

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES WITH SUPPLEMENTAL TRANSCRIPT
(Where a supplemental transcript is available, it is printed in bold type)

OFFICE OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA

Prescott, Arizona

August 4, 2003

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session on August 4, 2003, at 9:20 a.m.

Present: Chip Davis, Chairman; Gheral Brownlow, Vice Chairman; Lorna Street, Member; Bev Staddon, Clerk.

Also present: Jim Holst, County Administrator; Dave Hunt, Board Attorney/Assistant County Administrator.

Chairman Davis introduced to the Board and the public Adam Schurr, a member of Boy Scout Troop 1, and son of long-time Deputy County Attorney Randy Schurr. He said that Adam was working on obtaining his Citizenship in the Community Merit Badge, and that he would serve as the Board's intern on this day.

Clerk's note: A copy of these minutes with a supplemental transcript is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and is also available on the County website.

ITEM NO. 1. Merit Award Board Chairman Joe Huot. Approve the following Employees of the Month:

1. Maggie Robertson, County Attorney's Office, as Employee of the Month for June 2003. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.
2. Laura Baxter, Law Library, as Employee of the Month for July 2003. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow. No comments from the public.

ITEM NO. 2. Board of Supervisors.

1. Resolve into the Board of Directors of Seligman Sanitary District and conduct hearing on the tax levy for current expense 2003-2004 and conduct annual hearing on the rate schedule. Reference: Special District minutes.
2. Resolve into the Board of Supervisors. Conduct Truth in Taxation Hearing. Pursuant to A.R.S. §42-17107(A)(4), the vote to levy increased property taxes must be by roll call vote. Chairman Davis called for comments from the public. The following Prescott area residents made comments, generally asking the Board to not raise taxes: Marta Tollerup; Martin Markowicz; J.D. Hooker, Byron Boan; Al Cady; and Leon Kalinic. Sheriff Buck Buchanan also provided comments regarding the proposed property tax increase, saying he preferred sales taxes to property taxes. Bob Burges, president of the Citizens Tax Committee, said that generally his organization also preferred sales taxes to property taxes. The vote on this item was taken after the vote to approve final adoption of the County Primary and Secondary budgets for the 2003-2004 fiscal year, and after Mr. Holst explained that as a result of the Board's adoption of the budget, the proposed increase in primary property taxes estimated at \$4,117,791 and advertised in the Truth in Taxation Hearing Notice would be reduced to \$1,839,951. Supervisor Brownlow moved to approve the increase in the County's share of primary property taxes as proposed by Mr. Holst. Supervisor Street seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote with the following roll call vote: Supervisor Street, "Yes"; Supervisor Brownlow, "Yes"; Chairman Davis, "Yes."

Clerk's note: Discussion of and comments related to final adoption of the County Primary and Secondary budgets was included the Truth in Taxation hearing. Mr. Holst suggested to the Board that it conduct the Truth in Taxation (TNT) hearing, but hold off on the roll call vote on the property tax increase until after approval of the budget. He explained the purpose of this day's hearing, noting that a courtesy hearing was held in the Verde Valley two weeks ago. Mr. Holst told those present that when the Board began the budget process it was looking a raising the property tax by more than \$4 million, and that to do so would change the County's property tax rate from approximately \$1.61 to approximately \$1.91. Supervisor Brownlow said he had asked Public Works Director Richard Straub to put together cost information regarding the County's involvement in the tree cutting program. He said that the County had spent \$280,997 in tree removal and slash stockpiling, and that this was money that had not been anticipated in the budget. Mr. Holst said part of that cost had to do with tree removal from the County right-of-way. Chairman Davis said that the TNT notice that was published had not changed, but that it also did not include the discussion the Board had two weeks ago about

putting on hold its capital improvements and moving the money anticipated for that to the Jail District. He said that doing so would lower the proposed property tax increase substantially, to about \$1.68, or a 7-cent increase over last year. Mr. Holst said the shift would reduce the budget by about \$2 million. Chairman Davis said it was important for people to understand what the Board was doing to try to reduce property taxes. Mr. Holst moved on to a brief presentation of the budget, saying that the difference between the tentative budget and the proposed final budget was a reduction of approximately \$2.3 million, most of which resulted from a reduction in the capital improvement program. Chairman Davis noted that the County had received fewer revenues than the previous year, but had still been able to keep the County budget flat. Supervisor Street asked Mr. Holst to put on the screen for the public's benefit a chart he had devised showing in a easy to understand format the distribution of money among the various County funds, and which showed that the property tax funds only a portion of the County's total budget. Chairman Davis then called for comments from the public, asking them to also provide solutions to the County's budget problems if they had solutions. Ms. Tollerup said that if she had a lot of time she could find a way to lower the County's costs. She said she did not like the Jail District, had not voted for it, and felt that those people who had voted for it should pay for it. She told the Board that her taxes had increased by 57% over the last 11 years, and that the assessed valuation on her home went up like clockwork each year. She said she believed that people could take salary cuts or be laid off, and that they should live in the real world like everyone else. Mr. Markowicz said he wanted to reinforce what Ms. Tollerup had said, and that he believed the increase for the Jail District was just the tip of the iceberg. He said the property tax increase did not recognize the fact that assessed value was always increasing. He said he had lived in California in the early 1970s and that the Board needed to take a hard look at how things were coming together because it did not want the same thing to happen here that had happened in California. Mr. Hooker said he would like to see the property tax rate not increase, and that he did not think the Board should raise taxes because it wanted to build a jail. He said he believed the inmates could build their own jail and that this would save more than 60% on labor costs. Mr. Boan said the proposed increase was tremendous and would put a great burden on property owners. He said he was a retiree and that his Social Security income had increased only 1.4% this year. He said he believed it would be more fair to increase sales tax than to increase property tax. Mr. Cady asked if it was true that the County had been looking at increasing the property tax from \$1.61 to about \$1.91 and was now looking at an increase to \$1.68. Chairman Davis said the Board's latest proposal was to go from a tax rate of \$1.61 to a rate of \$1.68, and that it could do that by not putting money into the capital improvement account this year and instead putting the money into property tax reduction. He said that as a part of that, the Board would be looking at trying to increase the sales tax for the Jail District. Mr. Kalinic said there had been a great deal of growth in the area and that he did not understand how revenues could go down with increased growth. Chairman Davis provided a brief review of the state's budget and how it had affected counties by eliminating funding for programs at the county level or by shifting responsibility for programs from the state to the counties. He said that the state's actions had resulted in a significant reduction in the County's revenues. Mr. Holst said that in addition to the information Chairman Davis had provided about the state's budget, the County had also experienced a reduction in state shared sales tax revenues. Mr. Kalinic asked if what the Board was saying was that the County's increase in the property tax was due to what had been done by the state. Chairman Davis said that was true, but that it also involved a shift of responsibility in other areas. He added that as much as the Board did not like the Jail District, it was not going to go away. Supervisor Street said she had heard the comment that the Board wanted to spend money. She said that before the budget process even started, the Board had asked department heads to not ask for new positions, equipment and the like. She said that the state was in a bad way, and that the federal government was as well, and that costs were being passed down to counties. Supervisor Street reiterated that the Board had told departments not to ask for new people or equipment, or anything else, but that one of things that growth brings is an increased demand for services. She said the with the increase in population, people coming to the area were bringing their bad habits with them and that it was resulting in an increased load on law enforcement and the courts. She said that the increased load held true for other County departments as well. Supervisor Street reminded those present that the Board members also paid taxes. She said the County's budget woes were not the result of just one thing or one cost shift, but that it was more like getting nicked and dined to death. Supervisor Brownlow directed the audience's attention to a

