

OFFICE OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA

Prescott, Arizona

September 16, 2002

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session on September 16, 2002.

Present: Lorna Street, Chairman; Chip Davis, Vice Chairman; Gheral Brownlow, Member; Bev Staddon, Clerk.

Also present: Jim Holst, County Administrator; Dave Hunt, Board Attorney/Assistant County Administrator.

ITEM NO. 1. Board of Supervisors.

1. Discussion and possible action regarding total amount of forest fee receipts to be reserved for PL 106-393 Forest Project. Mr. Hunt reminded the Board that this item had been briefly discussed at a previous meeting, and that what the Board needed to do on this day was to identify a figure between 15% and 20% of the \$863,000 in Forest Fees that would be used for forest projects. He said that once the Board established a percentage, the balance of the Forest Fees would go to roads and schools. Mr. Hunt said the Board could also identify projects on this day if it wished to do so, but that it was not required to identify projects at this point. Diane Lovett, president of the Dead Horse Ranch Trails Association told the Board that her organization had been working for several years to obtain right-of-way for the Lime Kiln Trail, which she said was an historic wagon road. She said that an approximately two-mile-long portion of the trail crossed state land, that her organization had filed an application for right-of-way with the State Land Department, and that while she did not know for certain what the cost of the right-of-way would be her best estimate was \$8,000. Ms. Lovett said her organization hoped to be able to continue the trail all the way to Red Rock State Park and then back to the Fort Verde area, noting that the trail had historic points of interest and views, and that trailheads to other trails would be available from the Lime Kiln Trail. Supervisor Davis said the old wagon road predated any state highways or roads, and that the cost of a survey for the trail had been paid for from his parks fund. There was brief discussion about what percentage of fees should be allocated, during which Chairman Street said that Nicole Trushell of the Highland Center for Natural History had planned to be present on this day to present a request for funding but that she had been delayed. Supervisor Davis moved to set the percentage for forest projects at 15% and to hold a decision on the projects until such time as Ms. Trushell could arrive and make her presentation. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote. Former County Attorney Chick Hastings told the Board he was president of the Highland Center, that it was a worthy organization, and that he would appreciate any consideration the Board could give to it. Later in the morning, Ms. Trushell arrived and provided brief background information regarding the Highland Center, pointing out that the science-based education center serves approximately 8,000 people per year through its programs for both children and adults. She presented a rendering of the new learning center site near Lynx Lake, saying that the Highland Center had raised more than \$800,000 for the new facility and that recently a donor had offered to match every dollar the Center raises with two dollars. Supervisor Davis asked Ms. Trushell if the Center would be working with the public regarding fire education and healthy forest management. Ms. Trushell said it would, and that she was currently working with fire organizations and the Forest Service regarding those issues. Chairman Street said she believed the Highland Center's request fit with the forest projects, adding that people in the Prescott area had shown tremendous support for the Center. Supervisor Davis moved to approve \$76,509 for the Forest Patrol program; \$8,000 for the Dead Horse Ranch Trails Association Lime Kiln Trail preservation project; \$20,000 for the Highland Center for Natural History; and \$44,169 for the Public Works brush chipping program. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.
2. Consider approval of payment to NACOG in the amount of \$10,798 for Economic Development District (\$4,345) and Rural Transportation Liaison (\$6,453), to be paid from Contingency. Chairman Street said she would like to know what kinds of projects NACOG had done with the economic development money, aside from providing funding to the Yavapai Apache Tribe for Montezuma Castle Highway. Supervisor Davis said NACOG brought in \$1 million to build a training center at Yavapai College, that it had provided an incubator growth center in Flagstaff, and had also done a project in Page. He said he believed the Economic Development District was a good deal, but that he felt the Rural Transportation Liaison was a duplication of what the County Supervisors Association was doing and that it was a waste of money. In response to a comment from

Chairman Street, Supervisor Davis said having the Rural Transportation Liaison was his and Mr. Holst's idea but that after it got going NACOG had taken over responsibility for it and that it had become a great way to go on junkets across the country and attend conferences that had nothing to do with Arizona. Supervisor Davis moved to approve payment of the Economic Development District assessment in the amount of \$4,345 but to deny payment of the assessment for the Rural Transportation Liaison. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

