

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES WITH SUPPLEMENTAL TRANSCRIPT
(Where a supplemental transcript is available, it is printed in bold type)

OFFICE OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA

Prescott, Arizona

January 5, 2004

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session on January 5, 2004.

Present: Chip Davis, Chairman; Gheral Brownlow, Vice Chairman; Lorna Street, Member; Bev Staddon, Clerk.

Also present: Jim Holst, County Administrator; Dave Hunt, Board Attorney/Assistant County Administrator; Randy Schurr, Deputy County Attorney.

Clerk's note: A copy of these minutes with a supplemental transcript is available in the Office of the Clerk of the board of Supervisors and is also available on the County website.

Chairman Davis announced that County employee Gary Levesque from the Human Resources Department had passed away earlier on this day, and he called for a moment of silence in his memory.

ITEM NO. 1. Board of Supervisors.

1. Elect Chairman and Vice Chairman for 2004. Supervisor Street moved to elect Supervisor Brownlow as Chairman. Her motion died for lack of a second. Supervisor Brownlow moved to elect Chairman Davis as Chairman. Supervisor Street seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote. Chairman Davis moved to elect Supervisor Brownlow as Vice Chairman. Supervisor Street seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

Supervisor Brownlow thanked Supervisor Street for her motion, but said he would decline it. He said he had had a great time in his 15 years on the Board, but that sometimes the Chairman had to hold his tongue and that he did not wish to be in that position during his last year on the Board. He said that Chairman Davis had done a good job as Chairman and that having him continue as Chairman would further show residents in the Verde Valley that they are well-represented at the County. Chairman Davis asked Supervisor Brownlow if he would consider accepting the Vice Chairman's position. Supervisor Brownlow said he would, and that the fact that his office was in the Fair Street building made it easier when documents needed to be signed and Chairman Davis was not available.

2. Discussion regarding plans for the Seligman Health Clinic. Facilities Director Pat Kirshman participated in discussion of this item.

Supervisor Brownlow said he just wanted to bring the Board up to date on this project. He said he had made no commitment to the Seligman community except to move the two trailers off of ADOT property and onto County property in the area. He explained that a community group had incorporated as a non-profit, and that the idea was to lease the trailers to that group which in turn would lease them to Northland Health Services to provide medical services for the community. He said that Northland used a sliding scale for service fees. He said he would need money to move the trailers and set them up and that, hopefully, the community would take care of the remodel. He asked Mr. Kirshman how much it would cost to move the trailers. Mr. Kirshman said it would cost about \$7,900. Supervisor Street said she had initially had some questions about this, but that she now understood that the medical provider was similar to the Prescott Free Clinic. She said she felt that she knew enough about the project now that she did not have any problem with it.

3. County Administrator's report on current events and issues. Facilities Director Pat Kirshman participated in discussion of this item.

Mr. Holst provided the Board with updated revenue information, saying that County sales tax revenues were running at about 6% above budget projections, with state shared sales tax at about 4% above projections. He said that revenue from the vehicle license tax was off slightly, but that he expected it to turn around next month. Mr. Holst said that the Jail District sales

tax was running very strong, and that gas tax revenue was down slightly because of two payments to the state that were made from that fund. He added that revenues for the Regional Road Fund were doing very well and that those revenues would likely be about \$470,000 more than budget estimates. Chairman Davis asked how Yavapai County compared statewide on the vehicle license tax, HURF and County sales tax. Mr. Holst said the County was 5% to 6% ahead of other counties, and about 2% to 3% ahead of other counties on state shared sales tax. He said that revenues were back to where they were about two years ago and that hopefully the trend would continue. Supervisor Brownlow asked if this meant that Yavapai County was doing better in sales than other counties in the state. Mr. Holst said it did and that the County had a stronger sales base than the statewide average. He told the Board that moving the Community Health Services Department into its new facility was on schedule, as was moving the University of Arizona Cooperative Extension Program. He added that the Public Fiduciary would also be moving. Mr. Holst said that staff was beginning to prepare for the Board retreat at the end of the month, and that he would have information regarding County buildings and a five-year plan for capital improvement building needs. He said he also hoped to be able to discuss the initial budget process for 2004-2005 at the retreat, as well as information related to felony caseload management. He said he hoped the Board would be able to look at what it might do proactively with regard to the jail population. He said that not much had been done with regard to a tri-city adult detention site for the last couple of weeks, but that he had spoken with the architect and expected to receive some information from him. Mr. Holst said that not much had happened with the Highway 260 project in the last few weeks, either, and that he did not yet know when the County's proposal for this project would go before the ADOT board. He told the Board that he had met the previous week with staff from the MIS Department to discuss website development and how to strengthen the County's web services.

4. Consider approval of items appearing on the Consent Agenda for Board of Supervisors and on the Consent Agenda for Special Districts. With the exception of items 1.c. and 1.d., all items on the Consent Agenda were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow. No comments from the public. Item 1.f. was pulled from the agenda. See Consent Agenda for details.

ITEM NO. 2. Fleet Management Director Dave Burnside. Award or reject bids received for light duty vehicle replacement for the 2003-2004 fiscal year. Bids were opened on December 2, 2003. In addition to state contracts, bids were also received from the following vendors: Galpin Ford, Prescott; Mel Clayton Ford, Phoenix; Courtesy Chevrolet, Phoenix; 5 Star Ford, Scottsdale; O'Reilly Chevrolet, Tucson; Sanderson Ford, Glendale; and Thorobred Chevrolet, Phoenix. To be paid from HURF and General Fund. Sheriff's Captain Dennis Price participated in discussion. Chairman Davis moved to approve the bids with the exception that there would five purchased out of the General Fund for full-size police vehicles instead of seven, that four Chevy Blazers (instead of Dodge Durangos) and 4 x 4 utility vehicles would be purchased from state contract, that two 4 x 4 short-wheel-base extended cab vehicles would be purchased from Courtesy Chevrolet, and that the rest of the bids would be awarded as presented. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

