, pointing out that the amount was in line with what other departments had received, that many of the people in the department used their own vehicles for work-related purposes, and that the situation was somewhat unique. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

MIS Director Stephen Welsh. Request to consider entering into a consortium agreement with Navajo County on the Assessor's Project; the hiring of Ron Gibbs at \$75,000; and make a salary adjustment from Range 65, Step 3 to Range 65, Step 13 for Tony Hart, MIS Programmer assigned to the project. Mr. Welsh told the Board that he had been working with Navajo County and Ron Gibbs, who he said had worked with the Arizona Department of Revenue and who had assisted Maricopa County in getting its Assessor's project up and running. He said that the project would enable the County to have control over its own data. Supervisor Brownlow asked where the salaries would be paid from. Mr. Holst responded that all of the costs related to the project would be charged to the consortium, with the County's portion coming from the Assessor's Storage and Retrieval Fund. He said that Navajo County would pay one-third of the costs, and that he did not anticipate having to use any money from the County General Fund. County Assessor Tony Martinez told the Board that currently the County is paying about \$163,000 a year to DOR for computer services. Mr. Holst said that in addition to DOR's charge of 60 cents per parcel, it was also charging 40 cents per parcel for a fund to upgrade its computer system and that once the County's project was underway the 40 cents per parcel charge would be eliminated. Mr. Welsh said he hoped to take the project live within three years. Mr. Holst told the Board he believed that before the end of that three-year period there would be other counties interested in participating in the consortium. He said that if two or three other counties decided to join, it was entirely possible that 100% of the costs incurred by the County and by Navajo County would be reimbursed. He stressed that the project would be successful. Supervisor Brownlow noted that page two of the agreement referred to a technical advisory committee but that it did not say who would sit on that committee. Mr. Hunt said the membership of that committee may not have been set because it was not a decision-making body. Supervisor Brownlow said the agreement said that one technical representative would be designated by each member. He said that on page three of the agreement there was reference to servicing entities and he asked how time would be separated out. Mr. Welsh said the project would require very dedicated people and that there would be some intermingling of time, but that it would be kept to a minimum. There was brief discussion about the importance of keeping employees' time in such a manner that it was clear which county was being charged. In response to a question from Supervisor Davis, Mr. Welsh explained that Mr. Hart was a programmer who had been with the County for a year already and that he wanted to increase his salary to such a level that he could be assured that Mr. Hart would remain until the project was completed. Supervisor Davis asked if the coordinating committee had been established. Mr. Welsh responded that there had been discussion about having the County Administrator, an MIS person and the Assessors from each County on the committee. Supervisor Brownlow asked how much money the project would save for the County. Mr. Welsh said there was an amount that would be saved, but that the real value of the project was that it would allow the County to have control of its own data. He said the program would be an ongoing program that would require two programmers, but that at the end of the three years the person with the highest salary would no longer be needed. Supervisor Davis said he believed the agreement needed to be amended to delete item 2.d. Chairman Street asked if the Board could just authorize the staffing portion of the request on this day. Supervisor Davis asked Mr. Holst how he had arrived at the 66/33 split on costs between the two counties. Mr. Holst said it was based on the total number of parcels. Supervisor Brownlow asked Mr. Martinez if he was comfortable with the project. Mr. Martinez responded that he was. Supervisor Davis moved to approve the request with the understanding that the agreement would be amended to delete item 2.d. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion. Chairman Street said this would not be a cost-saving program, but that it would do what the County had depended on DOR for in the past. She said that no one should think of the project as something that would save the County all kinds of money. She then called for the vote, which carried unanimously.

ITEM NO. 4. Resolve into the Yavapai County Board of Equalization and conduct hearings on

Petitions for Notice of Proposed Correction: Reference: BOE minutes.

- 1. Dr. George Moore, parcels 408-25-040A and 040C, for tax years 2002 and 2003. (Held in abeyance July 15, 2002.)
- 2. Robert and Joan Bonnet, parcel 406-29-062, for tax years 2002 and 2003.
- ITEM NO. 5. Resolve into the Board of Supervisors. Development Services Director Ken Spedding and Planning Manager Elise Link. Planning and zoning. Planning & Zoning Commission member Helmut Woellmer was present to represent the Commission.
  - 1. Consider approval of items appearing on the Consent Agenda for Planning & Zoning. The Board considered all Consent Agenda items separately. Please see Consent Agenda for Planning & Zoning, below, for detail.
  - 2. Zoning map change/preliminary site plan and a Minor General Plan Amendment to PAD in conjunction with a preliminary subdivision plat/final site plan, 408-34-002A, Sedona area, Sedona Development Partners, LLC, #2060. Consideration of a Zoning Map Change/Preliminary Site Plan to PAD (Planned Area Development) and a Minor General Plan Amendment for a twenty-six (26) residential unit development, including a golf driving range and tennis courts in conjunction with a Preliminary Plat/Final Site Plan in order to allow the creation and eventual conveyance of twenty (20) residential lots and seven (7) tracts on approximately thirty-eight (38) acres in an RCU-2A (Residential, Rural; two [2] acre minimum lot size) zoning district for the project known as The Refuge. Located directly north of the Seven Canyons subdivision, on Dry Creek Road approximately one and one-half (1-1/2) miles north of its intersection with State Route 89A in the City of Sedona. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of hearing application H2060, Zoning Map Change/Preliminary Plat, etc., subject to the following stipulations: 1). Zoning Map Change to PAD (Planned Area Development) for a total of twentysix (26) residential units on thirty-eight (38) acres in general conformance with the submitted Letter of Intent dated 5-6-2002, and Preliminary Plat/Final Site Plan. Preliminary Plat approval for conveyance of twenty (20) lots and seven (7) tracts to be in general conformance with the submitted Preliminary Plat/Final Site Plan; 2). Minor Amendment to the Red Rock Dry Creek Community Plan to allow the property to be developed with an overall average density of 1.46 acres per unit; 3). Future plat submittals shall show the required twenty-(20) foot setback along the exterior of the entire PAD, typical building setbacks and shall not show any of the proposed villa structures encroaching the property line setbacks; 4). Eight (8) villa units located on lots 14 and 15, to be marketed for a minimum of twenty-eight (28) day fractional ownerships and there will be no rentals; 5). Applicant shall obtain any United States Forest Service (USFS) approvals for roadway improvements that may be necessary, prior to issuance of building permits; 6). All roads to be built to County Standards indicated in Resolution 1036, unless waived by the Board of Supervisors; 7). Central water and wastewater shall be provided; 8). Driving Range to be limited to five (5) acres irrigated. Groundwater and effluent irrigation of the Driving Range to be subject to the restrictions of the previous allocations for the Seven Canyons project; 9). One (1) foot non-access easement around the perimeter of the property, excluding single ingress/egress roadway, to be indicated on the Final Plat. Ms. Link said she would like to present this item in conjunction with hearing application #2061, below. Supervisor Davis said some members of the Planning & Zoning Commission had wanted to keep the two items separate, but that he had no problem with information about both of them being presented to the Board at the same time. Ms. Link provided background information on Seven Canyons, saying that in 1998 there were 300 timeshare units approved along with a golf course. She said that since that time, new owners had developed the property in a different manner, constructing a golf course with 57 acres of turf that would use about 310 acre feet of water per year, and that they had put in far fewer timeshare units. She said that the developer had purchased most of the surrounding private property, pointing out that "The Refuge" was property nearly adjacent to Seven Canyons for which the developer was proposing a 7-acre driving range and 26 residential units that she said would be tied into the water and sewer system for Seven Canyons. She said that the Commission members who opposed this application had done so because they felt that density should not be looked at within the scope of the entire Seven Canyons project but instead on each piece of property. Ms. Link said that The Refuge would have 25% open space and that, overall, the density for the entire area would be reduced. She said the developer had agreed to limit the amount of groundwater that would be used, and that since the Commission hearing on the application the Public Works Director had indicated that he had no problem with the road waiver request. Ms. Link said that Canon del Oro was an old development just north of Seven Canyons and that the applicant was

