

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES WITH SUPPLEMENTAL TRANSCRIPT  
(Where a supplemental transcript is available, it is printed in bold type)

OFFICE OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA

Prescott, Arizona

September 15, 2003

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session on September 15, 2003, in Cottonwood, Arizona, at 9:30 a.m.

Present: Chip Davis, Chairman; Gheral Brownlow, Vice Chairman; Lorna Street, Member; Bev Staddon, Clerk.

Also present: Jim Holst, County Administrator; Dave Hunt, Board Attorney/Assistant County Administrator.

Clerk's note: A copy of these minutes with a supplemental transcript is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and is also available on the County website.

ITEM NO. 1. Board of Supervisors.

1. Consider appeal of Hearing Officer decision, Kenneth Heidel, #03-Z-122, parcel 401-03-028C. Participating in discussion of this item were Mr. Heidel, Land Use Specialist Boyce MacDonald, Deputy County Attorney Randy Schurr, and Development Services Director Ken Spedding. Upon a motion by Supervisor Brownlow, seconded by Supervisor Street, the Board voted unanimously to uphold the decision of the Hearing Officer.

Chairman Davis explained that the Board's role in this matter was to determine whether Hearing Officer had been fair and objective in reaching his decision. Supervisor Brownlow said it appeared to him that some of the things on Mr. Heidel's property that were the subject of the violation may not need to be moved at this time. Chairman Davis said again that the Board's role was simply to determine whether the hearing before the Hearing Officer had been fair and impartial. Supervisor Brownlow said he would like more information on the case. Supervisor Street said the appeal was supposed to be on the record and that she did not agree with going through the whole thing all over again. Supervisor Brownlow said he understood that, but was just saying that perhaps Mr. Heidel did not need to move everything off the property. He asked Mr. MacDonald if he agreed that everything needed to be moved. Mr. MacDonald responded that there were no permits on the property other than a septic permit. Chairman Davis said the Board was not going to rehear this case. He said he believed there was a question on Supervisor Brownlow's part about how the County might work better with Mr. Heidel to cure the violation. He asked Mr. MacDonald if he had reviewed Mr. Heidel's appellate memorandum. Mr. MacDonald said he had not received a copy. At this point, Chairman Davis provided a copy to Mr. MacDonald and said the Board would move on to other items and return to this item after Mr. MacDonald had read the appellate memorandum. Later the Board returned to discussion of this matter, with Mr. MacDonald saying that the Hearing Officer's judgment was to allow Mr. Heidel 90 days to resolve the situation and that in order for him to keep things on his property he would need to go through the permitting process on the primary use for the property. He added that without a permit for the primary use, Mr. Heidel was not allowed to have the accessory uses. Chairman Davis asked if Mr. Heidel should go through the Board of Adjustment and Appeals. Mr. MacDonald said he believed that would be the process. Chairman Davis said he could see Mr. Heidel's dilemma. In response to a question from Supervisor Brownlow, Mr. MacDonald said that Mr. Heidel's property was a single-family residential property and that once he applied for that use, he could then apply for the accessory uses and that the Hearing Officer had given him 90 days to do so. Mr. Holst said that as he understood it, if a person first obtained a building permit for a house the person could then build a garage. Chairman Davis asked if it was true that if Mr. Heidel obtained a building permit for a residential use his accessory uses could remain in place. Mr. MacDonald said yes, and that applying for building permit would allow the issue to go through the usual channels for review. He added that there were some issues involved in terms of review. Chairman Davis explained to Mr. Heidel that he was not asking him or County staff for their side of the story, but that his questions had been directed at obtaining a better understanding of the Ordinance.

2. Discussion and possible action regarding the percentage of 2003-04 Forest Fee receipts to be reserved for PL 106-393, Forest Projects and Allocation of Reserved Amounts for Proposed Projects. Supervisor Street moved to set the percentage at 20% for this year. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote. No comments from the public.