Chairman Davis asked about the difference between Chevy Blazers and Dodge Durangos, saying that the County could save \$20,000 by purchasing the Blazers. He told Mr. Burnside that the Board had voted earlier to retain two vehicles for the Public Works Department, and that he should let that department choose which vehicles it wanted to keep. He asked Mr. Burnside if replacement of a loaner would become part of the Public Works fleet. Mr. Burnside said it would rotate in, noting that it was not an addition but just a replacement vehicle. Chairman Davis said the bid from 5-Star Ford for 4 x 4 quarter-ton SWB extended cab vehicles was about \$1,000 less than Mr. Burnside's recommendation to purchase from O'Reilly Chevrolet. He said that Galpin Ford was the low bidder on a 4 x 4 half-ton extended cab vehicle by about \$1.50, saying that if the County was going to go out to bid it should award to the low bidder and that if it was not going to do that it was just wasting people's time. Mr. Burnside said that with regard to the Galpin bid, dealers could not control what the taxes might be, and that in the past he had not used the full amount with tax as a basis for recommendation. He said he was also taking into consideration standardization of the fleet, and that when the bids are low he liked to stay with standardization of the fleet. Chairman Davis said that if he was a business person in Yavapai County he would just see it as a futile exercise if he was the low bidder but did not receive the award. He said that in the past bids had been awarded on the base price, and not on the base price plus tax. Chairman Davis said

he would like to see an incentive for local bidders but that he had been told the County could not legally do that, and that here was a case where a local bidder actually was the low bidder and the Board was being asked to award to someone else at a higher price. He asked Mr. Burnside if he had sent the call for bids to all local dealers. Mr. Burnside said he had sent the call to all Yavapai County car dealers, and that Galpin was the only one that had responded. He added that the response from local dealers was different every year. Supervisor Street asked if employees in the Public Works Department had any preference between Chevys and Fords. Mr. Burnside said that with regard to the issue of the Blazers and the Durangos, the Blazer was going away and this was the last year it would be offered and that the price had been dropped quite a bit on them. He said there had been some frame problems with the 2000 model Blazers and that that had played into his decision about whether to back away from the Blazer. He said he had done a great deal of homework with Chrysler and that it appeared that the Durango would be the vehicle of the future for the County. Mr. Burnside said the question for him became whether this was a good year to make the change from Blazers to Durangos, and that next year the replacement numbers would be higher than this year. He said he did not think the Durango would be less expensive to operate than the Blazers, but that he could appreciate what Chairman Davis was saying. Chairman Davis said he would recommend purchasing the Blazers because it would save \$20,000. Captain Price told the Board that the larger vehicles had more appeal for the Sheriff's staff because they had to carry a lot of gear and that he believed the Durango would do a better job for his department than the Blazer. Chairman Davis asked why, if the Blazers were so bad, the County had been purchasing them for so long. Mr. Burnside said the Blazer was going away. Chairman Davis said he understood that next year the County would have to make the jump from the Blazer to something else but that this year there was an opportunity to save \$20,000. He asked again about the bids on the 4 x 4 extended cab from 5-Star Ford, asking why the recommendation was not to go with the low bid in this case. Mr. Burnside responded that again, it was standardization of the fleet. He said there were no Ford Rangers in the fleet. He said the Chevy S-10 was gone and that it had been replaced by the Chevy Colorado which he described as being a little larger than the Ranger. Supervisor Brownlow said that Courtesy Chevrolet was more than \$200 less than O'Reilly on the SWB extended cab. After brief review, Mr. Burnside agreed that the recommendation should be to purchase the Chevy Colorado from Courtesy Chevrolet. He said he preferred the Chevy over the Ranger because of dealer training and dealer support. He said that he also wanted to drop two full size police vehicles off the list for purchase, and that this would save about \$40,000.

ITEM NO. 3. Public Works Director Richard Straub.

1. Hearing: Consider approval of a Resolution to Abandon a Portion of Bright Angel Circle, Rancho Vista Hills Area with the stipulation that the final configuration of the abandonment be approved by the County Engineer and that the resolution of abandonment be void if the applicant does not complete all requirements within 120 days of approval, and consider waiving a portion of Resolution No. 1113 regarding value received for the proposed abandonment. Area resident Marge Trencove participated in discussion. Resolution No. 1426 was approved by unanimous vote. The Board did not approve waiving a portion of Resolution No. 1113 regarding value. Motion by Chairman Davis, second by Supervisor Brownlow.

Mr. Straub said he had received a request from residents in the area to abandon part of the right-of-way on this street. He said the residents were also asking that the abandonment be accomplished at no cost to them. He told the Board that in the past the policy had always been that when an abandonment was done at the request of citizens the County charged the Assessor's value for the area being abandoned, and that he saw no reason to deviate from that policy in this case. He added that if the abandonment was approved, the County would still have approximately 50 feet of right-of-way. Chairman Davis asked who paid for the survey of the abandonment. Mr. Straub said he was not sure but believed the County had done all the work up to this point. Supervisor Street asked what the rest of the story was, to which Mr. Straub responded that he believed one property owner was having problems with his septic system and needed the proposed abandonment area for a wetlands. He said that the road was not currently paved but that he anticipated having all of the roads in the area paved within a few years. Chairman Davis called for comments from the public. Ms. Trencove said that she and her husband owned Lot 51, that they were having problems with their septic system and needed to put in a wetlands but that there was not enough room to do so unless the proposed

abandonment was approved. She added that four property owners were participating in the request for abandonment. Chairman Davis asked if the additional land that would be granted as a result of the abandonment would make the properties large enough to split. Mr. Schurr said it would not. Mr. Straub said that physically it appeared that splits could occur, but that he did not know what the zoning was in that area. Chairman Davis said he questioned whether the Board should waive the fees, saying that if the County had to acquire the uphill properties in the first place in order to have sufficient right-of-way it did not make sense to give them away now. Supervisor Street said she also questioned why the County should just give the land away. She said she did not like eminent domain actions, but that she also did not like the idea of the County giving land away, and that typically when the County abandoned property the property owners receiving the additional land paid the County for it. Mr. Schurr said there were some environmental concerns involved and that Ms. Trencove needed the additional property in order to address what could be a violation.