proposing 23 units with 14 single family homes. She said the developer would reduce the number of lots that were originally platted, and that the Commission had unanimously recommended approval of this application. Ms. Link said that many property owners in the area had attended the Commission meeting and expressed support for this project. She said that in this case, also, the developer had requested some waivers of road standards and that the Public Works Director had indicated that while he typically would not support waivers in this case what the developer was proposing would be a big improvement over what is currently on the ground and that he had no problem with it. She added that the project would not increase the amount of water that would be used, and that she also was aware that the developer had been talking with the City of Sedona regarding using the city's effluent on the golf course. Mike Withey, who said was representing Pinnacle, the master developer of Seven Canyons, told the Board that there were both staff and Commission recommendations for approval of these hearing applications, and that the applicant was satisfied with the stipulations. He told the Board that the golf course at Seven Canyons was complete and that the developer had reduced the total number of units on that property. He said that the two other pieces of property in the area were Canon del Oro and The Refuge, and that it was important to the applicant to try to gain control over those pieces of property in order to ensure that they were developed in a manner compatible with that of Seven Canyons. Mr. Withey said the developer had acquired many of the lots of Canon del Oro and that the 38-acre parcel known as The Refuge was in escrow. He said that the practice area at The Refuge would not be open to the public and that it was the Commission that had recommended the practice area be located at The Refuge rather than on the Seven Canyons golf course. He told the Board that the Canon del Oro subdivision was actually approved in the 1950s and that many of the lots in the subdivision did not have access to dedicated streets. Mr. Withey said the developer had acquired 41 of the 50 lots in that subdivision, and that he was working with the other nine property owners to make sure that everyone's vision for the area was compatible. He said that between the two applications, the overall density would be reduced by another 12 units. He said there had been discussion at the Commission meeting about water, but that this was a land use issue and not a water issue. He said that regardless, the applicant would use much less water than anticipated. Mr. Withey said that with regard to the road waivers, he believed the Public Works Director had reviewed the applicant's proposal and had no problem with it. In response to a question from Chairman Street, Mr. Withey said that the applicant had asked for a seven-acre driving range, but that the Commission had recommended that it be reduced to five acres and that the applicant had no problem with that. Supervisor Brownlow referred to a driving range in Chino Valley, saying that at that location there was grass only in the tee area and that it was dirt everywhere else. Mr. Withey said that the practice range at The Refuge would have some natural area, and that it was actually just under five acres in size. He said that the Seven Canyons golf course was one of the highest end golf courses around and that the developer had designed it to have less acreage than what was allowed. Supervisor Davis asked if the roads would be private. Mr. Withey said that issue was not part of the application but that it was the desire of the developer to have private roads. He stressed that the practice range was not commercial, but would be open to members only and that it would not generate traffic. He said the applicant was considering abandoning portions of the roads that had been dedicated to the public and that he would like all of the roads to be private, but that there were still nine property owners to be taken into consideration. Deputy County Attorney Randy Schurr said that the roads in Canon del Oro were dedicated to the County but that they had never been built to County standards or accepted by the County. Supervisor Davis asked how access to The Refuge would be obtained. Mr. Withey said there was an existing road, but that the applicant would need to work with the Forest Service to obtain an easement. Supervisor Davis asked how many of the nine property owners in Canon del Oro were on board with this project. Mr. Withey responded that he believed the applicant had consensus from all nine property owners, and that he did not expect any opposition in abandoning road easements. Supervisor Davis told Mr. Withey that even though he had said that this was only a land use issue, he needed to understand that water under the ground affected everyone. Chairman Street called for comments from public. Harry Easton identified himself as a member of Red Rock Dry Creek Community Plan Committee, saying that the issue of Long Canyon had been before the Board on a number of occasions. He said he recognized that the Board could not make decisions about this plan based on the use of groundwater to water the golf course. He said he would like to see the density lowered on The Refuge and that he would like to see the developer make a public statement that he would make a good faith effort to work with the City of Sedona to use the city's effluent. Mr. Easton said that he had been informed by Bill Kusner of Keep Sedona Beautiful that that organization would also like to see the developer make these two commitments. Cottonwood resident Stephen Kling, representing the Verde River Citizens' Alliance, said he believed there was a problem with the process for approval of these asked the Board not to applications and he "rubber-stamp" the Commission's recommendations. Sedona resident Robert Gellman said he supported Mr. Easton's comments. Sedona area resident Rita Livingston said she also agreed with Mr. Easton's comments but that she was also concerned about pesticides that would be used on the golf course. Anita Rachelle, who said she was president of the Verde River Citizens' Alliance, talked about the water table and the practice of using groundwater on golf courses. She said the developer had done some remarkable things but that times had changed and that everyone needed to be very careful with water. She said she also supported Mr. Easton's comments. Phoenix resident Marshall Bonds spoke in favor of the project, saying that over the years he had seen many things come and go in the area and that he believed the current developer was there to stay. He told the Board that he owned property in the subject area and that he hoped to build his home there soon. Canon del Oro resident Reuel Prinzing told the Board that he was speaking on behalf of several people in his area. He provided historical information about the area, saying that by today's standards the area was a mess. He said he had a large investment in his property, that he was concerned about how the area would be developed, and that he was in favor of the project. He told the Board that putting the practice range in The Refuge would not disturb anyone, and that if the project was approved he and other property owners would no longer have to haul water but would be able to connect to a water system. Sedona resident Rob Holeman told the Board he was in favor of the project, as did Sedona resident Hoyt Johnson, who said he felt the project was the best resolution to a long-standing dilemma and that he hoped the Board would approve it. Supervisor Brownlow asked Ms. Link about the progress on the golf course for the Tree Farm. Ms. Link said the developer of the Tree Farm had just requested an extension of time on the final plat and that they had not yet started construction of the golf course. She noted that the Tree Farm was required to develop an off-site recharge plan or provide an alternate method of obtaining water. Ms. Link said she believed that the developer was providing money in lieu of a recharge program. She said she thought if Seven Canyons could work with the City of Sedona on an effluent line, the Tree Farm might be able to participate. There was brief discussion regarding practice ranges and driving ranges, during which Mr. Withey said he thought a driving range was a commercial venture whereas a practice range was simply a place to practice prior to going out on the golf course for a game. He said that in order to have a championship type golf course, a practice range was necessary. Supervisor Brownlow said he had taken a great deal of heat with regard to the Talking Rock golf course, and that it was the same type of thing. He asked how long it would be before the developer could put in an effluent return line. Mr. Withey said the developer would build his own private treatment plant and that all of the effluent from the 96 units at Seven Canyons plus that from The Refuge and Canon del Oro would be used to water the golf course. He said that if the project had 900 to 1,000 units then it would be possible to water the golf course entirely with effluent but that a development of that size would also create more demand for water. Supervisor Brownlow asked Mr. Withey when the pipe from Sedona's plant would be built. Mr. Withey said that Mr. Easton had asked him to publicly state that the developer would continue to work in good faith with the City of Sedona to bring an effluent line to the property, and that he was happy to make that statement. He said the developer would continue to