3. Consider approval of endorsement of letter from County Indigent Veterans Representative Tom Sullivan to Senator John McCain regarding indigent veterans. County Indigent Veterans Representative Tom Sullivan participated in discussion of this item. Following brief discussion, Supervisor Davis said it might be more appropriate for the Board to propose changes to state statutes and ask for Senator McCain's support of those changes, and that perhaps the support of VFWs, other counties and funeral homes could also be obtained. There was general agreement that Supervisor Davis and Mr. Sullivan would work on this, with assistance from Board staff with regard to drafting a legislative proposal.
4. Consider approval of items appearing on the Consent Agenda for Board of Supervisors and on the Consent Agenda for Special Districts. With the exception of items 1.a., 3.a. and 3.b., all items were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Brownlow. See Consent Agenda for Board of Supervisors for detail.

ITEM NO. 2. Gary J. Marks, Prescott Valley Economic Development Foundation. Discussion and possible action regarding an Enterprise Zone and setting a hearing date for a public meeting. Mr. Marks told the Board that the PVEDF would like to create an enterprise zone for Prescott Valley, but that such an effort was multi-jurisdictional and would involve both the Town of Prescott Valley and the County. He said that one of the requirements was to have a public meeting prior to the time that final approval was given to the enterprise zone by the local jurisdictions and then sent on to the state for approval. Supervisor Brownlow asked who would pay for the zone administrator and where the zone office would be. Mr. Marks said he would be the zone administrator and that the PVEDF offices would house the enterprise zone offices, with no cost to either the County or the Town of Prescott Valley. Supervisor Brownlow asked how many people would be on the enterprise zone commission. Mr. Marks responded that it would be up to the Prescott Valley Town Council and the Board of Supervisors to agree on that figure, but that typically there were between five and seven people on the commission and that the commission only met a couple of times a year, with special meetings on an as-needed basis. Chairman Street noted that the back-up materials listed the Prescott Valley mayor and the Board chairman as members of the commission, but that she would prefer to see Board chairman changed to District 2 Supervisor, and that instead of having town council members on the commission she wondered if people from outside organizations could be appointed to the commission. Mr. Marks said that the two governmental entities did not necessarily have to serve on the commission, but could appoint others to serve in their stead. In response to a question from Supervisor Davis regarding property tax reclassification, Mr. Marks said that would apply only to women-owned and minority-owned businesses, and that if such businesses applied for it there was no guarantee they would receive it. He said the property tax reclassification could not be used by major corporations. He added that businesses would have to meet all requirements of the state before anything could even be brought forward to the enterprise zone commission. In response to a question from Supervisor Davis, Mr. Marks said that census tracts were very large and that block groups were smaller groups within census tracts. Supervisor Davis said that in looking at the map Mr. Marks had provided, it did not appear that Prescott Valley would qualify for an enterprise zone, and that the PVEDF had taken in large amounts of County land in order to get the low income numbers it needed. Mr. Marks said that was correct, that there was not enough poverty or enough census tracts within Prescott Valley to be able to have an enterprise zone. Chairman Street said that companies employing a certain percentage of low income people within the zone would receive benefits. Supervisor Davis asked whether Prescott Valley was one of the fastest growing cities in the state. Mr. Marks said it was the fastest growing non-urban area in the state, but that the job growth was not keeping pace with growth in housing units. Supervisor Davis said he was not in favor of the enterprise zone because he was not in favor of tax incentives, and that tax incentives for one group meant that others had to make up the difference. He asked if existing businesses in Prescott Valley would be able to take advantage of the enterprise zone. Mr. Marks said that if existing businesses expanded their operations they would be eligible to apply for benefits through the enterprise zone. He explained that qualifying companies could apply for up to \$500 in tax credits for the first year, up to \$1,000 in tax credits for the second year and up to \$1,500 in tax credits for the third year, but that they also had to qualify for state