2. Hearing: Consider approval of a Resolution to Abandon a Portion of Cabernet Lane in the Paulden Area. Resolution No. 1427 was approved by unanimous vote, with the stipulation that the minimal value involved for the abandoned property be paid for by the person who would receive the property. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow , second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.

Mr. Straub said that a subdivider had inadvertently placed a well head in the right-of-way, and that he had come forward with this information when he discovered what he had done. He told the Board that the person needed only 25 square feet of the right-of-way, which had a value of only \$9.25. He recommended approval of the abandonment, saying that while the value of the property was very minimal the applicant had already paid \$400 for the abandonment application. Supervisor Street asked why the recommendation was to abandon only a 25-square-foot portion instead of abandoning all along the property line. Mr. Straub said that only the 25-square-foot portion of the right-of-way was needed. Mr. Schurr said that maintenance of the road would not be affected by the abandonment. Chairman Davis asked if the County could require that the 25-square-foot area be delineated. Mr. Schurr and Mr. Straub said yes. Chairman Davis asked if it would be possible to just provide the individual with a right-of-way permit. Mr. Straub said that would be possible, but that from a liability standpoint he would prefer not to do that.

3. Request for permission to raise the speed limit on Pioneer Parkway to 55 miles per hour based on an evaluation of the new 2001 American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) standards. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.
4. Request for permission to purchase two half-ton two-wheel-drive pickup trucks for the Public Works motor pool at an estimated cost of \$50,000 to be paid from HURF. Supervisor Street moved to approve two additional vehicles for the Public Works fleet, with such vehicles to be retained from the list of vehicles proposed for rotation out of the County fleet, saying she was still open to looking at new vehicles for this department at a later date. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote. No comments from the public.

Mr. Straub told the Board that his department was running out of vehicles to service his crews. He said he had been advised by the Fleet Management Department that they had no more vehicles to give him. He suggested the possibility of retaining some vehicles from the list of vehicles scheduled to be rotated out of the County fleet. Chairman Davis said he liked the idea. Supervisor Street said she agreed. Chairman Davis said he would like to look at increasing the number of miles on vehicles before turning them in, and that perhaps this idea could be tried with the Public Works Department to see if it might be feasible to try it with the entire fleet.

5. Consider approval of On-Call Contract and Authorization of Services #2312765 with Millennium Equities in an amount not to exceed \$8,000 for SR 89A Widening & Realignment Project Real Estate Services. Half-cent sales tax project. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.
6. Consider accepting right-of-way for a portion of Seventh Street in the Ash Fork area. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.

7. Consider approval of items appearing on the Consent Agenda for Public Works. Items 3. and 4. were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public. See Consent Agenda for Public Works for details.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR PUBLIC WORKS: Items 3. and 4. were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public. See items 1. and 2. for details.

1. Consider approval of an agreement with the Arizona Division of Emergency Management for grant funds through the Federal Department of Homeland Security reimbursement grant program. Emergency Management Director Nick Angiolillo participated in discussion of this item. Approved by unanimous vote, contingent upon approval by the County Attorney's Office. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow. No comments from the public.

Supervisor Brownlow said this grant represented \$1.2 million and that the money did not automatically come to the County but instead had been obtained through the efforts of Mr. Angiolillo and Mr. Straub. Chairman Davis asked Mr. Angiolillo what the money would be used for. Mr. Schurr said the Board was not locking into a specific monetary amount at this point. He said that Mr. Hunt had reviewed the agreement and that there were some concerns about it, and that the federal government was attaching strings to it. He asked that the Board make approval of the agreement contingent upon authorization by the County Attorney's Office. Mr. Angiolillo said the money would be used to purchase equipment and to pay for exercises and training for first responders, and that it would allow for mutual aid among all of the counties in the state. Chairman Davis pointed out that the County's Emergency Management Department was a coordinating agency that worked with all of the other agencies, and that it provided the communication process between the different agencies. Mr. Angiolillo said that was correct. He pointed out that regardless of how many federal people might be involved in an emergency situation, the control remained at the local level and with the Board. He said that Mr. Hunt had already changed the agreement to address the concerns Mr. Schurr had referred to. He said that the purpose of the agreement was only to ensure that the money would be spent appropriately. Mr. Hunt said he had made one change in the agreement, with respect to the federal Hatch Act, and that the other concerns had to do with compliance items and that he had discussed those with Mr. Angiolillo. Mr. Hunt said that, as far as he was concerned, the agreement was ready for approval.

2. Consider approval of Final Change Order (#3) with Fann Contracting, Inc. for the Montezuma Castle Highway Reconstruction Project, Camp Verde, Arizona, Project #2312021, in the increased amount of \$6,123.50. Half-cent sales tax project. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Chairman Davis, second by Supervisor Brownlow. No comments from the public.

Chairman Davis noted that there was some dissatisfaction with the end result on this project, and he asked if the Board could not approve the change order and equalize the unhappiness on both sides. Mr. Straub said the change order was related to requests from the Town of Camp Verde and that it also had to do with units. Mr. Schurr said that the County did not typically bid on a lump sum basis for projects and that there would always be change orders.

3. Award or reject bids received for Supply and Delivery of Liquid Asphalt Products in Yavapai County, Contract No. 2413786. Bids opened December 16, 2003, with bids received from the following vendors: Chevron USA; ERGON Asphalt Products, Inc.; Koch Asphalt Solutions Southwest; Paramount Petroleum; Western Emulsions. Recommend awarding to all bidders at various unit prices. To be paid from HURF.
4. Award or reject bids received for Cattle Guard Replacement at Various Locations in Yavapai County, Arizona, Project #2313195. Bids opened December 16, 2003, with bids received from the following vendors: Silver Eagle Western LLC, \$95,600; Axis Engineering, Inc., \$96,800; Koyuk General Contractors, Inc., \$97,482; Rocky Construction, \$107,809; Bison Contracting Co., \$120,616; Tom Mulcaire, \$125,920; and Quest Civil, \$141,141. Recommend awarding to low bidder Silver Eagle Western, LLC in the amount of \$95,600 to be paid from HURF.