work on that issue and that he believed there was a very good chance that it would happen. He said that Mr. Easton had also asked that the number of units in The Refuge be reduced, and that the developer was agreeable to reducing the number of units from 26 to 20. Supervisor Brownlow said he still believed the developer could put in some artificial turf on the practice range, or that perhaps the Board should not approve it until such time as the effluent pipe is in. Mr. Withey said that if the developer used just dirt or artificial turf on the practice range, it would be necessary to mass-grade the site and that it would not be nice to look at and that it would create some significant dust problems. He suggested the Board consider limiting the amount of turf overall. In response to a question from Supervisor Davis, Mr. Withey said that at build-out, which he estimated would occur within three or four years, the project would produce enough effluent to provide about 25% of the water needed for the golf course. Supervisor Davis asked that stipulation #6 be amended to provide that roads are to remain private. He asked if the developer had participated in the cost of improvements to the Dry Creek bridge. Mr. Schurr said the developer had paid their share, and that the share was based on the original plan that called for 300 units. Supervisor Davis asked Mr. Withey if some of the wells on the property had caved in. Mr. Withey said there had been no cave-ins, but that there had been some pump problems that had since been repaired. Supervisor Davis asked if it would be possible to add a stipulation that neighbors would be allowed to connect to the water system. Mr. Withey said he had no problem with that, and Supervisor Brownlow said it was his understanding that those neighbors would need to pay to connect. Supervisor Davis said that Seven Canyons was one of the first approvals under the County's new golf course ordinance and that the project was allowed to have 90 acres of turf and that the developer had reduced that to 52 acres. He said he wanted to commend the developer for reducing the amount of turf on the golf course and also for reducing the amount on the practice range. He said he would like one of the stipulations to reflect that the developer would use only about 285 acre feet of water for the course. Mr. Withey said that the latest hydrological report estimated that it would take approximately 325 acre feet of water per year. He said the course was approved for 400 acre feet and that he would prefer to be held to that standard, adding that the course would not be watered unless it was necessary. Supervisor Davis said he would like to establish a maximum acre-feet of water use in order to encourage the developer to use water conservatively. He asked if 300 acre feet per year would be acceptable. Mr. Withey said he believed the use would average out to 325 acre feet per year. Supervisor Davis said he would like to see monitors on the wells and to have an annual report, saying that if there was a year where it was necessary to water the golf course more it was probably because of drought conditions and that in such circumstances the developer could probably haul in additional water for the course. He said he would also like to put a time limit for a 25% reduction in groundwater use to encourage the developer to use effluent on the golf course. Ms. Link said that when the Board approved the golf course, it was with the stipulation that the developer would need to provide the County with annual reports and that as a result the developer would need to monitor water use. She said that rather than using an arbitrary number, the Board might want to instead look at limiting the use of groundwater on the golf course to a certain number of years. Supervisor Davis told Mr. Withey that he wanted to see the project go through as proposed, but that he also wanted some quarantees that the developer would be careful with water use. Mr. Withey said that the County's goals were not inconsistent with the developer's goals. He said he thought what Supervisor Davis was attempting to do was to penalize the developer for voluntarily reducing the number of acres in the golf course. He said that if it came down to having to use more water in order to save the golf course, the developer needed to be able to do that. He said it might take 280 acre feet one year and 330 acre feet the next year, and that he did not want to be in violation of the County's requirements. He suggested averaging the use over a period of years. Supervisor Brownlow asked how much water it would take if the golf course was restricted to a total of 65 acres. At this point, Mr. Schurr told the Board it might want to consider acting on the Canon del Oro application since it did not have a practice range. He pointed out that the application represented a significant reduction in density and that the neighbors were in support of it. Supervisor Davis suggested limiting the water use to 285 acre feet per year and requiring a 25% reduction in groundwater use within ten years. Mr. Withey said that his hydrologist was telling him that at least 325 acre feet per year would be needed. Supervisor Davis suggested limiting water use to 325 acre feet per year with a 25% reduction in groundwater use within four yeas. Mr. Withey said that the approval of a 90-acre golf course and 400 acre feet of water was not before the Board on this day. Chairman Street said she did not think the Board had any business trying to force the applicant to purchase effluent from the City of Sedona. At this point, the Board took a brief break and upon its return took up the issue of the Canon del Oro application, hearing application #2061. Ms. Link presented the stipulations, noting that there was a change with regard to the road standards. Supervisor Davis asked if there could be a deadline for the temporary sales office. Ms. Link said that would happen when they began coming in for building permits. Supervisor Davis asked that stipulation #7 be amended to reflect that water and wastewater service would be connected to all lots, and that stipulation #6 be amended to reflect that the roads would remain private. Supervisor Davis then moved to approve the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission with regard to hearing application #2061, with the changes to the stipulations that he requested. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote. Discussion returned to hearing application #2060 (The Refuge), with Chairman Street asking if the County's golf course ordinance stated how many acres are allowed for a golf course and how many acre feet of water are allowed. Ms. Link said the ordinance provided for 400 acre feet of water and 90 acres of turf. She said there was a requirement for monitoring and reporting water use. Mr. Withey said there was a legal question as to whether the Board could regulate water use on the 138-acre parcel that was already approved. There was brief discussion about how many acre feet of water are actually required to water a golf course, during which Mr. Withey said he did not want to make a black and white representative about how much water it would take. He said that his hydrologist was telling him it would take at least 325 acre feet, and that the golf practice area was critical to the golf course. He said he had tried to work in good faith over the years with all of the neighbors and with the County. Supervisor Davis asked Mr. Withey if he would agree not to exceed 52 acres on the main golf course and five acres on the practice range. Mr. Withey said he would agree to that. He said that if the Board limited the turn and limited the density it would signficantly reduce water use. Ms. Link noted that the developer had agreed to work with the City of Sedona, saying she believed that was very important. Supervisor Davis asked Mr. Withey if he could agree to a 25% reduction of groundwater use within five years. Mr. Withey said he thought that at the end of the build-out, which he estimated would be within five years, that 25% of the course could be watered with effluent, but that he could not control when single-family homes would be built on the property. Supervisor Davis said he wanted to know that the project would not consume groundwater forever and that the developer had a plan to eliminate the use of groundwater. He asked Mr. Withey when he could reduce groundwater use by 25%. Mr. Withey said he believed that could occur at the end of ten years. Chairman Street asked how far the Sedona treatment plant was from the applicant's property. Mr. Withey responded that it was two or two and onehalf miles, making an effluent line a significant investment. Supervisor Brownlow said the Board had asked the applicant to reduce density, and that maybe it had asked them to cut so much that they might not be able to afford to put in an effluent pipeline. Supervisor Davis moved to approve the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission, with the following changes: Amend stipulation #1 to reduce density from 26 units to 20 units; amend stipulation #6 to approve road waivers as recommended by the Public Works Director and to clarify that the roads will remain private; amend stipulation #7 to clarify that central water and wastewater services will be provided; and amend stipulation #8 to clarify that turf for the Seven Canyons golf course will not exceed 52 acres and that the driving range would be limited to five acres, with use of groundwater for the golf course to be reduced by at least 25% within ten years. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