Mr. Holst explained that this item was similar to what the Board had dealt with last year, saying that the Board could set aside either 15% or 20% for forest-related projects, with the remainder of the fees going to schools and roads. Chairman Davis added that on this day the Board needed only to set the percentage, not approve specific projects. Supervisor Brownlow said that because of problems related to bark beetle infestation and fire, his thought was to allocate 20% for forest projects. Supervisor Street asked if the Board could use the money to pay back the General Fund for expenses related to bark beetle and fire mitigation. Mr. Hunt said that if doing that met the criteria, the answer was "yes." Chairman Davis asked if slash cutting and burning would qualify. Mr. Hunt said it might. Supervisor Street pointed out that the County had also bladed three non-County roads in order to provide emergency access for people in forested areas. Mr. Hunt said that if the Board could not find enough projects to spend all of the money available, what was left would carry over to next year. Chairman Davis said he agreed that there were many forest issues to consider.

3. Consider authorization to proposed lease of County property located at 322 South Sixth Street, Cottonwood (old road yard), to the Verde Valley Senior Citizens Association, Inc., for a term of October 20, 2003 through June 30, 2014. At Mr. Hunt's request, the Board took no action on this item. Mr. Hunt requested it be placed on the agenda for the Board's September 24, 2003, special meeting.
4. Consider approval of items appearing on the Consent Agenda and on the Consent Agenda for Special Districts. All items were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.

ITEM NO. 2.                    Resolve into the Yavapai County Board of Equalization to ratify the decisions of the Hearing Officer for hearings held July 31, August 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 13 and 14, 2003. Reference: Board of Equalization minutes.

ITEM NO. 3.                    Resolve into the Board of Supervisors. Public Works Director Richard Straub. Regional Road Program Coordinator Phil Bourdon presented the items listed below.

1. Hearing: Consider approving a resolution to establish various roads as County highways. Resolution No. 1411 was approved by unanimous vote, with no comments from the public. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street.
2. Discussion on the draft list of Candidate Routes for Transfer/Abandonment presented to Yavapai County by the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT). Deputy County Attorney Randy Schurr, Public Works Director Richard Straub, and Verde Valley resident Curt Garner participated in discussion. No action.

Mr. Bourdon said that over the years there had been discussions about the transfer of routes with ADOT, and that he was notified late last week by ADOT that many of the proposed route transfers on their list had already been removed as a result of previous discussions with County staff. Mr. Holst said that ADOT District Engineer Tom Foster had been in to see him late last week and had told him that the transfer list was something that ADOT was required to go through, and that ADOT's policy was to work cooperatively with local entities regarding any proposed transfers. He said it was also his understanding that ADOT was going to rework the transfer list and come back to the County at a later date. Chairman Davis said he believed the list was being reconsidered because the County had made an issue of it. He said the list was supposed to have been approved and turned in by the end of August, but that ADOT had never asked anyone in local jurisdictions for input on it. He said he would like to send a message to ADOT that if it was going to be making decisions for Yavapai County then it needed to involve the County in the process. He said he believed that the cities and towns in the County would agree with his comments. Mr. Bourdon said he believed that ADOT had not evaluated the list as well as it might have, saying that it could not abandon a portion of roadway within city limits to the County. Mr. Schurr concurred. There was brief discussion about ADOT's transfer policy, during which Chairman Davis pointed out that a number of the criteria in the policy had not been met. Referring to the proposed transfer of state highways in the Ash Fork and Seligman areas, Chairman Davis asked if there had been much of a change in