ITEM NO. 4. Development Services Director Ken Spedding and Development Services Assistant Director Enalo Lockard. Planning and zoning. Planning & Zoning Commission member Rosalinde Wood was present to represent the Commission.

1. Consider approval of items appearing on the Consent Agenda for Planning and Zoning. All items were

approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow. No comments from the public.

2. Hearing: Use permit and minor plan amendment, Krazy Horse Ranch, 502-02-038D, 038E, 038F, 038G, 038H, 011R, 011T and 011W, Black Canyon City area, Jerry Mitchell. #3097. Held in abeyance by the Board of Supervisors on December 1, 2003. *(Mr. Mitchell has filed a letter with Development Services requesting a postponement of this hearing.)* Consideration of a use permit to allow public polo tournaments, corporate picnics, hay rides, guided trail rides, team building events, corporate retreats, training clinics, family reunions, charity-fund raisers, overnight camping, concerts of up to 10,000 attendees, and a 100' x 225' indoor practice arena, together with (2) a minor plan amendment from open space to commercial light/medium/or heavy commercial on an 80-acre site within eight parcels totaling approximately 150 acres. Located in a R1L-70 (Residential; Single Family; Site Built; 70,000 sq. ft. lot minimum) zoning district. Located at 33450 S. Old Black Canyon Highway in Black Canyon City. SEC 33 T2N 9N R2E G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of H3097, Use Permit & Minor Plan Amendment, with the following Stipulations: 1). Use permit and Minor Plan Amendment to be granted for a period of 10 years on a non-transferable basis in general conformance with the letter of intent and addendum dated 10/24/03 and site plan dated 7/24/03, with review by staff every two years; 2). Review and approval from ADOT and Public Works Department within six (6) months of the Board approval date. All road improvements shall conform to fire code standards with a minimum of 24' for two-way traffic and 13' for one-way traffic. All required improvements to be completed prior to issuance of Certificate of Compliance; 3). Review and approval from health department before issuance of Certificate of Compliance; 4). Applicant shall provide a public restroom and wastewater disposal system before issuance of Certificate of Compliance; 5). Review and approval of drainage plan from Flood Control before issuance of Certificate of Compliance; 6). Completed Certificate of Compliance to be issued after all improvements are completed within eighteen (18) months of board approval date, or the applicant may request an extension of time to be reviewed and approved by the Planning and Zoning Commission and Board of Supervisors. No additional uses are to begin until all requirements are completed and Certificate of Compliance has been issued; 7). Waiver of screening requirement if letters of support from adjacent landowners are provided to Staff prior to issuance of Certificate of Compliance. Upon a motion by Supervisor Street, seconded by Supervisor Brownlow, the Board voted unanimously to postpone this hearing. No comments from the public.
3. Hearing: Consider approval of an amendment to Section 420 Retail Sales (C1 District) of the Yavapai County Zoning Ordinance to allow second-hand sales in the C1 Zoning District. Planning & Zoning Commission, #3091. Consideration of an amendment to Section 420 Retail Sales (C1 District) of the Yavapai County Zoning Ordinance to allow second hand sales in the C1 Zoning District. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of H3091 Zoning Ordinance Amendment, as follows: Section 420 (C1 District – Commercial: Neighborhood Sales and Services) AMEND – C. Retail Sales, ~~except second hand sales (other than antique art items).~~ Restricted to on-site sales only. Upon a motion by Supervisor Street, seconded by Supervisor Brownlow, the Board voted unanimously to approve the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission. No comments from the public.
4. Hearing: Consider approval of an amendment to Section 601 (Sign Code) of the Yavapai County Zoning Ordinance to adjust for size and duration of allowed political signs during elections in order to more closely match other communities within Yavapai County. Planning & Zoning Commission, #3144. Consideration of an amendment to Section 601 (Sign Code) of the Yavapai County Zoning Ordinance to adjust for size and duration of allowed Political Signs during elections in order to more closely match other communities within Yavapai County. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of H3144 Zoning Ordinance Amendment, as follows: AMEND B.5 Political signs on private property, provided however, that such signs shall be erected no more than ~~ninety (90)~~ sixty (60) calendar days prior to, and removed within ~~fifteen (15)~~ ten (10) calendar days following the date of the election to which they refer: and that the total sign area permitted ~~on for any lot or parcel individual sign shall not exceed thirty-two (32)~~ twenty four (24) square feet ~~on residentially zoned property and sixty four (64) square feet on commercially zoned property.~~ Supervisor Brownlow moved to approve the time frames but to not change the size of signs and continue to allow 32-square-foot signs. Supervisor Street seconded the motion, asking if there was a way to clarify the time frames. Supervisor Brownlow then amended his motion to clarify that signs can be erected no more than 60 days prior to the last day to cast ballots and that they must be removed within 10 days after the last day to cast ballots. Supervisor Street seconded the amended motion, which passed by unanimous vote.

Mr. Lockard told the Board that this amendment was being brought forward in an effort to make the County's ordinance more in line with that of the City of Prescott and the Town of Prescott Valley, and that it would reduce the size of political signs. Chairman Davis said he agreed with the time frames but that he did not agree with reducing the size of the signs. He said he believed that rural counties had the ability to have larger signs than incorporated

areas. Mr. Lockard said he had just tried to make it the same for everyone. Mr. Schurr said he had received questions from candidates who had run in previous elections wanting to know if the signs they had stored in their garages would be considered grandfathered. He said the answer was no. Supervisor Street said she liked the smaller signs but had no problem with it if the Board wanted to leave the larger size in the ordinance.