3. Zoning map change/preliminary plat to PAD, 408-20-001 through 015, 017, 018, 019, 022, 023, 028 through 041, 045 through 054, 056 and 057, Village of Oak Creek area, Canon Del Oro, Allison Macaulay agent for Sedona Development Partners, LLC, #2061. Consideration of a Zoning Map Change/ Preliminary Plat to PAD (Planned Area Development) for a 23 residential unit development in order to allow the creation and eventual conveyance of 16 residential lots and 6 tracts on approximately twenty four (24) acres in a RCU-2A (Residential: Rural, two acre minimum lot size) zoning district in order to replat an existing subdivision known as Canon Del Oro. Located directly north of the Seven Canyons subdivision, on Dry Creek Rd. approximately one and one half (1 1/2) miles north of its intersection with State Route 89A in the City of Sedona. The Planning and Zoning Commission unanimously recommended approval of H2061, Zoning Map Change/Preliminary Plat, subject to the following stipulations: 1). Zoning Map Change to PAD (Planned Area Development) for a total of twenty-three (23) residential units on 24.5 acres in general conformance with the submitted letter of intent dated 5-6-2002, and Preliminary Plat/Final Site Plan. Preliminary Plat approval for conveyance of sixteen (16) lots and six (6) tracts to be in general conformance with the submitted Preliminary Plat/Final Site Plan. Once a Final Plat is recorded, it will supercede all the previously recorded plats, with the exception of the excluded lots; 2). Future plat submittals shall show, on the Plat sheets, the required twenty (20) foot setback along the exterior of the PAD, and typical building setbacks for all lots and shall not show any of the proposed villa structures crossing a parcel line; 3). Nine (9) Villa Units located on lots 13 and 14, to be marketed for minimum of twenty-eight (28) day fractional ownerships and there will be no rentals; 4). Use Permit issued on parcel 408-20-019, for a temporary sales office to become null and void, when building permits are submitted for construction of the Villa Units; 5). Applicant shall obtain any United States Forest Service (USFS) approvals for roadway improvements that may be necessary, prior to issuance of building permits; 6). All roads to be built the County Standards indicated in Resolution 1036, unless waived by the Board of Supervisors; 7). Central water and wastewater shall be provided. This item was considered in conjunction with hearing application #2060, above. Please see the above item for detail. Upon a motion by Supervisor Davis, seconded by Supervisor Brownlow, the Board voted unanimously to approve the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission, with amendment to stipulation #7 to reflect that water and wastewater service would be connected to all lots, and with amendment to stipulation #6 to reflect that the roads would remain private.