property tax pie chart on the screen, saying that the County's share of property taxes was only about 24% of the total property tax bill and that most of the taxes people paid were school taxes. He noted that the Board had started its budget meetings on April 28 and that virtually no one from the public had bothered to attend the meetings. Supervisor Brownlow said that a year ago a representative from a community group in Paulden had attended all of the Board's budget meetings, and he invited those present on this day to do the same next year. Supervisor Street said that in the last three years the County's tax rate was \$1.61 while the tax rate for Yavapai College was \$1.58. Mr. Markowicz said he had attended the last board meeting at the College and that it seemed to him that it was almost impossible to slow those people down. He said the College claimed to have the lowest tuition in the country, but when members of the public suggested that they raise the tuition instead of raising taxes, they were met with a very arrogant response. He asked if the Board approved the College's tax rate. Chairman Davis said the College was a power unto itself, but that the Board did approve the tax rate for the College. Supervisor Street told those present that every entity listed on a tax bill was governed by people who were elected by the public. Mr. Holst said that although the Board sets the tax rates for all jurisdictions, it is a ministerial function and the Board has no authority to change the levy set by the jurisdictions. Chairman Davis said he wanted to stress that the hearing on this day was Yavapai County's TNT hearing, and that the Board was not trying to take the focus off itself. He said that the County was a small but significant piece of the property tax pie, but still a piece of the pie and that it did have some control over what happened with the County's property tax rate. He said the Board had chosen to increase salaries for employees and had given a 6% across the board increase for most employees and that part of the reason had to do with employees being required to pay an additional 3.2% into the state retirement fund. He said that, as Supervisor Street had pointed out, the Board had asked all department to hold the line on new employees and that the Board had not felt that employees should take home less pay but do additional work. Chairman Davis said that, unlike other places in the country, Yavapai County continued to grow and that the County had different issues than the rest of the state. He said the inflation rate was 2.1% and that when that was added to the additional 3.2% for state retirement, it left another .7% to bring the County more into line with the competitive job market. Chairman Davis pointed out that employees working under the public safety retirement plan, such as Deputies, would actually receive a full 6% increase and that this would help the Board to address some of the issues the Sheriff had tried to bring to light. He reiterated that the 2003-2004 budget was flat, or the same as the 2002-2003 budget, saying that the Board had cut costs everywhere it could. He added that the audience on this day represented the largest number of people present for the TNT hearing that he had seen since taking office, and that when the Board did not have to increase the County's property tax rate no one showed up at the hearing. Chairman Davis said the Board had to deal with the Jail District issue and that it did not take that issue lightly. He said there had been cost shifts, investment losses, increases in insurance premiums, and reductions in sales tax revenues to name a few and that in spite of such things the County's budget was flat. He told those present that the Board had done the best it could to move forward. Supervisor Street said that every year the Arizona Tax Research Association visited each county in the state to discuss budget issues and that this year Mr. Holst had not been able to attend that meeting, leaving the Board members to discuss the budget with ATRA. She said that following the meeting, one of the people in attendance had told her that he was impressed with the knowledge the Board members had about the County's proposed 2003-2004 budget. She said it was true that all three of the Board members really understood the budget, and that they had been working on it for the last six months. Supervisor Brownlow said the only thing the Board had not discussed was the newsletter put out by the Citizens Tax Committee and some of the things included in it about the rodeo. He said he had pictures of the old race track before the County cleaned it up at County expense, and that as a result of that action the rodeo now had more parking available than ever before. He said there was a map that had gone out showing a new administration building in the arena, but that the map was inaccurate. He said that a new building on the site would be attached to the Fair Street building, and that comments about the County causing the rodeo to go away were not true. Supervisor Brownlow added that Yavapai County was one of the few counties that was not in debt because the Board tries to pay as it goes. Sheriff Buchanan said he wanted to clarify a few things. He said his responsibility was to run the Jail and the Board's responsibility was to provide adequate funding for it. He said he wanted to compliment the Board on its proposal to move \$2 million from capital improvements to the Jail District in order to get the new jail up