the development along those highways since the 1960s. Supervisor Brownlow said there had been little change. Mr. Bourdon said he believed that those business routes might be something that staff could discuss with ADOT, but that the County might want some upgrades prior to accepting them for maintenance. Chairman Davis said he believed that something else that should be taken into consideration were the roads that the County had constructed that had significantly reduced traffic on state highways. He said that ADOT was treating Yavapai County the same as other counties with regard to transfers, even though the other 14 counties were not doing the kind of road construction work that Yavapai County was doing. In response to a comment from Supervisor Street, Mr. Straub said that some years ago ADOT had approached the County about taking over the Bagdad road, and that he had determined it would cost between \$5 million and \$6 million to bring that road up to a safe standard. Mr. Holst said that generally, ADOT does make improvements before transferring a road. Mr. Straub suggested caution, saying that sometimes there were hidden costs and that he had heard, for example, that replacement of guardrail on Highway 89A over Mingus Mountain cost about \$100,000 per year. Mr. Bourdon continued his review of the proposed list of transfers, saying that the County would not want to see any portion of Highway 69 transferred to County jurisdiction, and that Highway 71 was clearly a state route with connectivity between communities and that the state should continue to maintain it. Mr. Bourdon said that with regard to Highways 96 and 97, ADOT staff wanted to sit down with County staff and discuss what improvements would be necessary for these roads, and that he believed that discussion would be a good start on the process. Chairman Davis said he wanted the message to go out that the County works hard on planning issues and includes others in the process. Mr. Bourdon said his goal would be to bring to the Board a list that was agreeable to both the County and to ADOT, and that he did not think there should be list of long-term transfers but instead a list of transfers that could be accomplished within one to five years. Chairman Davis said that before discussing what was agreeable to ADOT, the County needed its own list of what would be acceptable. He said that the County put more money into the process with ADOT than any other county, with the exception of Maricopa County, and that he could not see giving away or accepting anything unless the County was going to get something back. Mr. Holst said the next step would be to have a study session to discuss this issue with the Board in more detail. Mr. Garner asked if transfer of roads to the County would also require the County to provide law enforcement on them.

3. Consider approval of an intergovernmental agreement with the State of Arizona and a resolution for the Verde Valley Multimodal Transportation Study update, for a total cost of \$176,230 (\$79,115 County funds and \$97,115 state funds). Half-cent sales tax project. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow. No comments from the public. Resolution No. 1412.
4. Consider approval of Change Order No. 1 with Fann Contracting, Inc. for the Montezuma Castle Highway Reconstruction Project, Camp Verde, Yavapai County, Project #2312021, for an additional amount of \$63,421. Half-cent sales tax project. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow. No comments from the public.

Mr. Bourdon said this was the first change order for this project and that it was due to the project limits not being established during the initial survey work. He said that the Town of Camp Verde had hired the engineer for this project and that the change order represented about a 10% increase in the contract. Chairman Davis asked what responsibility the engineering contractor had with regard to this change order. Mr. Bourdon said that the Town of Camp Verde had received a scope of work from the engineer and that the town had asked for the boundary survey work to be removed and that as a result the engineer bore no responsibility. Supervisor Brownlow asked why the County was stuck with paying for it. Mr. Bourdon said the work would have had to be done in any event. Chairman Davis said that the contractor had missed the boundary of the project by about 500 feet, but that it appeared that another reason for the change order was to correct errors in the plans related to the cut and fill estimate. He said he knew that the County did not have control over this case, but that when a contractor performed poorly the Board should have the ability to document it in order to avoid awarding bids to such contractors in the future, even if they are the low bidder. Mr. Bourdon said that the change order before the Board on this day was only for the issue related to the additional one-tenth of a mile that should have been included in the project boundary, and that the claim of errors was not included. He said that if there were indeed errors in the plans, he would be asking the engineer to pay for any additional costs related to that.

5. Consider approval of item appearing on the Consent Agenda for Public Works. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR PUBLIC WORKS: Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.

1. Approve purchase of a 15-foot stand from Stands Alone, LLC, to support one of the sanders for the Prescott area Roads Division at a cost of \$3,150 (incl. tax) to be paid from HURF.

ITEM NO. 4. Development Services Director Ken Spedding and Assistant Director Enalo Lockard. Planning and zoning items. Planning & Zoning Commission member Curt Garner was present to represent the Commission.