#### CONSENT AGENDA FOR PLANNING & ZONING:

- 1. Use permit to allow an extension of time for an existing sales office in a PAD zoning district, 405-55-025, Village of Oak Creek area, Art Beckwith for Shephard-Wesnitzer, Inc. agent for Dennis and Deborah Walders, #2074. Consideration of a Use Permit to allow an extension of time for an existing sales office (previously authorized under County Ordinance Section 108T.3.) on Lot 25 of the La Barranca subdivision currently zoned PAD. Located at the northeast corner of the intersection of La Barranca Drive and Jacks Canyon Road, 1.3 miles south of the intersection of Jacks Canyon Road and Highway 179 in the Village of Oak Creek. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of hearing application H2074, Use Permit, subject to the following stipulation: 1). Approve a temporary Use Permit to operate a real estate office for a two (2) year period of time in accordance with all other terms outlined in the applicant's Letter of Intent submitted May 8, 2002 and Section 108T of the Yavapai County Zoning Ordinance. Referring to this hearing application and hearing application #2075, below, Supervisor Davis asked why there were two sales offices and how long it would take to sell the remainder of the lots. Ms. Link said she believed the reason for two sales offices was that there were different builders, and that she thought there was a feeling that as currently written the Ordinance did not provide enough time to sell all of the lots. She said that staff would be looking at in conjunction with revisions to the Ordinance. Supervisor Davis asked if sales of time shares were being conducted from the sales offices. Ms. Link said they were not. Upon a motion by Supervisor Davis, seconded by Supervisor Brownlow, the Board voted unanimously to approve the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission for this item and for hearing application #2075, below.
- 2. Use permit to allow for an extension of time for an existing sales office in a PAD zoning district, 405-54-035, Village of Oak Creek area, James Shelly of Cachet Homes agent for Paul and Clara Cyr, #2075. Consideration of a Use Permit to allow for an extension of time for an existing sales office (previously authorized under County Ordinance Section 108T.3.) in Unit 1, Lot 65 of the Las Piedras subdivision currently zoned PAD. Located at the corner of the intersection of Avenida de Piedras and Rio Sinagua, one block south of the intersection of Avenida de Piedras and Highway 179 North in the Village of Oak Creek. Situs address: 10 Rio Sinagua. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of hearing application H2074, Use Permit, subject to the following stipulation: 1). Approve a temporary use permit, non-transferable, to cooperate a real estate office for a two (2) year period of time in accordance with accordance with all other terms outlined in the applicant's Letter of Intent dated May 28, 2002 and amended July 2, 2002 and in accordance with Section 108T of the Yavapai County Zoning Ordinance. The recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission was approved by unanimous vote, upon a motion by Supervisor Davis, seconded by Supervisor Brownlow. See hearing application #2075, above, for detail.
- 3. Use permit to allow a 25' x 50' building to be constructed on site for use as a wine tasting facility, in conjunction with the proposed winery on a nineteen acre parcel in an RCU-2A zoning district, Oak Creek Winery, 407-29-029A, Page Springs area, Michael Pearce, #2075. Consideration of a Use Permit in order to allow a 25'x50' building to be constructed on site for use as a wine tasting facility, in conjunction with the proposed winery on a nineteen [19] acre parcel in an RCU-2A (Residential, Rural; two [2] acre minimum lot size) zoning district. Located off of Page Springs Road, across from the Fish Hatchery, approximately three (3) miles south of its intersection with State Route 89A. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of hearing application H2073, Use Permit, subject to the following stipulations: 1). Use Permit to be approved on a ten-(10) year transferable basis; 2). Only one split of the parcel allowed while the Use Permit is in effect; 3). Revised site plan in general conformance with currently submitted site plan to be submitted and approved by the Development Services Department, showing conformance with the regulations set forth in the Planning and Zoning Ordinance for parking, access and lighting, prior to issuance of building permits; 4). Development to be in substantial conformance with approved site plan referenced in Stipulation #3; 5). Signage to be limited to that allowed in the Light Commercial Districts, with no more than ninety-six (96) square feet of signage, including thirty-two (32) square feet maximum free-standing; 6). Screening requirement in Section 108B of the Ordinance to be waived; 7). Revised site plan to be submitted and reviewed by the Design Review Division to demonstrate that ingress/egress to the wine tasting facility aligns with the fish hatchery driveway across Page Springs Road and to ensure safe traffic movement onto and off of Page Springs Road; 8). All appropriate permits from ADEQ, County Health Department and

the State Liquor Board to be received prior to initiation of use; 9). Development of the property shall be in conformance with all other applicable standards and regulations in the Planning and Zoning Ordinance, unless waived by the Board of Supervisors; 10). Certificate of Compliance to be issued prior to initiation of use. Supervisor Davis said that some time ago the Board had received a letter of opposition to this request. Ms. Link said she had not been aware of the letter at the time this item was placed on the Consent Agenda, and that because the letter was from someone who did not live in the area she felt it could stay on the Consent Agenda. She told the Board that the applicant had requested a permanent use permit, but that she felt this use permit should be treated in the same manner as most other use permits and have a time limit applied to it initially. Applicant Michael Pearce said he would like to have a permanent, transferable use permit, but that he had no problem with the use permit have a limit of 10 years. Ms. Link said that at the end of 10 years, the applicant could come back and request that the use permit be made permanent or he could request a zoning map change for the property. Supervisor Brownlow said he thought that people who were looking at making a large investment in a property would be asked to pursue rezoning instead of a use permit. Supervisor Davis said he thought that a use permit was appropriate in this case because it would allow the County to see what the impacts to the area would be from traffic and the like. Saying he was very much in favor of a vineyard at this location, Supervisor Davis moved to approve the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion. Chairman Street asked how commercial this endeavor would end up being. Ms. Link said it sounded to her like it would be low key, and that she felt that was another reason why a 10-year limit on the use permit would be good. She said she did not think the applicant even knew at this point how much activity would be involved. Supervisor Davis said that the people who live in the area were very happy about the project. Chairman Street called for the vote, which carried unanimously.