and running. He said he also believed that sales taxes were better than property taxes, and that the Jail District really had nothing to do with property taxes. Sheriff Buchanan said he was not sure whether the \$2 million transfer would actually provide everything he needed, but that it would help. He said that when the Jail District was approved in 1999, the Board had asked for only a quarter cent sales tax instead of the half-cent allowed by law. He said he believed the property tax issue needed to be separated from the Jail District tax issue. Sheriff Buchanan said he did have some other concerns about the budget, and that he had not yet received anything from the Board about what the budget capacity for the Jail District would be or about how many new positions he would have for the jail. He added that a question for the public was where they wanted criminals to go if there was not a jail. Chairman Davis said that the national average for salary increases was 3.3% and that most employees were actually receiving a .7% salary increase. He said that school districts were averaging a 13% budget increase, adding that the County was not looking at a 4% increase in the property tax rate as opposed to the original proposal of a 15% to 18% increase in the rate. He said the Board was going to reduce the General Fund by \$2.2 million one time only, and that if an increase in the sales tax for the Jail District did not pass the County would be looking at a larger property tax increase next year. Chairman Davis said that for the health of the County, he did not believe the Board could postpone the capital improvement program for more than one year. He asked Mr. Burges if he could endorse an increased sales tax for the Jail District. Mr. Burges responded that he would have to ask the board of the CTC if it would be willing to endorse an increase, but that generally they preferred sales taxes to property taxes. Supervisor Street said that the new administration was not a luxury, but a necessity. She said that the rodeo grounds issue was negotiated with Prescott Frontier Days and its attorney and that everyone was aware there would be a road through that property with County buildings on one side and rodeo facilities on the other side.

3. Final adoption of County Primary and Secondary budgets for the 2003-2004 fiscal year, and approve Resolution for the Adoption of the Budget for Fiscal Year 2003-2004. The budgets and Resolution No. 1405 were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. Discussion of this item was included with discussion of the Truth in Taxation hearing.

Clerk's note: See supplemental transcript under item 2.2 for discussion related to the budget.

4. Resolve into the Boards of Directors of the following special and improvement districts for final adoption of their 2003-2004 fiscal year budgets: Reference: Special District minutes.
 - a. Yavapai County Flood Control District.
 - b. Yavapai County Free Library District.
 - c. Yavapai County Jail District.
 - d. Ash Fork Street Lighting Improvement District.
 - e. Seligman Street Lighting Improvement District.
 - f. Yarnell Street Lighting Improvement District.
 - g. Granite Gardens Sanitary District.
 - h. Prescott East Sanitary District.
 - i. Seligman Sanitary District.
 - j. Bryce Canyon Drive Sewer Improvement District.
 - k. Coyote Springs Road Improvement District II.
 - l. Pine Valley Street Improvement District.
5. Resolve into Board of Supervisors. Consider approval of items appearing on the Consent Agenda for Board of Supervisors and on the Consent Agenda for Special Districts. Upon a motion by Supervisor Brownlow, seconded by Supervisor Street, the Board voted unanimously to approve all items appearing on these Consent Agendas. No comments from the public.

ITEM NO. 3. Resolve into Yavapai County Board of Equalization. Petition for Notice of Proposed Correction, Lena Beth Engler Revocable Living Trust, represented by Michael Christie, parcel 204-05-023C, Crown King area, for tax year 2002. Held in abeyance on July 7, 2003. Reference: Board of Equalization minutes.

ITEM NO. 4. Resolve into Board of Supervisors. Public Works Director Richard Straub.

1. Consider approval of an intergovernmental agreement with the State of Arizona and approval of a resolution for the Verde Valley Multimodal Transportation Study Update. Half-cent sales tax project. This item was

pulled from the agenda at the meeting.

2. Consider approval of a right-of-way project on Prescott Street and Third Street in the Humboldt area and approve Authorization of Services #2312816 and on-call contract with Briggs Appraisal & Consulting in an amount not to exceed \$2,600 for Prescott Street and Third Street Right-of-Way Acquisition Project Appraisal Services. To be paid from HURF, Outside Services. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.
3. Consider approval of a payment of \$3,965 to Engineering & Testing Consultants, Inc. for Geotechnical Engineering Services on Williamson Valley Road. Half-cent sales tax project. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.
4. Consider approval of a payment of \$2,425 to Engineering & Testing Consultants, Inc. for Geotechnical Engineering Services on Iron Springs Road. Half-cent sales tax project. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.
5. Award or reject bids received for the purchase of one power broom for Yavapai County, contract #2312028. Bids opened July 1, 2003, with bids received from the following vendors: Balar Equipment, \$35,085.63; and Geff's Manufacturing, \$31,295.76. Recommend awarding to Geff's Manufacturing in the amount of \$31,295.76. To be paid from HURF. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow. No comments from the public.
6. Award or reject bids received for Mud Springs Road Pavement Overlay, 1.5 Miles in Black Canyon City, Yavapai County, Arizona, Project #2312850. Bids opened July 22, 2003, with bids received from the following vendors: Northern Improvement Company dba Asphalt Paving & Supply, \$167,536; Combs Construction, \$173,560.50; J. Banicki Construction, \$182,278; JMH Company LTD, \$214,579; and Fann Contracting, \$217,703. Recommend awarding to Northern Improvement Company dba Asphalt Paving & Supply in the amount of \$167,536, to be paid from HURF. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.
7. Award or reject bids received for Quail Run Road/Rio Mesa Trail Pavement Overlay, 1.4 Miles in Verde Village, Yavapai County, Arizona, Project #2312845. Bids opened July 22, 2003, with bids received from the following vendors: Northern Improvement Company dba Asphalt Paving & Supply, \$133,753; C & E Paving & Grading, \$155,000; J. Banicki Construction, \$157,335; and Fann Contracting, \$177,515. Recommend awarding to Northern Improvement Company dba Asphalt Paving & Supply in the amount of \$133,753. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.
8. Consider approval of items appearing on the Consent Agenda for Public Works. All items were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR PUBLIC WORKS: All items were approved by unanimous vote, with no comments from the public. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street.