5. Hearing: Use permit for construction of a 1200-square-foot office, well equipment and storage building in the Royal Oaks Subdivision, Glenshandra Well Site Building, 102-19-126, Williamson Valley area, Robert Busch applicant, Granite Oaks Water Users Association owner. #3142. Consideration of a permanent Use Permit to allow construction of a 1200 square foot office, well equipment, and storage building in the Royal Oaks Subdivision in Williamson Valley. Property is currently zoned R1-35 (Residential; Site Built and Manufactured; 35,000 square foot lot minimum). Located about 2700 feet east of Williamson Valley Road and two (2) miles northwest of the Prescott city limits. Situs: 2275 W. Glenshandra Drive. SEC 30 TWN 15N R2W G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended to approve H3142 Use Permit, as proposed with the following stipulations: 1). Building permit shall be obtained within one (1) year of the Board approval date, or the use permit becomes null and void; 2). No outside storage permitted; 3). Waiver of screening requirement; 4). No association meetings shall be held on the property; 5). Carport entrance shall face the rear property line; 6). Certificate of Compliance to be issued 12 months after Board approval; 7). All applicable codes and regulations shall apply. Williamson Valley area resident Lou Smith participated in discussion. Upon a motion by Supervisor Brownlow, seconded by Supervisor Street, the Board voted unanimously to approve the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission.

Mr. Lockard told the Board that this had been recommended for approval by the Commission on a split vote, with Commissioner Burcham voting against it because he wanted to see some landscaping and have the neighbors sign off on screening. Supervisor Street said it appeared that the building was designed to look like a house. Mr. Lockard said it would look like a single-family residence. He said that the Ordinance required solid screening to be placed around such a facility, but that the applicant was asking for a waiver of that requirement because to place such screening would detract from the building looking like a residence. Mr. Busch provided the Board with pictures of the subject property, saying the purpose for the building was to house equipment and some records. He said that putting fencing around the building would make it stand out while the idea was just to have it look like a residence as much as possible. He said the equipment was already at the location, and the only change would be to also use the building for some records storage. Mr. Smith said there was no plan to have sanitary facilities and that he felt it would be prudent to have such facilities, especially if the building was also going to be used for meetings. Mr. Spedding said that the applicant had indicated that there would not be any sanitary facilities in the structure, and that if there were no toilets there would be no need for a septic tank. Supervisor Street said the issue had been thoroughly discussed at the Commission meeting. Mr. Busch said he did not want any sanitary facilities in the structure because he was concerned about well head protection. He said he had also dropped the idea of making the building available for meetings. He told the Board that the only people who would be in and out of the structure would be maintenance and sometimes an individual who needed access to records.

6. Hearing: Use permit extension of time, J & J Towing, 500-03-714, Spring Valley area, Juanita Yellowman. #3154. Consideration of a request for a Use Permit Extension of Time to allow the continued exterior parking of one tow truck (over 5000 lbs.) on a property currently zoned R1-10 (Residential; Single Family – 10,000 sq ft minimum lot size) in the Spring Valley Subdivision. Located at 13625 Spring Lane approximately 0.3 miles south of the intersection of Spring Lane and Hwy 69 in the Spring Valley Area. SEC 9 TWN 11N R2E G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended to deny the Use Permit Extension of Time. Planner Chris Bridges participated in discussion of this item. Spring Valley resident Sally Rusland and applicant Juanita Francis spoke in favor of the application. Spring Valley residents Linda Sharp and Patsy Haycraft spoke in opposition to it. Upon a motion by Supervisor Street, seconded by Supervisor Brownlow, the Board voted unanimously to approve the application for a period of one year.

Mr. Lockard told the Board that the Commission had recommended denial of this application on a split vote, based on conflicting information presented at the Commission hearing. Ms. Rusland identified herself as the 2004 president of the Spring Valley Property Owners'

Association, saying that her organization had held a meeting and passed a resolution in support of this application. She said her organization did not intend for this business to be considered grandfathered. She said she had the signatures of 27 members of her group who were at the meeting, and that her group had no problem with the application. Ms. Rusland said the owner of the business had to have two trucks readily available. Chairman Davis thanked Ms. Rusland for addressing this issue through the local property owners' association. Ms. Sharp said she had lived in Spring Valley for seven years and that she had experienced five years of hell with tow trucks coming in and out, and that she opposed the application. She said the CC&Rs did not allow for a business in a residential area. In response to a question from Chairman Davis, Mr. Schurr said the County did not enforce CC&Rs, and that it was the responsibility of the property owners' association. Ms. Haycraft said she lived across the street from Ms. Sharp. She said that she also was concerned about a business being operated in a residential area. She said the trucks were not just parked at the applicant's property, but were doing business by going in and out and that they were very noisy. She said that Ms. Francis' husband had spoken with her and had agreed to go down to the end of Spring Lane to turn around, but that it had lasted only two months and that he was now turning around right in front of her house. Supervisor Brownlow asked if the trucks had their lights going while in the neighborhood. Mr. Bridges responded that an inspector had been to the site several times as a result of complaints but that he was unable to find any violations. Supervisor Brownlow asked if there was something that made it illegal for the trucks to turn around. Mr. Bridges said the road was a public right-of-way and that there was an area designed for turn-around. Supervisor Brownlow said it would be possible to put something in the stipulations to address the complaints, but he asked who would enforce it and whether the applicant's permit could be pulled if he failed to adhere to the stipulations. Mr. Schurr said the permit could be revoked in such a case. Supervisor Street said she agreed with the idea that it was a residential area, but that in this particular case almost every person on the petition submitted by Ms. Rusland was regularly participating in the property owners' group. She said that if the people involved in that group did not have a problem it spoke volumes to her, and that she did not have a problem with the application either. Mr. Schurr pointed out that the permit was only for one year and that the applicant had her house up for sale and was hoping to move the activities to a different location. Ms. Francis said it was true that she and her husband parked the trucks and did drive them in and out when they had calls. She said she did business with the Sheriff's Office, DPS and other agencies and that Ms. Sharp was really the only one complaining about the trucks. She said she had a restraining order and harassment order against Ms. Sharp. Ms. Francis said the back-up beepers on the trucks had been disconnected in order to reduce noise. She added that her property was on a main street that had traffic on it all night long.