1. Consider approval of items appearing on the Consent Agenda for Planning & Zoning. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.
2. Hearing: Use permit to allow for the creation of a classic auto wrecking, paint booth, and restoration yard in an RCU-2A zoning district. Yestertech Classic Yard, 405-23-181E & F, McGuireville area, Paul Davis, #3088. Consideration of a Use Permit to allow for the creation of a classic auto wrecking, paint booth, and restoration yard on approximately 8 acres in an RCU-2A (Residential; Rural; 2 Acre minimum lot size) zoning district for the project known as Yestertech Classic Yard. Located on the west side of Cornville Road, approximately 3/4 mile from I-17 in the McGuireville area. SEC 33 TWN 15N R 5E G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission unanimously recommended approval of the use permit, with the following stipulations: 1). All applicable local, state, and federal regulations shall be met; 2). This Use Permit shall comply with the Yestertech Letter of Intent and Site Plan submitted by Mr. Paul Davis subject to revisions as required by the Yavapai County Planning and Zoning Commission and Board of Supervisors; 3). A Drainage Report by a registered professional engineer addressing on-site and off-site drainage will be required to be submitted prior to Building/Grading Permit issuance. The Report must comply with the requirements of the Yavapai County Drainage Criteria Manual; 4). The Use Permit shall be confined to the 8.7 acre site. The separate parcels shall be combined into a single parcel and the applicant will submit a Legal Description of this 8 acre parcel prior to Building/Grading Permit issuance; 5). The applicant to surface the access driveway to the parking area with a minimum double chip seal surface a minimum 20 feet wide within 12 months of Board approval; 6). The Use Permit will be granted on a non-transferable basis for a period of 5 years; 7). Proof that ADEQ and/or EPA requirements are being met must be provided to the Yavapai County Planning and Design Review Division prior to the issuance of the Building Permit for the buildings requiring ADEQ and EPA; 8). Waiver of Section 567 of the Yavapai County Planning and Zoning Ordinance requiring protective screening for commercial uses adjacent to residential lots. In the event that the natural barrier/screening is removed toward Cornville Road (East) the applicant will be required to provide a screening plan to be reviewed and approved by staff. This screening plan will be implemented within 6 months of the natural barrier/screening removal; 9). 16 parking spaces including one ADA approved space per parking lot will be provided according to Section 602.J of the Planning and Zoning Ordinance; 10). All storage containers/units are to be painted a neutral earth tone color and appropriate permits acquired prior to installation as set forth in Section 564.A.14 of the Planning and Zoning Ordinance; 11). The applicant must complete road improvements, customer parking area, office building, security housing, block & metal building with apron, carport, and drainage improvements within 12 months of Board approval; 12). Applicant must comply with all stipulations and have a Certificate of Compliance issued within 12 months of Board approval or the Use Permit will become null and void. Upon a motion by Supervisor Brownlow, seconded by Supervisor Street, the Board voted unanimously to approve the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission.

There was brief discussion about the term "classic", during which applicant Paul Davis said the term was very subjective and difficult to define. He said that some cars would never be classics, while other cars were classics from the moment they were manufactured. He said he would be specializing in 1950s and 1960s cars, but that he did not want to be bound to working only on those types of cars because there might be times when he would be working on things that did not fall into that category. He said that, for example, sometimes he works on donor cars, which he said involved installing a modern drive train. Mr. Davis said his business was an industrial use that he understood that not everyone would appreciate what was in his yard. Supervisor Brownlow asked what would happen to the remaining car frames or other materials after Mr. Davis was finished with

them. Mr. Davis said his business was close to a salvage yard that had a crusher and that as necessary he would have debris removed. Chairman Davis said that the whole area around the subject property was an industrial area and that there were no houses there. There was brief discussion regarding whether combustible materials would be stored on site, during which Mr. Davis said he would have paint, but that he had to deal with other agencies regarding that. Mr. Lockard agreed, saying Mr. Davis would have to obtain approval from ADEQ, the fire marshal, and so on. Mr. Davis said people needed to look at old cars as resources that could be recycled.