### ITEM NO. 6. Public Works Director Richard Straub.

- 1. Hearing: Consider approval of a resolution to establish Goddard Road as a County Highway. Following brief discussion, during which area resident Sam Morey asked for assurance that this was not the first step in a loop road, Supervisor Davis moved to approve Resolution No. 1366, with the provision that the establishment would end at the Forest Service boundary just west of Quail Run. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.
- 2. Consider approval of a purchase agreement for right-of-way on Outer Loop Road, Chino Valley area, at a cost not to exceed \$63,400. Half-cent sales tax project. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Davis.
- 3. Consider approval of Authorization of Services #2209513 and on call contract for Mingus Avenue Extension, Appraisal Services with Wayne Harding, in an amount not to exceed \$2,500. Half-cent sales tax project. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Brownlow.
- 4. Discussion and direction on procedure for removal of abandoned vehicles. Sheriff's Captain Dennis Price and Deputy County Attorney Randy Schurr participated in discussion of this item. Mr. Straub told the Board that in the last nine months there had been two large house trailers abandoned in the public right-of-way as well as some vehicles. He said there were detailed procedures under state law for disposing of such items, but that there was a question regarding who would pay for it. He said the Sheriff's Office had not budgeted for it. Captain Price said the Sheriff's Office had only \$800 budgeted for abandoned vehicles. Mr. Schurr told the Board that trailers were just junk, but that vehicles were a different problem. He said he did not believe that disposal of trailers was covered in state statutes, and that Fleet Management Director Dave Burnside had indicated he had a problem because he could not charge for picking up and disposing of vehicles. Mr. Schurr suggested implementing a fee to cover the County's costs, then applying for abandoned vehicle titles and disposing of them at auction. Chairman Street said there was also a problem related to abandoned vehicles on private property, but that the County had no authority to remove those. She asked if there was a way to deal with that problem legislatively. Supervisor Davis suggested having an annual contract with a towing company to pick up abandoned vehicles. He asked if the Board should establish criteria regarding how long a vehicle should be allowed to sit before it is picked up. Captain Price said he thought one week was sufficient, adding that the County only has about six abandoned vehicles per year.
- 5. Consider approval of items appearing on the Consent Agenda for Public Works. All items were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Brownlow.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR PUBLIC WORKS: All items were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Brownlow.

- 1. Consider awarding or rejecting bids received for the pavement overlay of a portion of Willow Lake Road, Bids opened August 6, 2002, with bids received from the following: Asphalt Paving & Supply, Inc., \$319,578; Fann Contracting, Inc., \$288,032; and FNF Construction, Inc., \$345, 132. Recommend awarding bid to Fann Contracting, Inc. in the amount of \$288,032.
- 2. Consider approval to sign intergovernmental agreement with State of Arizona, State Forester, Fire Management Division for the purpose of providing mutual emergency assistance.
- 3. Consider approval to establish a "No Parking Zone" along the south side of Rancho Vista Drive adjacent to lot 127.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS: Unless otherwise noted, all items were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Brownlow.

- Requests from Board of Supervisors:
  - a. Approve minutes of meeting of August 5, 2002.
  - b. Liquor licenses for which there are no protests and which are approved by the Sheriff; a) Series 6 Person Transfer, Jack's Sham Rock Shack, Prescott area, John Anthony Favale; b) Series 9 Location Transfer, Blue Hill's Market, Spring Valley area, Lawrence Todd Buck.
  - c. Authorize \$2,259.30 in current expenses related to the bonds for Pine Valley Street Improvement District to be paid from Contingency, and authorize future expenses related to the bonds for this district to be paid from Contingency. In response to a question from Supervisor Brownlow, Ms. Staddon explained that when the project was completed in 1997 money was left over and that a recapitulation of the assessment had been done and money returned to the property owners. She said that although the District no longer had any money, it still had expenses to be paid for the collection of assessments. Ms. Staddon said that one statute prohibited the County from charging property owners for costs related to the collection of assessments, but that another statute allowed it. She said that at its August 21, 2002, study session, the Board would be asked to consider a legislative proposal that would make it clear that counties can charge the cost of collecting assessments as an incidental expense for improvement districts. Deputy County Attorney Randy Schurr said the only way to pay for the District's costs was to pay for them from the County General Fund. Upon a motion by Supervisor Brownlow, seconded by Supervisor Davis, the Board voted unanimously to approve this request.
  - d. Pursuant to A.R.S. §48-1012(E), cancel election for board members in Black Canyon City Domestic Water Improvement District and appoint Pamela Massat and Cal Acre to the board, as requested by the District.
  - e. Pursuant to A.R.S. §48-802(D)(4), cancel election for board members in Congress Fire District and appoint Virgil O. Suitor, Jr. to the position of fire chief and Darlene R. Balcom to the position of secretary-treasurer, as requested by the District.
  - f. Pursuant to A.R.S. §48-802(D)(4), cancel election for board members in Camp Verde Fire District and appoint Phillip Harbeson to the position of fire chief and Jack Blum to the position of secretary-treasurer, as requested by the District
  - g. Pursuant to A.R.S. §48-802(D)(4), cancel election for board members for Verde Valley Fire District and appoint Charles S. Wright, Jr. and Gerald Shanks to the board of directors, as requested by the District.
  - h. Pursuant to A.R.S. §48-802(D)(4), cancel election for board members for Groom Creek Fire District and appoint Jack Maze to the board of directors, as requested by the District.
  - i. Pursuant to A.R.S. §48-802(D)(4), cancel election for board members for Central Yavapai Fire District and appoint Sandra Heiden and Frank A. Claunch to the board of directors, as requested by the District.
  - j. Pursuant to A.R.S. §48-802(D)(4), cancel election for board members for Crown King Fire District and appoint Gerhard Matz to the board of directors, as requested by the District.
  - k. Pursuant to A.R.S. §48-802(D)(4), cancel election for board members for Mayer Fire District and appoint Rich Schnetlage and James Baker to the board of directors, as requested by the District.
  - I. Pursuant to A.R.S. §48-1012(E), cancel election for board members in I.C.R. Sanitary District and appoint Adolphus H. Bledsoe, Jr. to the board, as requested by the District. Ms. Staddon explained that this item needed to be removed from the agenda because statutes did not allow for the cancellation of an election in a sanitary district. She said that the statutory citation on the agenda was incorrect. Deputy County Attorney Randy Schurr told the Board that the legislature had given express authority to fire districts and certain other districts to cancel elections in certain circumstances, but that it had not included sanitary districts. Supervisor Davis asked if legislation could be drafted to allow the same process for sanitary districts. Ms. Staddon said she would take care of it. Upon a motion by Supervisor Davis, seconded by Supervisor Brownlow, the Board voted unanimously to remove this item from this day's