1. Consider approval of Extension for Completion of Services to Authorization of Services #2109679 with Loper & Associates, LLC for State Route 89A and Robert Road appraisals and expert witness services. No additional funds required. Half-cent sales tax project.
2. Consider approval of Assignment and Consent between Northern Improvement Company (Assignee) and Asphalt Paving & Supply, Inc. (Assignor) concerning obligations to Yavapai County (Obligor) regarding the following annual contracts: Contract for Supply or Supply and Delivery of Sand Seal in Yavapai County, Contract #2312759; Contract for Supply of Operated Hauling Equipment in Yavapai County, Contract #2311728; Contract for Supply of Operated Equipment in Yavapai County, Contract #2212008; and Contract for Supply and Delivery of Hot Asphaltic Concrete in Yavapai County, Contract #2312019.
3. Consider approval of Change Order #1 to Authorization of Services #228895 with Arizona Engineering Company for Post Design Services on Montezuma Castle Highway Improvement Project in an amount not to exceed \$10,000. Half-cent sales tax project.
4. Consider approval of Change Order #2 with A. Miner Contracting, Inc. for the Holiday Hills Drainage Improvement Project – Construction Phase 2, Yavapai County, Arizona, Project #2312011, in the additional amount of \$31,818. Half-cent sales tax project.

ITEM NO. 5. Development Services Director Ken Spedding and Assistant Director Enalo Lockard. Development Services Department business and planning and zoning items.

Development Services Department business:

1. Hearing: Consider renaming a portion of Road 2 South to Almosta Ranch Road, Williamson Valley area, and consider waiving street name change filing fee. Supervisor Street left the meeting briefly

at this point. 911/Addressing Coordinator Vincent Gallegos presented this item, and proponent Joe Jackson answered questions from the Board. Supervisor Brownlow moved to approve Resolution No. 1406 renaming the portion of road as requested. Chairman Davis seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote of those present.

2. Hearing: Consider renaming an unnamed alley to Christmas Tree Lane, Prescott area, and consider waiving street name change filing fee. Supervisor Street returned to the meeting and was present for the remainder of the day. 911/Addressing Coordinator Vincent Gallegos presented this item, saying there was no opposition to the request. Supervisor Brownlow moved to approve Resolution No. 1407 renaming the alley, saying he hoped it would be decorated each year at Christmas time. Supervisor Street seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote. No comments from the public.

Planning and zoning items:

1. Hearing: Use permit for the sale of used merchandise in a C1 zoning district, Herrle Loan and Pawn, 103-07-186, Prescott Valley area, Richard Klauer agent for Timothy and Mary Herrle, #3073. Use Permit request for the sale of used merchandise on a .17-acre parcel in a C1 (Commercial Neighborhood Sales and Services) zoning district. Located on the north side of the SR 69 Frontage Road, approximately 1000 ft. east of the Prescott East Hwy./SR 69 Frontage Road intersection in the Prescott Valley/Castle Canyon Mesa area. SEC22 T14 N 1W G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of the Use Permit, as proposed with the following Stipulations: 1). Use permit approved on a permanent/non-transferable basis; 2). Waiver of County Parking Standards to allow 2 parallel parking spaces on-site; 3). Business to be operated in accordance with the site plan modified to show 2 parallel parking spaces and letter of intent submitted with the use permit application and in compliance with all applicable codes and ordinances. Deputy County Attorney Randy Schurr participated in discussion of this item, as did Mr. Klauer and Rich Hinton, president of Bell Building Systems. Supervisor Brownlow moved to refer this item back to the Planning & Zoning Commission for further review. His motion died for lack of a second. Supervisor Brownlow then moved to hold this item in abeyance until the Board's August 18, 2003, meeting. Supervisor Street seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

Mr. Lockard told the Board that the question on this item had to do with parking in front of the business, and that the Commission had recommended parallel parking. Chairman Davis asked if that type of issue would go to the Board of Adjustment and Appeals. Mr. Lockard responded that the Commission had the ability to waive some of requirements of the Ordinance. Mr. Klauer said that the street in front of the business was not heavily traveled. Chairman Davis asked if the parallel parking was to be in the County right-of-way. Mr. Lockard said that was correct, and that the improvements (paving) would have to be done within the County right-of-way. Chairman Davis said he could not approve it. He said the County was responsible for things that happen in its right-of-way and that it would be negligent on the Board's part to allow the business to have designated parking in the County right-of-way. He said the County's regulations were well structured with regard to the number of parking spaces required, and that an applicant was either in or out with regard to that issue. Mr. Klauer said the applicant could go either way on parking, either parallel parking or heading in and backing out. Mr. Lockard said that the County's Public Works Department typically responds negatively to parking that provides for backing out onto a street. Mr. Klauer said again that the applicant could go either way with it. Chairman Davis asked why the area in question had not been annexed by the Town of Prescott Valley. Mr. Spedding said that Prescott Valley had tried to annex the area but had been unsuccessful. He said that to his knowledge, most of the businesses along the frontage road had parking that involved pulling in and backing out. Supervisor Brownlow asked how many feet of right-of-way the County had, saying that parking in the right-of-way might not always be bad. He added that according to Chief Zoning Inspector Steve Mauk, the applicant did not have the correct use permit. Supervisor Street asked if the applicant already had commercial zoning and was selling new merchandise but needed a use permit in order to sell used merchandise. Mr. Spedding said he did not think there were any sales at all yet. Mr. Klauer said that was correct. He said the building for the business was being moved from inside the Prescott Valley town limits to the subject property. Mr. Lockard said the staff's position was that the applicant had created his own hardship by using up most of the space of the property for the building and not leaving adequate space for parking. Supervisor Street said she had concerns about this application, and that she knew that all along the frontage road businesses had parking that involved pulling in and backing out onto the road. She said the County had a Planning and Zoning Ordinance and that it was