**CONSENT AGENDA FOR PLANNING & ZONING:** Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.

1. Use permit to allow for the training and boarding of 10 horses on 3.7 acres in an R1-35 zoning district, Connections Equine Therapy Program, 407-33-058E, Cornville area, Andrea Baldwin, #3093. Consideration of a Use Permit to allow for the training and boarding of 10 horses on 3.7 acres in an R1-35 (Residential; Single Family; 35,000 sq. ft. min. lot size) zoning district for the project known as Connections Equine Therapy Program. This use may be described as a horse riding academy for those who may have special needs. Located on the south side of Purple Sage Road approximately 1 mile west from the Purple Sage Road and Page Springs Road intersection in the Cornville area. SEC 35 TWN 16N R 4E G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission unanimously recommended approval of the use permit, with the following stipulations: 1). All applicable local, state, and federal regulations shall be met; 2). This Use Permit shall comply with the Letter of Intent and Site Plan submitted by Ms. Andrea Baldwin subject to revisions as required by the Yavapai County Planning and Zoning Commission or Board of Supervisors; 3). No more than 10 horses shall be allowed including privately owned animals, animals being boarded, and animals being trained; 4). Groups no larger than 20 persons shall be allowed, including volunteers and bystanders; 5). Chemical toilets will continue to be provided and cleaned at least weekly or an approved septic system must be applied for and installed to accommodate guest usage; 6). Waiver of Section 567 of the Yavapai County Planning and Zoning Ordinance requiring protective screening for commercial uses adjacent to residential lots; 7). Use permit will be permanent and nontransferable.
2. Use permit/conditional zoning amendment to allow for the operation of a restaurant on 2.66 acres in a conditional C1 zoning district with a permanent use permit for outside storage, Thistle and Thorn Office Complex, 407-13-008T, Cornville area, Dale & Barbara Spude agent for Thistle and Thorn Properties LLC, #3095. Consideration of a Use Permit/Conditional Zoning Amendment to allow for the operation of a restaurant on 2.66 acres in a conditional C1 (Commercial; Neighborhood Sales and Services) zoning district with a permanent Use Permit for outside storage for the project known as Thistle and Thorn Office Complex. The existing Conditional Zoning and Use Permit allows for professional offices, retail shops and outside storage for a masonry business to operate from this parcel. Located on the north side of Cornville Road approximately 900 feet west of its intersection with Page Springs Road in the Cornville area. SEC 3 TWN 15N R 4E G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission unanimously recommended approval of the use permit/conditional zoning amendment, with the following stipulations: 1). All applicable local, state, and federal regulations shall be met; 2). The Conditional Zoning and Use Permit shall be amended subject to all other stipulations previously set under H2004 and H2010; 3). This Use Permit Amendment shall comply with the Letter of Intent and Site Plan submitted by Ms. Barbara Spude subject to revisions as required by the Yavapai County Planning and Zoning Commission and Board of Supervisors; 4). Automotive repair and vehicle storage will not be allowed; 5). As Built Drawings as required by the Flood Control Development Permit will be provided prior to issuance of Certificate of Compliance and prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy; 6). The applicant must comply with all Building & Safety and Environmental Unit requirements as to waste disposal and have all appropriate restaurant permits pulled within 12 months of Board approval; 7). Applicant must comply with all stipulations and have a Certificate of Compliance issued within 12 months of Board approval or this Conditional Zoning Amendment will become null and void.

**ITEM NO. 5.** Tour of the Mingus Avenue Extension. Project Coordinator Byron Jaspers provided the Board with a tour of this road project. Paul David of Yavapai Broadcasting participated in the tour and asked questions.

**CONSENT AGENDA FOR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:** All items were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.

1. Requests from Board of Supervisors:
  - a. Approve minutes of meeting of September 2, 2003.
  - b. Approve appointment of the following Libertarian precinct committeemen to the precincts noted, as

recommended by the Yavapai County Libertarian Party: John Scott Beatty, Prescott South Precinct; John Victor Dethomas, Prescott Southwest Precinct; June P. Boudette, Beaver Creek Precinct; Marta Morris, Red Rock 1 Precinct; Allan K. Briney, Red Rock 2 Precinct; Lawrence David Wilson, Ponderosa Precinct; Roy Edward Carlson, Yavapai Hills Precinct; James Allen Turner, Castle Precinct; Matthew Holmes, Clarkdale Precinct; and Stephen Joseph Schmitt, Fir Precinct.

- 2. Requests from Finance:
  - a. Consider approval of surplus property list for the County auction to be held Saturday, September 27, 2003.
  - b. Consider approval of transfer of \$9,981 from Contingency for procurement work performed by the Medical Services Department for the jail and juvenile medical services.
- 1. Request from Medical Assistance to consider approval of Contract Amendment No. 5 with AHCCCS Administration to extend the contract for ALTCS covered services from October 1, 2003 through September 30, 2004, with a 2% increase in capitation rates.
- 2. Approve vouchers.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR DIRECTORS OF YAVAPAI COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL, FREE LIBRARY AND JAIL DISTRICTS, AND COUNTY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS: Reference: Special District minutes.