agenda.

- m. Pursuant to A.R.S. §48-1012(E), cancel election for board members in High Valley Ranch Domestic Wastewater Improvement District and appoint Betty Higgins, Monica Irwin and Steve Irwin to the board, as requested by the District.
- n. Consider appointment of the following members to the Local Workforce Investment Board: Bill Pierce to replace Larry Watson, District 1, Executive Committee, term effective September 1, 2002 September, 2004; Landi Branham to replace Bill Pierce, District 2, Executive Committee, term effective September 1, 2002 September 2003; Marie Murillo, Public, appointed to the Youth Council only with no term expiration. Supervisor Brownlow moved to hold this item in abeyance for clarification. Supervisor Davis asked that term expirations be applied to the Youth Council. He then seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.
- o. Consider approval of a memorandum of understanding requested by the Local Workforce Investment Board with the Adult Literacy Program Providers of Yavapai County.
- p. Consider approval of Pathology Facilities Use Agreement with Yavapai Regional Medical Center.
- 2. Requests from Elections Department:
  - a. Approval for the designation of polling places and appoint poll workers, the early board workers, central board workers and elections day troubleshooters for the September 10, 2002, Primary Election.
  - b. Consider approval of purchase of office furniture for the elections department, counter, work stations and file cabinets, at a cost of \$3,111.47, to be paid from Elections equipment account.
- 3. Request from Assessor to change parcels from Legal Class 4 to Legal Class 3 as of their date of conversion as evidenced by Board Memorandum No. 2002-5.
- 4. Request from Juvenile Probation to approve Juvenile Accountability Incentive Block Grant, fifth year funding in the amount of \$82,063, for submission to Governor's Office. Cash match of \$22,235 provided through state treatment and diversion funds. No County funds required.
- 5. Request from Treasurer for approval of certificates of clearance, numbers 2223 through 2253.
- 6. Request from School Superintendent, Special Programs Division, for approval of professional counseling services with Dale Ryder in the amount of \$32,634, to be paid from Outside Services.
- 7. Request from MIS for permission to dispose of surplus computer equipment at \$1 per unit, which equipment has no intrinsic value for auction purposes.
- 8. Request from Superior Court for approval on the Fill the Gap Plan and Funding Application for \$158,001 state grant and for \$28,987 to be spent from the Local Court Assistance Fund to continue funding Drug Court and 50% of the Judge Pro Tem Division.
- 9. Requests from Development Services:
  - a. Consider abandoning a portion of public utility easement across County owned property and re-location of portion of 20' public utility easement.
  - b. Consider re-appointment of Curt Garner, District 3, for another four-year term on the Planning & Zoning Commission from September 1, 2002 to September 1, 2006.
- 10. Request from Human Resources to consider recommendation of Captain Dennis Price to serve on the Public Safety Retirement System Local Board, for a term from August 7, 2002 August 7, 2006.
- 11. Approve vouchers.

# CONSENT AGENDA FOR DIRECTORS OF YAVAPAI COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL, FREE LIBRARY AND JAIL DISTRICTS, AND COUNTY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS: Reference: Special District minutes.

- 1. Resolve into the Boards of Directors of the Yavapai County Flood Control, Free Library and Jail Districts and other County improvement districts as follows, for the purpose of approving vouchers: Prescott East Sanitary District, Seligman Sanitary District, Pine Valley Street Improvement District.
- 2. Resolve into the Board of Directors of the Yavapai County Jail District:
  - a. Approve minutes of meeting of July 15, 24, and August 5, 2002.
  - b. Consider approval of Change Order #3 for the Camp Verde Jail Addition, in the additional amount of \$43,187, to be paid from Jail District funds.
  - c. Consider approval of contract for expanding the sewer treatment plant at the Camp Verde Jail Expansion project. Amount of contract was not available at the time the agenda was published.
- 3. Resolve into the Board of Directors of the Yavapai County Flood Control District:
  - a. Approve minutes of meeting of August 5, 2002.
  - b. Consider approval of Change Order #1 to the Salt Mine Road Flood Mitigation Project, FCD #002-011 with Shephard-Wesnitzer, Inc, Camp Verde area, in the additional amount of \$20,964.23, to be paid from budgeted construction in progress funds.
- 4. Resolve into the Board of Directors of the Pine Valley Street Improvement District:
  - a. Approve minutes of meetings of July 6, 1998; June 23 and August 2, 1999; July 3 and August 7, 2000; and May 21, 2001.

b. Pursuant to A.R.S. §48-905(C), approve deannexation of parcel 405-33-550H from the District, contingent upon receipt, review and approval by staff of the petition and other necessary documentation.