still working on it, but that it seemed like lately a lot of people were coming to the Board and saying they did not want to do things according to the Ordinance. She said the applicant was asking the Board to violate its own rules. Chairman Davis said the Board had a fiscal responsibility to the taxpayers in the County, and that to designate parking in the right-of-way would put all of the County's taxpayers in a position of liability and that to do so would not be a responsible act. Mr. Klauer said that if the concern was parking across the street, he would ask that the Board go along with staff's initial recommendation. He said the Board was considering requiring the applicant to adhere to not having parking that involved backing out while many of the other businesses along the same road still had that type of parking. Mr. Spedding said another option for the applicant would be to either purchase or lease the property adjacent to the subject property and use it for parking instead of using the County right-of-way. Mr. Hinton said that a building had been attached to the rear of the existing building for use as a warehouse, and that the lower floor of the existing building would be used for retail. He said that at no time had he misled staff about the intended use of the building. He said that all along the frontage road people pull in and back out of businesses. Mr. Hinton told the Board that when he applied for the building permit, there was never any indication from staff that there would be a problem with having parking that providing for pulling in and backing out. He added that the reason for the use permit application was to allow the applicant to sell used goods, and that the applicant had been broadsided at the Commission meeting with regard to the parking. There was brief discussion about what part of the area along the frontage road was in the Prescott Valley town limits and what was in the County. Chairman Davis asked how this issue had come before the Board on this day, saying the applicant had said staff knew the permit was for a retail operation. Mr. Lockard responded that C1 zoning allows for retail sales but not for second-hand sales. Chairman Davis asked what would happen if it was just for new sales. He said he did not understand what the Commission had done because it was pretty plain and simple. He said there was the Ordinance and that the County could not allow people to park in the right-of-way, but that now the applicant had spent money on this project. Mr. Schurr said that if staff allowed the applicant to pull a building permit, he believed the County had a problem. Mr. Spedding said he would find out from staff what happened with regard to issuing the building permit, but that it was his understanding that the applicant had a right to pull a permit based on the retail use. Supervisor Street asked if the other business along the frontage road that had pull-in, back-out parking were in violation of the Ordinance. Mr. Schurr said many of those business had been there for a long time, and that just because that type of parking was allowed in the past it did not mean that it was a good idea to allow it in the future. Mr. Spedding said it was not true that all businesses along the road had that type of parking, and he pointed out some businesses that had parking lots that did not require patrons to back out onto the frontage road. Chairman Davis said he was okay with the provisions of the Ordinance, but that he was not okay with the fact that the applicant had been spending money on the project because he was under the impression from staff that it was alright to move forward. Mr. Klauer said that one of the reasons the applicant had gotten to this point had to do with trying to define "pawn shop" because it was not defined in the Ordinance. He told the Board that the applicant's primary function was to make loans, and that only if someone did not redeem what they had pawned would it become available for sale. He said that was why the applicant believed he was okay in moving forward because the property was zoned C1. He said the pawn shop was essentially a lending institution, adding that staff had approved the plans as submitted and that the applicant had the project 99% completed. Chairman Davis said that was why he had a problem with this application. Mr. Klauer said the business would not generate a lot of traffic. Mr. Schurr asked the Board to allow staff some time to determine how the permit was issued.

2. Hearing: Use permit to allow horse training, riding instruction and livestock boarding in an R1L-175 zoning district, Painted Winds Ranch, 201-10-017E, Wickenburg area, Mandy Corbett, #3054. Consideration of a Use Permit to allow horse training, riding instruction, and livestock boarding and treatment on a 5.92-acre parcel about 3 miles north of Wickenburg and 2800 feet south of Highway 93. Current zoning is R1L-175 (Single Family Residential; Site Built; 175,000 sq. ft. min) Situs: 18920 W. McNeil Rd. SEC 28 TWN8N R5W G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of the Use Permit, as proposed with the following Stipulations: 1). The Use Permit shall be granted on a 10-year non-transferable basis, in conformance with the site plan and letter of intent dated April 5, 2003. The Use Permit shall be reviewed every two years; 2). There shall be no more than twenty (20) heads of livestock on the subject property at any given time; 3). Use of the recreational vehicle shall meet the criteria for a

guest home as outlined in Zoning Ordinance Section 537 A-G; 4). Individual or team sorting, roping or other commercial "jackpot" or trophy activities on the subject property shall be prohibited; 5). Prior to issuance of the Use Permit, the applicant shall obtain a septic permit for the existing septic systems on the subject property; 6). A Certificate of Compliance shall be granted three (3) months after the Board approval date; 7). Lighting and signage on the subject property shall conform to Zoning Ordinance Sections 601 and 603 for residential; 8). The use permit shall include the adjacent six-acres to the south; 9). All other federal, state, and local regulations shall apply.

Following brief comments from Ms. Corbett, the Board voted unanimously to approve the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow. There were no additional comments from the public.

3. Hearing: Use permit to allow four commercial trash trucks to be parked and to allow maintenance of them in an RCU-2A zoning district, LOC Sanitation, 306-40-163B, Paulden area, Kevan Larson agent for L.O.C. Sanitation, LLC, #3055. Consideration of a Use Permit in order to allow four (4) commercial trash trucks to be parked and to do maintenance on a 3.58 acre parcel zoned RCU-2A (Rural Residential: Two acre minimum lot size). Located on the east side of Old Highway 89, 1.7 miles north of the intersection of Old Highway 89 and S.R. 89, one mile north of the intersection of S.R. 89 and Road 6 North. Situs: 21225 Old Highway 89, Paulden, Arizona. SEC 15 TWN 17N R 2W G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of H3055, Use Permit, as proposed with the following Stipulations: 1). Use permit to be approved ten-year, non-transferable basis to allow outside storage of no more than four packers, one pack-rat and parking for two employees in accordance with the applicant's letter of intent dated April 28, 2003 and site plan dated July 9, 2003 including vegetative screening; 2). Any waste oils, fuels and related fluids shall be disposed of in accordance with all applicable local, state and Federal laws; 3). All vehicles must be "road ready" at all times so that they can be removed in the event of flooding; 4). No new structures without review and approval by the Planning and Zoning Commission and Board of Supervisors; 5). Trucks shall be kept reasonably clean so as not to attract vermin or create nuisance as well as conform with all applicable state and local laws; 6). Any and all associated storage shall be maintained in the storage building at the northeast edge of the property. The Board voted unanimously to approve the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.
4. Hearing: Reversion of zoning due to non-compliance with stipulations from C2-1 to R1L-35, Eichelberger/Sachs Property, 106-20-002M and 002R, Prescott area, Richard and Cynthia Sachs owners, staff initiated, #3071. Reversion of zoning, due to non-compliance with stipulations, from conditional C2-1 (Commercial; General Sales and Services: 1,000 sq. ft. min.) to R1L-35 (Single Family Residential; Site Built; 35,000 sq. ft. min) on two parcels totaling approximately 2.90 acres. Located on the north side of Willow Lake Road, approximately 500 feet east of its intersection with Willow Creek Road, adjacent to the City of Prescott. SEC 15 TWN 14N R2W G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended denial of the Reversion of zoning. Deputy County Attorney Randy Schurr participated in discussion of this item. Supervisor Street moved to deny the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission and allow the property to revert to its previous zoning, saying that the applicant could start over if he wanted to do something with the property. Chairman Davis seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote. No comments from the public.