7. Hearing: Use permit to allow for sale of hay from the applicant's home and to allow a storage trailer to remain on the property for 18 months while a barn addition is constructed, Kiley Hay Sales, 306-02-661A and 661E, Chino Valley area, Tomas and Rose Kiley. #3123. Consideration of a Use Permit to allow for the sale of hay from the applicant's home and to allow a storage trailer to remain on the property for a period of 18 months while a barn addition is constructed. Located on the north side of Justray Ranch Road, approximately 2000 ft. west of Road 1 West and the Chino Valley Town limits. SEC 33 TWN 16N R2W G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended to approve the Use Permit, as proposed with the following stipulations: 1). Use permit to be approved on an 18 month non-transferable basis; 2). Applicant to operate the business in accordance with the site plan and letter of intent submitted with the use permit application, with no expansion of the business beyond it's current level; 3). Storage trailer to be removed from the property within 18 months of Board approval; 4). Business to be operated in accordance with all applicable codes and ordinances; 5). Waiver of requirements to screen the business from adjacent residential properties, subject to a letter of support for the screening waiver from the adjacent property owners; 6). The applicant shall not use Road 1 West for hay deliveries to and from the subject property. Hay deliveries to and from the subject property shall be via Outer Loop Road to Johnson Lane or from Road 2 South to Johnson Lane. If the Road 2 South route is used, the applicant shall make improvements to the Johnson Lane/Road 2 South intersection, per the Town of Chino Valley recommendation, if it is determined by the Town that hay trucks cause damage to the apron/culvert at that intersection; 7). Signage limited to an on-site 8 sq. ft. sign. Supervisor Brownlow moved to approve the application but only for a period of 10 months and to require that the storage trailer be moved within 10 months, and to deny the use permit at the end of the 10 months. Chairman Davis seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

Mr. Lockard told the Board that the Commission had recommended approval of this application on a split vote. He said that the Town of Chino Valley had asked the applicant to have traffic to and from his business come down a different street, but that people on that street were not notified about it. Supervisor Brownlow said that someone on Johnson Road had contacted him about this application. He said this was a case of starting a business in a residential area. He asked Mr. Kiley if he knew how much it was going to cost him to fix the road as required in the stipulations. Mr. Kiley said he did not. He said he had followed the recommendations of Planner Mike Kelly and had everyone on Justray Road sign off on the use of his property, but that at the last minute the Town of Chino Valley told him it wanted him to use Johnson Road instead. He said he had contacted almost everyone on that road and that none of them had any problems with his application. He said the road was blacktopped and appeared to be well-engineered. Mr. Kiley said he did not believe that road repairs should be just his responsibility because there were a lot of other people driving cars and trucks over the road on a daily basis. Chairman Davis said that stipulation #6 addressed that issue and that it appeared to be pretty wide open. Mr. Kiley agreed, saying that the Town of Chino Valley had no one out there to monitor the intersection. Supervisor Brownlow said the problem was that when the Board approved this type of application the property owner made a large investment and then a few years later ended up losing the right to continue operations. Mr. Kiley said in that case, he guessed he would have to move the business. In response to a question from Supervisor Street regarding lot sizes, Mr. Kiley said the lots were 2 ½ acres and that he had two lots. Supervisor Brownlow said he was leaning toward giving Mr. Kiley six months with the understanding that he would relocate the business after that time. He said he could see a future Board having to shut him down. Mr. Kiley said there had been no complaints about his business, and that the County had discovered his business accidentally while in the area on another complaint. Supervisor Brownlow said he was not willing to give Mr. Kiley a long term business use on the property. Chairman Davis told Mr. Kiley that Supervisor Brownlow was trying to save him a lot of investment. Supervisor Street said that was also her concern. She said she believed that at some point Mr. Kiley would have a lot more problems because of the business. Chairman Davis said he anticipated that after the barn was built Mr. Kiley would be back asking for a permanent use permit. Mr. Kiley said that was his plan, and that since none of the property owners in the area had a problem with it he did not understand why the Board had a problem. Supervisor Brownlow asked Mr. Kiley if he realized that getting the permit would result in his property being valued as a business for tax purposes. Mr. Kiley said he did not know about that. After Supervisor Brownlow moved to reduce the time frame from 18 months to 10 months, Supervisor Street told Mr. Kiley he needed to realize that his plan to obtain a permanent use permit at the end of the 10 months was probably not going to fly. Chairman Davis said there were many people who invested a great deal of money in putting together a business in an area zoned for business, and that now the Board was looking at allowing someone to compete with those people by running a business out of his home. Supervisor Street said the Board could get caught in Catch 22's. Mr. Kiley said he understood what the Board was saying and that he appreciated the Board's input, but that the road in question was a private road and that it was the property owners who paid to take care of it. He said he felt he was being singled out. Ms. Kiley said there were other businesses along the road, although they were in the town limits and not in the County. Mr. Kiley said his concern was that the property owners in the area did not have a problem with his business and also the fact that his violation was discovered by accident. Chairman Davis said it was a land use issue and that the Board was not okay with giving a use permit in anticipation of Mr. Kiley receiving a permanent use permit.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR PLANNING & ZONING: All items were approved by unanimous vote, with no comment from the public. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow.