- 1. Resolve into the Boards of Directors of the Yavapai County Flood Control, Free Library and Jail Districts and other County improvement districts as follows, for the purpose of approving vouchers: Coyote Springs Road Improvement District II; Prescott East Sanitary District; Seligman Sanitary District; Pine Valley Street Improvement District.
- 2. Resolve into the Board of Directors of the Yavapai County Flood Control District:
  - a. Consider approval of a professional services contract with JE Fuller Hydrology and Geomorphology Inc., for the Wet Beaver Creek Follow-up Work, Lake Montezuma area, in the amount of \$5,460, to be paid from Outside Services account.
  - b. Consider approval of an intergovernmental agreement with the Town of Camp Verde for flood mitigation work in the Verde Lakes Estates area, in the amount of \$25,000, to be paid from Outside Services account.
  - c. Consider approval of a professional services contract with JE Fuller Hydrology and Geomorphology Inc., for the Lee Wash Phase II Channel Design Project, Lake Montezuma area, in the amount of \$65,200, to be paid from the Construction in Progress – Lake Montezuma account.
  - d. Consider approval of Change Order No. 1 to the professional services contract with Project Engineering Consultants, Inc., for the Black Canyon City Drainage Improvement Project, FC 0088, in the additional amount of \$5,958 to be paid from Outside Services account.
- 3. Resolve into the Board of Directors of the Yavapai County Jail District to approve Change Order No. 18 with Sletten Construction for the Camp Verde Jail Project, at an additional cost of \$10,349.

- CLAIMS AGAINST YAVAPAI COUNTY

| <u>ACCOUNT</u>       | <u>AMOUNT</u> | <u>ACCOUNT</u>          | <u>AMOUNT</u> |
|----------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| General Fund         | 1,653,133.45  | Jail District           | 218,027.12    |
| District 1 Park Fund | 2,483.52      | District 2 Park Fund    | 4,975.79      |
| District 3 Park Fund | 3,217.68      | Sycamore Comm. Pk       | 85.96         |
| Education Svs Agen.  | 4,153.82      | Az Coop Purchasing      | 200.00        |
| Resep Radiation Exp. | 411.96        | SFS Federal Funding     | 116.15        |
| Child Health Grant   | 4,128.34      | Comm. Health Center     | 10,271.84     |
| AMPPHI               | 1,011.41      | Family Planning         | 3,921.10      |
| MCH Programs         | 3,095.08      | Home Health Ser         | 9,566.93      |
| Health Promotion     | 2,743.92      | Teenage PG Prevent      | 1,427.65      |
| Nutrition            | 986.07        | T.B. Control            | 1,834.20      |
| WIC Program          | 16,154.14     | Stop Violence Women     | 5,524.17      |
| Jail Enhancement     | 4,342.06      | Juvenile Delinq. Reduct | 9,366.18      |
| Juvenile IPS         | 16,719.30     | Family Counseling       | 1,288.00      |
| Juvenile Food Prog   | 1,371.99      | Probation Serv          | 4,480.49      |
| Adult IPS            | 30,168.06     | Adult Probation Fees    | 18,542.18     |
| Prob Enhance         | 39,475.64     | Recorder's Surcharge    | 9,285.17      |
| Indigent Def/Dg      | 1,328.11      | Crim Just/Atty          | 3,832.32      |
| Bad Check Prog       | 4,651.12      | CDBG Grants             | 309.00        |