Mr. Lockard said the zoning was never implemented and that the applicant had never complied with the stipulations. He said that if the Board approved the Commission's recommendation, which was to deny the reversion, the applicant could still move forward with the zoning provided he did everything within one year. Supervisor Street said it seemed as if everything on the planning and zoning agenda for this day involved a violation of the County's regulations. She noted that the zoning was first approved in 1999, and that it included 13 stipulations but that not one of those stipulations had been met. She asked if staff felt the applicant could do everything required it one year and whether staff was satisfied with that approach. Mr. Lockard said staff wanted the zoning to revert. Supervisor Street noted that the Commission wanted to give the applicant another year. Chairman Davis asked why a reversion of zoning was not just handled administratively. Mr. Schurr responded that state statutes required hearings before the Commission and the Board.

5. Hearing: Use permit for operation of a shooting range including competitive events in an RCU-2A zoning district, YRL Shooting Range, 205-15-016, Wilhoit area, Dean Dudden agent for Robert Allgood, Yavapai Recreation League, Inc., #3074. Consideration of a Use Permit to allow the operation of a shooting range

including competitive events on a 19.87 acre parcel, also known as the Marjorie Number Two Lode Mining Claim, currently zoned RCU-2A (Rural Residential; Two acre minimum). The subject parcel is located approximately 3,370 feet south of the intersection of Forest Service Road 71 and Highway 89, 2.25 miles east of the intersection of SR 89 and Walden Blvd. in Wilhoit, Arizona. SEC 3 TWN 12 N R 3W G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of the Use Permit, as proposed with the following Stipulations: 1). Use permit approved on a permanent/non-transferable basis, and subject to an annual staff review; 2). No expansion of the facility beyond current authorized level as identified by the approved site plan dated May 22, 2003 and June 26, 2003 and limit site disruption with minimum possible excavation and clearing. The parcel shall not be split; 3). Total number of facility participants as described in the Letter of Intent but not to exceed 100 four times a year for regional events; 4). No artillery weapons or ammunition to be stored on-site; 5). Campground to be used for day use only; the trailers may be used for storage and event office only; 6). Applicant to work with the Forest Service to provide access and fire suppression capabilities as recommended by the District within 90 days of Board approval; 7). In the event of approval by the Board of Supervisors, the Applicant shall provide the County with a management plan that outlines procedures and sequencing of all types of daily, weekend and regional events in order to assure coordination for water, trash and sanitation services as well as crowd and traffic/parking control for the expected attendance. The management plan shall be approved by Yavapai County Environmental Services prior to the proposed use; 8). Applicant shall obtain a certificate of compliance prior to initiation of use from the Development Services Department. Supervisor Street moved to approve the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote. Mr. Dudden answered questions from the Board. There were no additional comments from the public.

Chairman Davis called for comments in opposition to this request. There were none. Mr. Dudden explained that his organization was a non-profit organization dedicated to shooting as a sport. He said there were approximately 60-70 members of the group, many of whom were retired people. He said his organization had been searching for ten years for a piece of land that it could afford and which would be surrounded by national forest. Mr. Dudden told the Board that about 30 people had donated \$65,000 in order to purchase the subject property, and that the property was currently in escrow pending the outcome of this day's meeting. He said the property used to be a mining claim, but that it was privately owned. Supervisor Brownlow asked Mr. Dudden what the members of his group shot into. Mr. Dudden replied that all shooting bays would be NRA approved designs, and that they would be approximately 8 to 12 foot berms dug into the side of a hill. Supervisor Brownlow asked if the property could be split, saying that he felt there should be a stipulation to prohibit the applicant from selling off a portion of the property. Mr. Lockard said that stipulation #2 would prohibit splitting the property. Chairman Davis asked Mr. Dudden what he estimated the use of the shooting range would be. Mr. Dudden said it was hard to say, but that his group hoped to attract people from all over the country. He told the Board that the Ben Avery shooting range in the Phoenix area was under attack from developers, and that as a result the use of his organization's shooting range could increase. He said that at the present time they could only handle about 100 shooters, but that if usage increased he would be back for another use permit to open the balance of the property. He added that the Forest Service was supportive of the use permit application.

6. Hearing: Conditional zoning map change from R1L-25 to C2, 402-02-054A, Dewey area, Dick Williams, York RV, agent for Dennis Young, #3070. Consideration of a Conditional Zoning Map Change to C2 (Commercial; General Sales and Services) in order to allow the continued operation of a Recreational Vehicle Sales Facility known as York RV. Located on an approximately 1 and one half (1 ½) acre parcel, in an R1L-25 (Residential; Single Family Limited zoning district, 25,000 square foot minimum). Located on the South side of Century Lane approximately six hundred (600) feet south of the intersection of Highways 169 and 69 in the Community of Dewey. SEC 10 TWN 13N R 1E G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of the Conditional Zoning Map Change, as proposed with the following Stipulations: 1). Conditional Zoning Map Change to be approved to C2-4 (Commercial; General Sales and Services) for the specified use only in conformance with the letter of intent and site plan dated 5-12-03 any expansion will need to be reviewed and approved by the Commission and Board; 2). Maximum of 52 units for display on site; 3). No display lighting shall be permitted; 4). Eight parking spaces shall be delineated as identified on the site plan within 90 days of Board of Supervisors approval; 5). Applicant to obtain a Certificate of Compliance from Development Services within 90 days of BOS approval. Supervisor Brownlow moved to approve the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning

Commission. Supervisor Street seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote. No comments from the public.