1. Minor plan amendment, Texaco Remodel – Off Premise Sign – Minor Plan Amendment, 500-05-053, Cordes Lakes area, Andrews Design Group/Dave Cox agent for The Carioca Company/Marvin Rose. #3156. Consideration of a Minor Plan Amendment from medium intensity commercial to high intensity commercial in order to allow the installation of an off premise freeway size sign for a gas station/convenience store/restaurant in a RCU-2A (Residential; Single Family Rural, two (2) acre minimum lot size) zoning district on an approximate one acre parcel. Located on the northwest side of the intersection of Stagecoach Trail and Cordes Road (a.k.a. Burmeister Dr.) adjacent to the east side of the Highway 69 and Interstate 17 Junction, north of the community of Cordes Lakes and north of the existing McDonalds/Chevron. SEC 14 TWN 11N R2E G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of the Minor

Plan Amendment as proposed.

2. Preliminary plat, Talking Rock Ranch Phase 27, 306-35-257E, Williamson Valley area, Shephard Wesnitzer, Inc. #3150. Consideration of a Preliminary Plat for an approximately 18 acre parcel, depicting 39 single family residential lots, ranging in size from approximately 8,500 sq. ft. to 10,000 plus sq. ft. Six plus acres of the parcel will be reserved as common open space. Located on the east side of Williamson Valley Road, approximately 3000 ft. north of the Williamson Valley Road/Nancy Drive intersection in Williamson Valley. SEC 22 TWN 16N R3W G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended to approve H3150 Preliminary Plat, as proposed with the following stipulation: The final plat for Talking Rock Ranch Phase 27 shall be in general conformance with the approved preliminary plat and shall be in conformance with: all applicable conditions of the Talking Rock Ranch PAD zoning and development agreement, along with all other applicable codes, standards and regulations, unless waived by the Board of Supervisors.
3. Use permit for operation of a one-chair beauty shop, Chino Heights Beauty Shop, 306-10-062, Chino Valley area, Pam Bennett. #3151. Consideration of a Use Permit in order to allow the operation of a one chair Beauty Shop in the applicant's residence on a pre-existing, non-conforming .90-acre (39,384 square feet) parcel in an RCU-2A (Residential; Rural; two-acre minimum) zoning district. Located 1,250 feet west of the Yuma Drive/Antelope Drive intersection in the Chino Heights Unit 2 subdivision, approximately three (3) miles west of SR-89, in the vicinity of the Town of Chino Valley. SEC 07, TWN 16N, R 02W G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended to approve H3142 Use Permit, as proposed with the following stipulations: 1). That a use permit to be approved for a period of five years, non-transferable for a one chair beauty shop to be operated in conformance with all applicable codes, including fire and safety standards, and the applicant's letter of intent. Any expansion of service or floor space will require review by the Commission; 2). Signage to meet Section 601.E.1.a. – Sign Regulations, Name Plate Signs, as noted above; 3). No retail sales of merchandise; 4). Provisions must be made for hair disposal, in conformance with Environmental Unit requirements; 5). Applicant shall apply for all necessary building permits within one (1) year of B.O.S. approval; 6). Certificate of Compliance to be issued within one (1) year if all stipulations have been met.

ITEM NO. 5. Resolve into the Board of Directors of the Yavapai County Jail District. Captain Scott Mascher, request for permission to proceed with upgrading the Surveillance System at the Prescott Jail in an amount not to exceed \$200,000 with half of the cost to be paid from the Jail District (other half to be paid from Jail Commissary Fund). Reference: Jail District minutes.

ITEM NO. 6. Resolve into the Board of Supervisors. Captain Scott Mascher, requests from Sheriff's Office.

1. Permission to proceed with upgrading the Surveillance System at the Prescott Jail in an amount not to exceed \$200,000 with half of the cost to be paid from the Jail Commissary Fund (other half to be paid from Jail District). Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.
2. Permission to increase the vehicle fleet and purchase two full-size police vehicles in the amount of \$48,345.04 to be paid from the Jail Enhancement Fund. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow. No comments from the public.

Captain Mascher reminded the Board that Sheriff's staff was increasing and that it included some recently approve Lieutenant positions. He said that the Lieutenants in the Sheriff's Office had been wearing many hats and that they responded to many different situations, and that as a result he was asking for two marked vehicles which he said could also be used for transport. He said the vehicles would not be given to noncertified employees. Chairman Davis asked if there was a record to show what was happening with Jail Enhancement funds with regard to vehicles. Captain Mascher said there was, but that he did not have that information with him on this day. Chairman Davis said that Jail Enhancement funds were used for many things but that the Board realized those funds were beginning to get slim. He said he believed everyone needed to be very careful with these funds. Captain Mascher the Sheriff's Office had been trying to use Jail Enhancement funds to enhance jail operations because it knew that Jail District funds were tight. Supervisor Brownlow asked how prisoners would benefit from the purchase of the vehicles. Captain Mascher said that Jail Enhancement funds were not just for prisoners but for overall operation of the jail, and that the Lieutenants who would receive the vehicles would be doing a lot of driving back and forth between the two jails. In response to a question from Supervisor Brownlow, Captain Mascher said the vehicles would be taken

home by the Lieutenants because they would be on call 24/7. He said that he, himself, was still called out on a variety of situations. Supervisor Street said she did not mind approving the new vehicles but did not want anyone coming back to say the County decals needed to be removed or that the vehicles needed to be used in another department.

ITEM NO. 7. County Assessor A.J. Martinez and Deputy County Attorney Glenn Gustafson.

1. Convene in executive session pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(3) and (A)(4) for legal advice regarding Cottonwood Affordable Housing vs. Yavapai County. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street.
2. Reconvene in open session and consider authorizing appeal of court decisions in Cottonwood Affordable Housing vs. Yavapai County and Citizens Telecommunications vs. Arizona Department of Revenue and retaining outside counsel (Helm & Kyle) for assistance in an amount not to exceed \$5,000 to be paid from Contingency. Chairman Davis moved to approve appealing the decision in Cottonwood Affordable Housing vs. Yavapai County and to authorize retaining outside counsel in an amount not to exceed \$5,000 to be paid from Contingency. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by a 2-to-1 vote with Supervisor Street voting "no." Chairman Brownlow then moved to approve appealing the decision in Citizens Telecommunications vs. Arizona Department of Revenue. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote. No comments from the public.