income tax in order to get the tax credits. He said that there were 22 enterprise zones in Arizona and that recently Prescott Valley had lost two large potential employers to areas that had enterprise zones. Mr. Marks said that although tax credits took money away, the increased jobs generated by having an enterprise zone would result in the generation of more income tax and so on. Chairman Street said that one of the reasons why Arizona allows tax credits to encourage businesses to move here is that, unlike many states, Arizona has a personal property tax on businesses that turns away many companies that might otherwise relocate to the state. Supervisor Davis said he did not see an enterprise zone as a real opportunity for change, that the Board had heard this presentation last year and that he was not hearing anything different and was not in favor of tax incentives. Supervisor Brownlow asked if a business would have to be a certain size in order to qualify. Mr. Marks said a business would have to be a manufacturing business in order to qualify, and that while such a business could have a retail component that component could not exceed 10% of the business. Prescott Country Club residents Art Deitrich and Howard Brochner expressed concerns about whether Prescott Country Club would have to annex into Prescott Valley if the enterprise zone was approved, adding that the Prescott Country Club area had been bumping heads with Prescott Valley for some time. Mr. Marks said annexation was not required, and Chairman Street said that Prescott Country Club was not even included in the map for the enterprise zone. Chairman Street pointed out that even if the enterprise zone was approved, most of the County was zoned RCU-2A and that a company would still have to request a zoning map change in order to build a facility. Deputy County Attorney Randy Schurr said that was correct, and that most of the land within the proposed enterprise zone was not zoned for commercial uses. Supervisor Brownlow said it appeared to him that the enterprise zone would provide opportunities for indigent people that they might otherwise not have, adding that 35% of employees for a qualifying company would have to be residents of the enterprise zone. He asked what would happen if a company did not employ that 35%. Mr. Marks said in that case the company would not receive the state income tax credit, and that the credit was an inducement to companies to hire low income people. He said that in addition to having at least 35% of employees living within the enterprise zone, a company would also have to pay the employees a higher wage than the County average wage, and that it was a way to move from jobs that pay \$6.50 an hour to jobs that pay \$8 or \$9 an hour. He said the enterprise zone would provide a way for people to move up, and that it would allow the Prescott Valley area to do the same types of things that are being done in other parts of the state and create better paying jobs. Chairman Street noted that several large manufacturers had chosen to go in near the Prescott Airport because it was an enterprise zone. She said she was not opposed to the idea of an enterprise zone because there would still have to be businesses who want to come into the area. She said that currently a great deal of land in the County was on the tax rolls as agricultural land at \$7 an acre, and that if as a result of an enterprise zone the Board rezoned some property to commercial the value of the land would increase. She said the County would still have a great deal of control over what happens, and that the enterprise zone would only be as good as the people who show up and want to do something. Chairman Street noted that in order to receive any breaks, a company would have to meet considerable criteria. Supervisor Brownlow said that having the enterprise zone could create jobs for people who need them. Chairman Street said she had already told Mr. Marks not to come to the Board and ask for the County's help to put in roads to assist new businesses. Chairman Street moved to approve the request with the understanding that it was still a draft and that there would be changes, and that the public meeting should move forward. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by a 2-to-1 vote, with Chairman Street and Supervisor Brownlow voting in favor of the motion and Supervisor Davis voting against the motion.

ITEM NO. 3. Resolve into the Board of Equalization to ratify the decisions of the Hearing Officer for hearings held August 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 22 and 23, 2002. Reference: Board of Equalization minutes.