### CLAIMS AGAINST YAVAPAI COUNTY

| -<br>ACCOUNT           | AMOUNT       | ACCOUNT                 | AMOUNT       |
|------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| General Fund           | 1,632,562.57 | Jail District           | 218,511.27   |
| District 1 Park Fund   | 295.83       | District 2 Park Fund    | 526.83       |
| District 3 Park Fund   | 2,143.93     | Sycamore Comm. Park     | 425.18       |
| Child Health Grant     | 2,580.85     | AMPPHI                  | 996.09       |
| Family Planning        | 1,837.22     | MCH Programs            | 1,854.64     |
| Home Health Ser        | 14,718.55    | Health Promotion        | 2,426.00     |
| Nutrition              | 1,535.00     | T.B. Control            | 394.35       |
| WIC Program            | 14,391.85    | Stop Violence Women     | 1,668.87     |
| Jail Enhancement       | 41,019.99    | Juvenile Deling. Reduct | 9,387.59     |
| Juvenile IPS           | 16,869.80    | Family Counseling       | 1,768.00     |
| Juvenile Food Prog     | 1,452.33     | Probation Serv          | 4,114.92     |
| Adult IPS              | 26,042.60    | Adult Probation Fees    | 15,420.39    |
| Prob Enhance           | 31,149.01    | Recorder's Surcharge    | 555.39       |
| Indigent Def/Dg        | 1,397.91     | Misc Small Grants       | 743.23       |
| Crim Just/Atty         | 10,548.54    | Bad Check Prog          | 3,138.77     |
| CDBG Grant             | 2,931.00     | Juv Prob Svs            | 4,720.57     |
| Commodity Fd           | 301.05       | Azeip Case Mgmt         | 2,526.68     |
| Sexual Trans Disease   | 45.03        | Hi Risk Chld HI         | 2,425.80     |
| Clerk's Storage        | 416.89       | WIC/TOB Intervention    | 2,564.84     |
| HIV Counsel & Test     | 1,083.99     | Atty Anti-Racket        | 34,453.14    |
| PANT                   | 3,958.46     | Law Library             | 9,377.25     |
| CASA                   | 4,045.66     | Case Process            | 3,888.87     |
| Prim. Care - V.V.      | 10,464.51    | Azeip Coordinator       | 1,522.42     |
| Vict Witns Prog        | 6,288.34     | Court Enhancement       | 1,675.25     |
| Council Court          | 1,824.41     | Juvenile Dent. Enhan.   | 633.62       |
| Child Poverty Book     | 10,828.87    | Drug Enforce Fund.      | 126.66       |
| Primary Care Svs       | 13,840.16    | Yav. Roundup Ph II      | 2,218.66     |
| Victims Rights Impl    | 3,512.46     | JAIBG Juv Acct 3        | 2,598.55     |
| Yav Indian Agreement   | 2,270.54     | Hassayampa/LTC          | 1,170.83     |
| Dietetic Intern        | 661.04       | Immuniz Service         | 2,164.56     |
| Personal Care Svs      | 608.07       | Idea-Preschool          | 84.13        |
| Subs Abuse/DARE        | 35.64        | Chem Abuse              | 33.65        |
| Family Drug Court      | 885.13       | Juvenile Drug Court     | 6,163.79     |
| Juv Det/PACE           | 6,223.82     | Special Program         | 4,796.46     |
| Sm Schools Ecia        | 64.96        | Sm Schools Beha         | 1,154.46     |
| Cops in School         | 6,307.83     | Mobile Comm. Center     | 92.77        |
| Fill the Gap - Courts  | 6,291.85     | Hurf Road Funds         | 442,997.11   |
| Health Fund            | 69,165.81    | Jail Commissary         | 4,000.59     |
| Landfill Administ.     | 17,802.73    | Water Advisory Comm     | 2,904.55     |
| Clinical NICP          | 1,127.68     | Tire Recycle            | 2,615.19     |
| Safe School Pro        | 6,123.21     | Adhs-Svs Coord          | 1,732.68     |
| Local Incentive Awards | 1,089.51     | Fill the Gap – Attorney | 2,873.67     |
| Family Law Commiss.    | 8,202.04     | Comm Punish Pro         | 3,754.28     |
| Juv. Detent Ed Pro     | 560.96       | Regnl Road Project      | 1,229,550.56 |
| Contributions – SO     | 2,064.00     | Library Auto. Consor    | 3,903.10     |
| Sterlization Svs       | 4,200.00     | Health Start            | 2,662.10     |
| Emerg. Response Gr     | 150.00       | Victim Compensat.       | 3,011.30     |
| Interstate Compact Pro | 2,333.41     | Ryan White II           | 2,715.10     |
| Prepared. Bioterror    | 3,586.45     | Primary Care Fees       | 10.05        |
| Perinatal Block        | 1,830.17     | Well Woman Health       | 3,170.12     |
|                        |              |                         |              |

| Tobacco Educ          | 10,857.28 | Hopwa Housing Op   | 46.82        |
|-----------------------|-----------|--------------------|--------------|
| Detention Education   | 62.37     | Youth Summer Train | 3,571.60     |
| School Reso. – Mayer  | 1,381.23  | St. Grant in Aid   | 1,166.98     |
| Direct Treatment Fund | 14,898.19 | Mental HealthRWJF  | 1,505.81     |
| Mental Health Part.   | 2,825.98  | Field Trainer      | 1,819.31     |
| Comm. Access Pro      | 1,529.44  | Attendant Care     | 24,064.53    |
| HIV/CT                | 292.56    | HIV Targeted       | 2,394.41     |
| Childrens Justice     | 594.41    | Child Sup & Vis    | 588.88       |
| Domestic Relations    | 597.82    | VOCA               | 7,877.97     |
| Yav. Drug Court       | 2,440.12  | JTSF Treatment     | 6,240.24     |
| Diversion Conseq.     | 1,336.26  | Transferred Youth  | 384.64       |
| Capital Projects      | 33,629.23 | ALTCS              | 1,638,984.77 |

In addition, payroll was issued on August 9 for the pay period ending August 3; warrant numbers 2422000 through 2422461, in the amount of \$362,799.63. Jury certificates were also issued, warrant numbers 6856688 through 6856717; 6856718 through 6856829. Warrants issued for August 19 Board day, 4175892 through 4176302; 4176303 through 4176769.

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned.

| ATTEST: |       |          |
|---------|-------|----------|
|         | Clerk | Chairman |