Mr. Lockard noted that staff had received letters of opposition to this application. He said that the existing facility had been under different ownership and that York now owned it. Chairman Davis asked if the people who had sent letters of opposition had changed their position since sending the letters. Mr. Lockard said no, not that he was aware of. Chairman Davis noted that long ago the Board had asked for a total plan for that area rather than piece-mealing uses, and that it had never received such a plan.

7. Hearing: Final Plat, Weaver Mt. Estates Final Plat, 201-26-074C, Congress area, Rome Glover agent for Weaver Mt. Estates, #3048. Consideration of a Final Plat for Phase 1A of the Weaver Mt. Estates Subdivision, consisting of 54 lots, on an approximate 22 acre parcel on property currently zoned PAD (Planned Area Development for a residential subdivision). Located on the south west corner of Roadrunner Road and Ghost Town Road in Congress. SEC 35; TWN 10N; R 6 W G&SRB&M. Mr. Glover answered questions from the Board. Supervisor Street moved to approve the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote. No additional comments from the public.

Supervisor Street told Mr. Glover that he needed to take care that he did everything correctly. She said it appeared he had dug a trench, and that the water district in Congress had assumed that Mr. Glover had all kinds of clearances that he did not have. She asked Mr. Glover to please be sure he was careful and up-front with everything. Mr. Glover said that last year the water district had asked for permission to put a new water line through his property, that he had granted the district an easement and that it was the district, not him, who had dug the trench. Supervisor Street asked Mr. Glover what progress he was making with the project. Mr. Glover responded that as soon as the Board approved the final plat he could get started. He said his construction plans were completed.

8. Hearing: Final Plat, Quail Ridge Phase 2, Unit 2 Final Plat, 306-07-291N, Chino Valley area, Mark Evans agent for Western Rimrock Development, #3096. Consideration of a Final Plat for Phase 2 Unit 2 of the Quail Ridge Subdivision, consisting of 49 lots, on an approximate 39 acre parcel on property currently zoned RMM-25 (Residential Multi-Sectional Manufactured Homes, 25,000 minimum lot size). Located between Roads 4 ½ North and Road 5 North, directly west of Reed Road and approximately 2 miles west of Highway 89 in the vicinity of the Town of Chino Valley. SEC 5; TWN 16N; R 2 W. Mr. Evans answered questions from the Board. Supervisor Brownlow moved to approve the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission. Supervisor Street seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote. No additional comments from the public.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS: All items were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.

1. Requests from Board of Supervisors:

- a. Approve minutes of meeting of July 21, 2003, and of special meeting of July 24, 2003.
- b. Approve appointment of the following Democrat precinct committeemen to the precincts noted, as recommended by the Yavapai County Democratic Party: Juanita Murphy, Mary Spall and Peggy Cameron, Williamson Valley; Martha Allan and Linda Anderson, Prescott South; Sofia Mitchell and Elsie Wendlandt, Senator; Don Tucker, Taylor Hicks; Carolyn Howell, Clemenceau; Elfrieda Proctor, Cottonwood 1; Mary Currier, Jerome; Lelia Schoenberg and Lois Stalvey, Red Rock 1; Alice Rose, Ash Fork; John Ruggiero, Maureen Ruggiero and Elisabeth Saylor, Camp Verde 2; Randall Phillips, Prescott Country Club 1; William H. Rayl, Country Park; Leroy Hunter and Lizbeth Fullbright, Middle Verde; Robert Jones, Mingus; Richard Brynildsen, Red Rock East; Jack Ware and Dorothy Bong, Verde Village; Arlene Chronis, Kathryn Jones and Bonnie Acosta, Montezuma; Geraldine Emmett, Northside; Leatrice Parsons, Mile High; Joan Jenkins and Rose Moon, Red Rock West; Louise Butzien, Agua Fria 1; Bernadine Gomez, Antelope; Wallace Howard and Kimberley Abbott, Deep Well; David Hess and Francisca Gerstner, Bridgeport; Sheryl Hunnell, Camp Wood 1; Emerson Thayer, Mary Sue Laidler and Jessica Martinez, Mountain View; Margie Craven, Drake; Carol Wagoner-Eide, Western; Annie Sandoval, Richard Dehnert III, Charity Ayres and Ruth Wicks, Clarkdale; Stephen Wilson, Quail Springs; and Eli Markovich, Montana; Marjorie Sipes, Hillside. Also, acknowledge removal of the following precinct committeemen from the precincts noted: Stan Chronister, Northside; Alexandra Paeff; Sue Williams, Red Rock East; Geraldine Emmett, Verde Village; John Verrill, Williamson Valley; Jovita Fine, Copper Basin;

and Carolyn Howell, Clemenceau.

- c. Approve appointment of the following Republican precinct committeemen to the precincts noted, as recommended by the Republican Committee of Yavapai County: James S. Arroyo and Janet S. Arroyo, West Chino; Kay Harlan, Badger.
 - d. Set hearing for September 2, 2003, at 9:30 a.m. to consider conversion of Bryce Canyon Drive Sewer Improvement District to Bryce Canyon Drive Domestic Wastewater Improvement District.
2. Requests from Sheriff:
- a. Permission to trade automatic/surplus firearms to a Class III Firearms Dealer for more suitable weapons such as authorized handguns, shotguns or carbines that could be utilized by patrol deputies. Trade is authorized by A.R.S. §11-251(9).
 - b. Consider accepting funding from the Criminal Justice Records Improvement Program, Cycle 8 grant (CJRIP VIII) in the amount of \$25,545 with a cash match of \$8,515 to be paid from Jail Enhancement Funds.
 - c. Approve and sign Amendment 4 to Contract Agreement between Qwest Corporation and the Sheriff's Office, Contract #SEA-940801-0018, for upgrade of the existing telephone calling services for inmates at both detention facilities, an administrative workstation including CD burner, digital recording system and to provide free local legal calls, all at no cost to the County.
3. Request from Assessor for permission to purchase a Dell Server from Western States Contracting Alliance for the Assessor Project, in the amount of \$3,200 to be paid from the Assessor's Surcharge Fund.
4. Requests from Finance:
- a. Consider approval of County auction date of September 27, 2003.
 - b. Consider approval of vending machine contracts for the 2003-2004 fiscal year (S&L Vending and Aspen Vending and Wholesale, Inc.).
 - c. Permission to enter into a three-year capital lease agreement for up to \$1.3 million with Banc One Leasing, at an annual interest rate of approximately 2.33%, to be used for the purchase of road equipment.
5. Approve vouchers.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR DIRECTORS OF YAVAPAI COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL, FREE LIBRARY AND JAIL DISTRICTS, AND COUNTY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS: Reference: Special District minutes.