ITEM NO. 4. Resolve into the Board of Supervisors. Development Services Director Ken Spedding and Planning Manager Elise Link. Planning and zoning. Consider approval of items appearing on the Consent Agenda for Planning & Zoning. (Both items on the Consent Agenda for Planning & Zoning were pulled for discussion. See below for detail.) Planning & Zoning Commission member Helmut Woellmer was present to represent the Commission.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR PLANNING & ZONING:

1. Use permit to construct and operate a domestic water supply well in an R1-10 zoning district, 405-11-052A, Rimrock/Lake Montezuma area, Michael Whitehead agent for Arizona Water Company, #2090. Consideration of a Use Permit to construct and operate a domestic water supply well in an R1-10 [Residential;

Single-Family, minimum ten thousand (10,000) square feet lot size] zoning district on approximately 0.221 acre. Located on the north side of Shade Road and approximately four hundred twelve feet (412') from its intersection with the west side of Aztec Road and approximately one thousand twelve hundred twelve feet (1212') from its intersection with Montezuma Lake Road in the Rimrock/Lake Montezuma area. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of hearing application H2090, Use Permit subject to the following stipulations: 1). The Use Permit shall comply with the Letter of Intent dated 7/2/02 and the Site plan dated 4/22/02; 2). The Use Permit shall be granted on a transferable and permanent basis; 3). The property shall be screened along its perimeter with a solid six feet (6') fence. A six feet (6') slatted chain-link fence with three (3) strands of barbed wire at the top is permissible; 4). The Applicant shall obtain a Water Line Extension Permit from the Yavapai County Environmental Unit before beginning construction of the well and its appurtenances if required; 5). The Applicant shall submit an electrical permit with plans to the Yavapai County Building Safety Department before construction of the well and its appurtenances; 6). The Applicant shall comply with all applicable local, state and federal regulations; 7). If, at the completion of drilling, the Applicant determines that the new well is producing sufficient quality and quantity of water that its wells located on the adjoining parcel will be abandoned, then the equipment and metal shed servicing these wells shall be removed within six (6) months. There was very brief discussion regarding the size of the lot and whether it was large enough to meet setback requirements for at least 100 feet of separation between a well and septic system, during which it was clarified that setbacks had been met. Supervisor Davis moved to approve the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

2. Use permit to allow the storage and maintenance of drilling equipment, an approximately 40' x 50' maintenance shop and office and a 10' x 55' mobile home to serve as a caretaker's/employee's residence in an RCU-2A zoning district, 405-23-181F, McGuireville area, Nathan S. White, #2084. Consideration of a Use Permit to allow the storage and maintenance of drilling equipment, an approximately 40'x50' maintenance shop and office and a 10'x55' mobile home that would serve as the caretaker's/employee's residence on a portion of approximately 16.26 acres in an RCU-2A [Residential; Rural, minimum two (2) acres lot size] zoning district. Located on an unnamed access road off the west side of Cornville Road and approximately three-fourth's (3/4's) mile from Cornville Road's intersection with I-17 in the McGuireville area. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of hearing application H2084, Use Permit, subject to the following stipulations: 1). The Use Permit shall be granted for five (5) years with Staff review after a one (1) year period on a nontransferable basis consistent with the Letter of Intent dated 6/10/02 and the Site Plan received on 6/27/02; 2). This property shall be brought into compliance with the Zoning Ordinance by September 30, 2002. All uses on this property not allowed as a matter of right by the Zoning Ordinance or by this Use Permit shall cease; 3). The mobile home presently located on the property shall be rehabilitated in accordance with the requirements of the Zoning Ordinance within sixty (60) days of the Board of Supervisors' approval or it shall be removed from the property within the same timeframe; 4). The water storage tank(s) shall be installed within three (3) months of the Board of Supervisors' approval; 5). All applicable local, state and federal regulations shall be met; 6). The east boundary [approximately two hundred fifty feet (250')] of the storage facility shall be screened with six feet (6') high view-obscuring fencing. A six feet (6') high, view-obscuring vegetative fence is permissible; 7). The property shall not be split while the Use Permit is in effect; 8). A Certificate of Compliance shall be completed within six (6) months of the Board of Supervisors' approval. There was brief discussion regarding ongoing problems related to the first person to develop an area being required to pay for off-site improvements while others who follow pay nothing. Deputy County Attorney Randy Schurr likened the situation to a line reimbursement agreement for a utility company, saying that if the County could say that a certain area was very likely to go commercial then some type of reimbursement mechanism could likely be put in place to help ensure that one applicant does not end up paying for everything. Mr. Spedding said that staff routinely encourages applicants who are looking at commercial development to develop along the lines of an industrial park as opposed to piecemeal commercial development, but that most of them are not interested in doing so. Supervisor Davis moved to approve the recommendations of the Planning & Zoning Commission. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

ITEM NO. 5. Convene into Executive Session pursuant to ARS §38-431.03(A)(1) to discuss

department head salaries. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Brownlow. (Clerk's note: Due to time constraints earlier in the day, the executive session did not occur until the end of the regular meeting and the Board did not reconvene in open session following the executive session.)