|                           |            |                      |              |
|---------------------------|------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Juv Prob Svs              | 3,409.95   | Commodity Fd         | 824.74       |
| Azeip Case Mgmt           | 2,554.49   | Hi Risk Chld HI      | 3,196.77     |
| Clerk's Storage           | 1,297.58   | WIC/TOB Intervention | 2,391.32     |
| HIV Counsel & Test        | 1,356.36   | Atty Anti-Racket     | 2,137.29     |
| PANT                      | 4,596.75   | CASA                 | 16,516.87    |
| Case Process              | 4,474.13   | Prim. Care – V.V.    | 3,846.62     |
| Azeip Coordinator         | 1,963.94   | Vict Witns Prog      | 7,791.97     |
| Court Enhancement         | 3,469.74   | Council Court        | 2,550.62     |
| Juvenile Dent. Enhan.     | 609.97     | Inmate Health Svs    | 382.86       |
| Drug Enforcement Fund     | 3,757.77   | Primary Care Svs     | 10,431.13    |
| Local ADR                 | 331.26     | Victims Rights Impl  | 2,979.29     |
| JAIBG Juv Acct P-II       | 3,039.43   | Yav. Indian Agree    | 1,224.15     |
| Hassayampa/LTC            | 995.45     | Dietetic Intern      | 643.68       |
| Immuniz Service           | 3,295.64   | Personal Care Svs    | 69.34        |
| Idea-Preschool            | 1,315.38   | Subs Abuse/DARE      | 428.70       |
| Chem Abuse                | 480.39     | Family Drug Court    | 2,861.18     |
| Juvenile Drug Court       | 2,861.18   | Juv Det/PACE         | 4,397.11     |
| Collab. Comp Rev Gr       | 337.36     | Special Program      | 18,356.58    |
| Sm Schools Ecia           | 879.74     | Sm Schools Beha      | 19,195.93    |
| Fill the Gap - Courts     | 7,526.67   | School Facilities    | 2,568.30     |
| Hurf Road Funds           | 474,678.46 | Assessor Surcharge   | 4,698.57     |
| Assessor App Dev          | 12,751.31  | Health Fund          | 70,736.18    |
| Jail Commissary           | 5,197.88   | Cert                 | 10.94        |
| Landfill Administ.        | 34,217.93  | Water Advisory Comm  | 3,193.18     |
| Clinical NICP             | 1,626.89   | Tire Recycle         | 2,680.09     |
| Safe School Pro           | 6,803.63   | Adhs-Svs Coord       | 542.20       |
| Fill the Gap – Attorney   | 1,806.49   | Family Law Commiss.  | 6,670.04     |
| Comm Punish Pro           | 726.00     | Juven. Detent Ed Pro | 701.46       |
| Regnl Road Project        | 606,680.87 | Library Auto Consor  | 7,758.07     |
| Selective Traffic Enforce | 21,756.50  | WMD Planner          | 2,175.42     |
| Health Start              | 2,974.71   | Emerg. Response Gr   | 693.12       |
| Victim Compensation       | 9,996.41   | DUI Comm. Gov Off    | 600.00       |
| Interstate Compact Pro    | 1,954.20   | Ryan White II        | 3,380.15     |
| Prepared. Bioterror       | 17,854.76  | St Grant in Aid      | 30.46        |
| Primary Care Fees         | 2,715.18   | Perinatal Block      | 2,123.08     |
| Well Woman Health         | 5,509.91   | Tobacco Educ         | 10,286.66    |
| Ad Prob Ed. H2002         | 52.91      | St Imple. Grant      | 67.47        |
| School Reso. – Mayer      | 1,538.48   | Az Reg. Supp. Center | 168.68       |
| Direct Treatment Fund     | 3,858.69   | Mental HealthRWJF    | 3,198.89     |
| Mental Health Part.       | 3,246.04   | Field Trainer        | 2,119.73     |
| Comm. Access Pro          | 9,206.05   | Attendant Care       | 25,068.14    |
| HIV/CT                    | 309.92     | HIV Targeted         | 831.04       |
| Childrens Justice         | 551.07     | Child Sup & Vis      | 635.57       |
| Domestic Relations Ed     | 522.82     | Self Service         | 959.44       |
| VOCA                      | 6,263.68   | Yav. Drug Court      | 2,347.86     |
| JTSF Treatment            | 4,616.74   | Diversion Conseq.    | 3,791.42     |
| Capital Projects          | 139,569.17 | Jail Construction    | 453,691.50   |
| Juv Jail Impr. Phase II   | 6,869.00   | ALTCS                | 1,730,875.80 |
| Help Debt Svs Loans       | 193,790.63 |                      |              |

In addition, payroll was issued on September 5 for the pay period ending August 30; warrant numbers 2435045 through 2435489, in the amount of \$365,378.67. Jury certificates issued during this time; 6861871 through 6862369. Warrants issued for September 15 Board day, 4202049 through 4202465; 4202466 through 4202909.

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned.

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_ Clerk \_\_\_\_\_ Chairman