1. Resolve into the Boards of Directors of the Yavapai County Flood Control, Free Library and Jail Districts and other County improvement districts as follows, for the purpose of approving vouchers: Ash Fork, Seligman and Yarnell Street Lighting Improvement Districts; Prescott East and Seligman Sanitary Districts; Coyote Springs Road Improvement District II; and Pine Valley Street Improvement District.

CLAIMS AGAINST YAVAPAI COUNTY

<u>ACCOUNT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ACCOUNT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
General Fund	1,794,343.58	Jail District	200,389.18
District 1 Park Fund	2,954.10	District 2 Park Fund	1,084.14
District 3 Park Fund	194.00	Education Svs Agen.	10,455.63
Resep Radiation Exp.	411.96	SFS Federal Funding	477.22
Child Health Grant	3,534.47	Comm. Health Center	10,739.36
AMPPHI	1,515.10	Family Planning	5,517.98
MCH Programs	2,137.51	Home Health Ser	12,199.90
Health Promotion	2,485.01	Teenage PG Prevent	2,026.61
Nutrition	1,835.70	T.B. Control	1,359.97
WIC Program	17,342.88	Stop Violence Women	1,997.85
Jail Enhancement	2,221.73	Juvenile Delinq. Reduct	11,760.56
Juvenile IPS	16,408.69	Juvenile Food Prog	2,197.27
Probation Serv	4,568.42	Adult IPS	30,436.49
Adult Probation Fees	20,136.16	Prob Enhance	41,130.56
Recorder's Surcharge	41,227.48	Indigent Def/Dg	1,559.11
Misc Small Grants	74.80	Crim Just/Atty	4,067.79
Bad Check Prog	3,844.64	Juv Prob Svs	3,919.81
Commodity Fd	824.72	Azeip Case Mgmt	2,531.06
Sexual Trans Disease	39.43	Hi Risk Chld HI	3,034.14
Clerk's Storage	1,297.58	WIC/TOB Intervention	2,459.94

HIV Counsel & Test	1,298.17	Atty Anti-Racket	57,973.08
PANT	4,482.25	CASA	4,835.87
Case Process	4,202.01	Prim. Care – V.V.	8,958.18
Azeip Coordinator	2,265.17	Vict Witns Prog	7,083.56
Court Enhancement	1,673.09	Council Court	4,023.69
Juvenile Dent. Enhan.	867.38	Drug Enforcement Fund	2,468.03
Primary Care Svs	15,145.85	PC Fees Verde V.	21.60
Local ADR	250.00	Victims Rights Impl	3,909.18
JAIBG Juv Acct P-II	3,039.27	Yav. Indian Agree	1,055.78
Hassayampa/LTC	1,158.80	Dietetic Intern	793.44
Immuniz Service	2,414.00	Personal Care Svs	665.31
Idea-Preschool	115.97	Public Defend. Train.	1,597.80
Subs Abuse/DARE	50.38	Chem Abuse	60.44
Family Drug Court	893.05	Juvenile Drug Court	5,444.94
Juv Det/PACE	3,415.61	Collab. Comp Rev Gr	539.79
Prevent Child Abuse	974.94	Special Program	11,281.97
Sm Schools Ecia	95.46	Sm Schools Beha	6,903.69
Fill the Gap - Courts	4,223.19	School Facilities	4,169.39
Hurf Road Funds	439,765.63	Assessor Surcharge	2,218.27
Assessor App Dev	7,501.60	Health Fund	69,255.61
Jail Commissary	5,929.75	Environ. Services	824.33
Landfill Administ.	31,948.04	Water Advisory Comm	3,190.89
Clinical NICP	3,156.07	Tire Recycle	2,829.29
Safe School Pro	6,803.62	Adhs-Svs Coord	618.74
Local Incentive Awards	251.83	Fill the Gap – Attorney	1,641.17
Family Law Commiss.	7,186.53	Comm Punish Pro	958.42
Juven. Detent Ed Pro	604.75	Regnl Road Project	268,573.39
Library Automation	5,607.70	WMD Planner	1,470.53
Health Start	4,053.43	DUI Comm. Gov Off	450.00
Interstate Compact Pro	1,954.20	Ryan White II	3,407.38
Prepared. Bioterror	10,600.21	St Grant in Aid	186.14
Primary Care Fees	3,060.89	Perinatal Block	1,906.48
Well Woman Health	4,104.50	Tobacco Educ	11,668.83
Ad Prob Ed. H2002	48.06	St Imple. Grant	567.47
Youth Summer Train	16,022.41	School Reso. – Mayer	1,482.36
Direct Treatment Fund	2,318.68	Mental HealthRWJF	2,648.22
Mental Health Part.	3,865.03	Field Trainer	2,119.73
Comm. Access Pro	13,244.47	Attendant Care	29,946.11
HIV/CT	309.92	HIV Targeted	696.85
Childrens Justice	341.92	Child Sup & Vis	851.78
Domestic Relations Ed	517.50	NIBRS Reporting Sys	305.56
Due Abatement	860.96	Self Service	530.27
VOCA	6,020.74	Yav. Drug Court	2,035.86
JTSF Treatment	7,255.11	Diversion Conseq.	3,215.80
Tobacco Donation F.	285.00	Capital Projects	12,572.16
Jail Construction	35,551.06	ALTCS	656,458.80

In addition, payroll was issued on July 25 for the pay period ending July 19; warrant numbers 2433732 through 2434159, in the amount of \$345,144.46. Jury certificates issued during this time; 6861538 through 6861647. Warrants issued for August 4 Board day, 4199365 through 4199893; 4199894 through 4200301.

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned.

ATTEST:

Clerk _____Chairman