ITEM NO. 6. Public Works Director Richard Straub.

1. Hearing: Consider abandonment of Rancho Del Oro Parkway, Paseo Del Oro, Calle De Jose, Paseo Del Inez, Calle De Vickers and Alley (Canon Del Oro) to the Seven Canyons Road Association and request waiver of a portion of Resolution No. 1113 per ARS §28-7215(B). Chairman Street called for opposition to this request. There was none, whereupon Supervisor Davis moved to approve Resolution No. 1369 providing for the abandonment of the above named roads. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.
2. Consider approval of Village Drive in Verde Village as a project, at a cost of \$52,496, to be paid from HURF funds. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Brownlow.
3. Consider approval of Authorization of Services #228889 with Lima & Associates, Inc. for Traffic Engineering Review Services related to the proposed Monument Ranch Subdivision, in an amount not to exceed \$10,000. Half-cent sales tax project. This item was withdrawn from the agenda and the Board took no action on it.
4. Consider approval of structural overlay on Rocking Chair Ranch Road, at a cost not to exceed \$58,700 to be paid from HURF funds. This item was withdrawn from the agenda and the Board took no action on it.
5. Consider approval of items appearing on the Consent Agenda for Public Works. Unless otherwise noted below, all items were approved by unanimous vote, upon a motion by Supervisor Davis, seconded by Supervisor Brownlow.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR PUBLIC WORKS: Unless otherwise noted, all items were approved by unanimous vote, upon a motion by Supervisor Davis, seconded by Supervisor Brownlow.

1. Consider approval of a settlement and release agreement between the State of Arizona, Yavapai County and Dava & Associates on the State Route 89A project.
2. Consider approval of a revision to Road Upgrade List by paving Pony Express Pass, Lake Montezuma, in FY 02/03 rather than FY 03/04, at a total cost of \$27,867, to be paid from HURF funds – Construction in Progress.
3. Consider approval of Change Order #1 with Bock Appraisal Services for Hillcrest Lane Extension to Butterfield Road, Project #2111058, for an additional \$1,500. After it was clarified that this would be paid for from existing project funds for District 1, Supervisor Davis moved to approve the request. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.
4. Consider renewal of an intergovernmental agreement between Flood Control and Public Works for funding of various projects.
5. Consider approval of contract extensions with all previously approved vendors for Supply and Delivery of Smooth Bore Polyethylene Pipe, Contract #2111071.
6. Consider approval of Ergon Asphalt Products facilities access agreement.
7. Consider approval of intergovernmental agreement allowing Public Works to purchase off of Coconino County's existing backhoe loader contract with Empire Machinery at a cost of \$85,000 per unit, to be paid from Waste Tire fund.

ITEM NO. 7. Supervisor Gheral Brownlow and County Administrator Jim Holst. Summary of current events. Mr. Holst said he wanted to clarify the situation regarding the County's ban on open fires. Mr. Hunt said the original resolution gave authority to the Emergency Services Director to lift the ban. Deputy County Attorney Randy Schurr said that was true and that Emergency Services Director Nick Angiolillo had notified the Chairman that there was no longer any danger and so it was just a matter of notifying people about it. Mr. Holst said another issue was that of campaign signs and how soon they should come down in accordance with the County's ordinance. Mr. Schurr said he would look into it. Mr. Holst said that other items he was working on included Jail development and departmental space needs and that he would bring something back to the Board on space needs soon. He noted that the Assessor's software project was on track and that there had been a meeting to discuss remodeling the Mackin Building to make it usable for large groups for training purposes. Mr. Holst also provided an update on the Mingus Avene Extension Project and the new Fair Street Administration Building. Supervisor Brownlow told the Board that last week he had visited Navajo County and toured the site of the Rodeo-Chediski Fire. He said that Navajo County had been hit very hard, and that in addition to the fire that county was also experiencing problems with bark beetle infestations.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS: Unless otherwise noted, all items were approved

by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Brownlow.

1. Requests from Board of Supervisors:
 - a. Approve minutes of meeting of September 3, 2002, and of special meeting of August 28, 2002.
 - b. Liquor licenses for which there were no protests and which were approved by Development Services: a) Series 7 Person Transfer, Verde Santa Fe Golf Course, Cottonwood area, Michael A. Bishop; b) Series 12 Restaurant, Si Senor, Dewey area, Julie Ann Grajeda.
 - c. Approve the recommendation from YES the ARC and NACOG to accept the bid from Goettis for YES the ARC heating, cooling and electrical upgrades in the amount of \$16,753, and to accept the bid from Spectrum Construction to build a covered patio, in the amount of \$12,880, as part of the CDBG process. Following very brief discussion, during which it was clarified that ARC stood for Association of Retarded Citizens, the Board voted unanimously to approve this request. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Davis.
 - d. Consider approval of amendments to lease agreement with Town of Prescott Valley for space occupied by County departments in the Town Hall/Library Complex, which includes a reduction in costs by \$3,898 per month through June 30, 2003.
2. Requests from Development Services:
 - a. Reappointment of Walter Burcham to the Planning & Zoning Commission, as a representative of District 1, with a term to expire September 1, 2006.
 - b. Reappointment of Tom Thurman to the Planning & Zoning Commission, as a representative of District 2, with a term to expire September 1, 2006.
3. Requests from Sheriff's Department:
 - a. Consider allocation of \$14,801.79 in Jail Enhancement Funds for equipment and fees to seek accreditation of the Yavapai County Detention Services facilities, as recommended by the Sheriff. Supervisor Brownlow said he was concerned that the Board was being lax in approving payment for things from Jail Enhancement Funds, and that he had concerns that the fund would run out of money. Mr. Holst pointed out that Jail Enhancement Funds were separate from the Jail District, but that he had questions about accreditation because it might require a higher standard of improvements and that no one knew what those standards were or what they would cost. Supervisor Brownlow moved to hold this item in abeyance. Supervisor Davis seconded the motion, asking what accreditation would do for the County and whether it meant the County would receive outside assistance with lawsuits and the like. Mr. Holst said that was one of the questions that needed to be answered and that he was not certain that accreditation would net the County anything at all. Chairman Street called for the vote, which carried unanimously.
 - b. Permission to purchase a full size police vehicle in the amount of \$25,149.38 and additional equipment in the amount of \$23,129.62, to enhance the capability of the three transport vans, for a total cost of \$48,279, to be paid from Jail Enhancement Funds. Supervisor Davis said he did not have a problem with making improvements to the transport vans, but that he did have a problem with increasing the fleet by another vehicle. He said he did not believe the employee needed a car to drive home every day. Chairman Street asked whether requests for vehicles were normally made through the budget process. Mr. Holst said that was true and that the Sheriff had not made this particular request at that time, but that vehicle requests did come up during other times of the year as well. Supervisor Davis said his preference and priority was to get patrol deputies on the street. Supervisor Brownlow said he could see the need for a SWAT deputy to take his vehicle home because all of the equipment was in the vehicle, but that like Supervisor Davis, he also was curious about what had happened to the previous SWAT vehicle. Saying he believed the request for a new vehicle was premature, Supervisor Davis moved to approve \$23,129.62 for improvements to the transport vans and to deny the request for a new vehicle. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.
4. Request from Fleet Management for approval of an intergovernmental agreement with Verde Valley Fire District to maintain their heavy-duty equipment/vehicles.
5. Request from Finance to consider approval of the surplus property list for the County auction to be held Saturday, September 28, 2002.
6. Request from Facilities/Parks for approval to enter into a management agreement with Cottonwood-Oak Creek Elementary School District No. 6 in connection with the Local, Regional and State Parks (LRSP) grant for the Oak Creek Elementary School Sports Lighting Project.
7. Request from Medical Assistance, Long Term Care Division to consider approval of Contract #YH01-0015-05 for long term care with AHCCCSA, October 1, 2002 through September 30, 2003.
8. Request from Medical Examiner to consider approval of a Tissue Recovery Agreement with Arizona Donor Services (ADS).

9. Request from MIS for permission to sign the intergovernmental agreement between Yavapai County and Navajo County for Assessor's Project.
10. Approve vouchers.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR DIRECTORS OF YAVAPAI COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL, FREE LIBRARY AND JAIL DISTRICTS, AND COUNTY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS: Reference: Special District minutes.

1. Resolve into the Boards of Directors of the Yavapai County Flood Control, Free Library and Jail Districts and other County improvement districts as follows, for the purpose of approving vouchers: Prescott East Sanitary District.
2. Resolve into the Board of Directors for the Yavapai County Flood Control District:
 - a. Approve minutes of meeting of September 3, 2002.
 - b. Consider approval of an intergovernmental agreement with the Town of Camp Verde to restore West Clear Creek to its historic channel in the amount of \$25,000, to be paid from Outside Services account.
 - c. Consider approval of an intergovernmental agreement with the City of Prescott to fund two projects (relocation of drainage channel Rush/Sheldon Streets (\$145,000) and Phase II of the City's drainage plan (\$120,000), in the total amount of \$265,000, to be paid from Outside Services account.
 - d. Consider renewal of an intergovernmental agreement between Flood Control and Public Works for funding of various drainage improvement projects in the amount of \$420,000 to be paid from the following accounts: Drainage projects -Construction - \$330,000 budgeted funds (carryover 01/02)
Co-op projects - Services Construction Co-op - \$90,000 budgeted funds 02/03.

CLAIMS AGAINST YAVAPAI COUNTY

| <u>ACCOUNT</u> | <u>AMOUNT</u> | <u>ACCOUNT</u> | <u>AMOUNT</u> |
|-------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------|
| General Fund | 1,414,341.59 | Jail District | 251,335.02 |
| District 1 Park Fund | 580.88 | District 2 Park Fund | 870.23 |
| District 3 Park Fund | 1,695.21 | Windmill Park Fund | 2,188.19 |
| Sycamore Comm. Park | 409.82 | Child Health Grant | 2,777.11 |
| AMPPHI | 921.39 | Family Planning | 1,565.86 |
| MCH Programs | 3,428.36 | Home Health Ser | 13,255.58 |
| Health Promotion | 3,074.94 | Nutrition | 1,406.43 |
| T.B. Control | 971.65 | WIC Program | 12,695.26 |
| Stop Violence Women | 5,639.27 | Jail Enhancement | 27,375.16 |
| Juvenile Delinq. Reduct | 8,828.25 | Juvenile IPS | 15,241.33 |
| Family Counseling | 1,215.00 | Juvenile Food Prog | 1,461.79 |
| Probation Serv | 3,827.27 | Adult IPS | 24,674.09 |
| Adult Probation Fees | 16,650.56 | Prob Enhance | 32,701.85 |
| Recorder's Surcharge | 1,282.51 | Indigent Def/Dg | 1,397.91 |
| Crim Just/Atty | 7,964.09 | Bad Check Prog | 2,762.41 |
| CDBG Grant | 5,798.00 | Juv Prob Svs | 5,553.40 |
| Commodity Fd | 301.05 | Azeip Case Mgmt | 2,452.27 |
| Sexual Trans Disease | 63.66 | Hi Risk Chld HI | 2,494.56 |
| Clerk's Storage | 440.82 | WIC/TOB Intervention | 2,572.21 |
| HIV Counsel & Test | 1,325.55 | Atty Anti-Racket | 1,814.77 |
| PANT | 4,099.97 | CASA | 3,797.17 |
| Case Process | 3,934.44 | Prim. Care - V.V. | 1,668.29 |
| Azeip Coordinator | 1,001.88 | Vict Witns Prog | 7,566.84 |
| Court Enhancement | 5,660.36 | Council Court | 1,679.41 |
| Juvenile Dent. Enhan. | 654.78 | Child Poverty Book | 25.94 |
| Drug Enforce Fund. | 154.77 | Primary Care Svs | 11,200.41 |
| PC Fees V.V. | 17.52 | Yavapai Roundup II | 46.73 |
| Victims Rights Impl | 3,597.95 | JAIBG Juv Acct 3 | 2,659.05 |
| Yav Indian Agreement | 500.09 | Hassayampa/LTC | 1,174.96 |
| Dietetic Intern | 615.92 | Immuniz Service | 1,104.53 |
| Personal Care Svs | 652.46 | Idea-Preschool | 1,144.70 |
| Subs Abuse/DARE | 270.40 | Chem Abuse | 208.17 |
| Family Drug Court | 885.09 | Juvenile Drug Court | 3,543.70 |
| Juv Det/PACE | 5,474.81 | Collaborative Comp | 5,600.00 |
| Special Program | 16,165.03 | Sm Schools Ecia | 516.91 |

| | | | |
|------------------------|------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Sm Schools Beha | 16,713.96 | Cops in School | 4,696.77 |
| Mobile Comm. Center | 4,497.87 | Fill the Gap - Courts | 5,118.75 |
| Hurf Road Funds | 301,619.70 | Health Fund | 66,148.79 |
| Jail Commissary | 4,263.58 | Landfill Administ. | 77,410.06 |
| Water Advisory Comm | 2,903.42 | Clinical NICP | 336.67 |
| Tire Recycle | 3,229.32 | Hazard Materials Plng | 7,233.90 |
| Safe School Pro | 6,146.82 | Adhs-Svs Coord | 695.57 |
| Local Incentive Awards | 1,045.37 | Fill the Gap – Attorney | 1,332.06 |
| Family Law Commiss. | 4,420.18 | Comm Punish Pro | 3,682.72 |
| Juv. Detent Ed Pro | 561.52 | Regnl Road Project | 1,357,874.97 |
| Sterilization Svs | 500.00 | Health Start | 2,871.06 |
| Interstate Compact Pro | 3,237.17 | Ryan White II | 2,260.72 |
| Prepared. Bioterror | 5,290.23 | Primary Care Fees | 99.90 |
| Perinatal Block | 1,663.93 | Well Woman Health | 3,793.95 |
| Tobacco Educ | 19,065.08 | Hopwa Housing Op | 232.02 |
| Detention Education | 62.38 | School Reso. – Mayer | 1,381.13 |
| St. Grant in Aid | 775.85 | Direct Treatment Fund | 13,890.19 |
| Mental HealthRWJF | 2,697.44 | Mental Health Part. | 3,227.50 |
| Field Trainer | 2,091.31 | Comm. Access Pro | 1,437.98 |
| Attendant Care | 23,448.15 | HIV/CT | 92.88 |
| HIV Targeted | 1,884.52 | Childrens Justice | 279.41 |
| Child Sup & Vis | 590.20 | Domestic Relations | 75.86 |
| Self Service | 790.48 | VOCA | 3,661.89 |
| Yav. Drug Court | 2,113.49 | JTSF Treatment | 4,731.35 |
| Diversion Conseq. | 2,690.35 | Transferred Youth | 384.64 |
| Capital Projects | 700.00 | Jail Construction | 1,012,078.09 |
| Seligman Runway | 4,750.00 | ALTCS | 1,530,694.96 |

In addition, payroll was issued on September 6 for the pay period ending August 31; warrant numbers 2422932 through 2423409, in the amount of \$372,816.44. Jury certificates were also issued, warrant numbers 6857084 through 6857224. Warrants issued for September 16 Board day, 41767647 through 4178003; 4178004 through 4178465.

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned.

ATTEST:

_____Clerk _____Chairman