Supervisor Brownlow asked how the Board could make someone else be the lead in the Cottonwood Affordable Housing case. Mr. Gustafson said that the County was simply the first in line on this one. Supervisor Street said that if the County chose not to further appeal then one of the other counties would end up taking the lead. Mr. Gustafson agreed that at some point that would probably happen. Supervisor Street asked why the Board should take money out of the County's budget to be the test case. Mr. Schurr said the Arizona Department of Commerce was talking about putting a cap on the value of these types of apartment complexes.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS: Unless otherwise noted, all items were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow. No comments from the public.

1. Requests from Board of Supervisors:
 - a. Approve minutes of meeting of December 15, 2003, and of special meeting of December 17, 2003.
 - b. Liquor license for which there are no protests: Series 7 Beer and Wine Bar, Lynx Lake Store and Boat Rental, Lynx Lake in the Prescott area (Walker Road), Zita Rosa Brink.
 - c. Permission to place two microwave dishes on the roof of County building located at 255 E. Gurley Street, Prescott, upon review and approval of the specifications and location by the Facilities Director. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow.

Supervisor Street asked if the County was going to allow this to be done for free. Mr. Holst said he had not looked at having an agreement with the City of Prescott, but instead just allowing them to place the dishes. He said there would be upcoming discussions about dispatching and that it might be possible to have just one system.

- d. Accept letter of intent to retire from Elections Director Sharon Keene-Wright, to be effective July 3, 2004. County Recorder Patsy Jenney-Colon participated in discussion. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street.

Supervisor Street said she wanted to say that Ms. Keene-Wright's retirement would represent a loss for the County. Ms. Jenney-Colon said that Ms. Keene-Wright might be the exception to the idea that everyone can be replaced. She said that, thanks to the efforts of Ms. Keene-Wright, elections ran smoothly and did not receive adverse publicity in the newspapers. She said that Ms. Keene-Wright would be very much missed, and that she was announcing her retirement now in order to allow ample time for a new Elections Director to be found. She said it would be very difficult to find a qualified person for the position, and that she wanted the Board to know she would be back to talk about bringing someone on board early in order to allow for training. Chairman Davis said he would like Ms. Jenney-Colon, Ms. Keene-Wright and Ms. Wayman-Trujillo to begin the process of finding a new Elections Director and to let the Board know what they need. Mr. Schurr told the Board that even though the Board had accepted Ms. Keene-Wright's notice of resignation, there was precedent that would allow Ms.

Keene-Wright to withdraw her resignation if she desired.

- e. Appoint Robert Roecker to the Yavapai County Water Advisory Committee as the City of Prescott's representative, to replace Rob Behnke.
- f. Approve appointment of precinct committeemen as recommended by the Republican Committee of Yavapai County and as evidenced in Board Memorandum No. 2004-1. This item was withdrawn pending clarification.
- 2. Request from Yavapai County Community Health Services Department for approval of the revised agreement with the Prescott Free Clinic, Inc. for the Operation of the Federally-Qualified Health Center as a unit of Yavapai County Community Health Services.
- 3. Request from Human Resources for approval of Settlement Agreement with the Industrial Commission of Arizona, Division of Occupational Safety and Health, including payment of \$3,750 to be paid from Contingency.
- 4. Request from Recorder for award of bid for Pre-Manufactured Modular Fireproof Vault to sole bidder Firelock Modular Vaults in the amount of \$44,700 to be paid from Storage and Retrieval Capital Equipment. Bids opened December 16, 2003.
- 5. Requests from Facilities for permission to evaluate and purchase a Facilities Management Software Package in an amount not to exceed \$9,000 to be paid from Facilities budget.
- 6. Request from Elections for approval and designation of polling places for the February 3, 2004, Presidential Preference Election (PPE) pursuant to A.R.S. §16-411, and in accordance with §16-531 for the same election appoint polling place workers, early board workers, central board workers, trouble shooter workers, and approve appointment of those PPE workers who may be selected after January 5, 2004.
- 7. Approve vouchers.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR DIRECTORS OF YAVAPAI COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL, FREE LIBRARY AND JAIL DISTRICTS, AND COUNTY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS: Reference: Special District minutes.

- 1. Resolve into the Boards of Directors of the Yavapai County Flood Control, Free Library and Jail Districts and other County improvement districts as follows, for the purpose of approving vouchers: Ash Fork Street Lighting Improvement District; Coyote Springs Road Improvement District II; Prescott East Sanitary District; Yarnell Street Lighting Improvement District; Seligman Street Lighting Improvement District; Seligman Sanitary District; Pine Valley Street Improvement District.
- 2. Resolve into the Board of Directors of the Yavapai County Jail District:
 - a. Approve Change Order No. 21 with Sletten Construction in the additional amount of \$17,852 for the Camp Verde Jail Expansion.
 - b. Approve call for bids for the Camp Verde Justice Facility Expansion Sewer Treatment Plant Upgrade.

CLAIMS AGAINST YAVAPAI COUNTY

<u>ACCOUNT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ACCOUNT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
General Fund	1,688,028.20	Jail District	455,727.17
District 1 Park Fund	650.17	District 2 Park Fund	33,483.38
District 3 Park Fund	1,379.71	DOJ 2001	2,896.88
DOJ 2002	7,062.45	WMD Planner	648.80
HS 2003 Primary	18,956.09	Education Svs Agen.	5,037.36
Coop Purchas. Clear.	22.43	Resep Radiation Exp.	411.96
Child Health Grant	10,806.60	Comm. Health Center	14,062.55
AMPPHI	1,108.42	Family Planning	8,073.39
MCH Programs	2,544.69	Health Promotion	2,537.10
Teenage PG Prevent	5,536.11	Nutrition	665.68
T.B. Control	746.74	WIC Program	14,974.86
Title X Family Plann.	5,552.56	Folic Acid Program	178.31
Jail Enhancement	3,807.25	Juvenile Delinq. Reduct	9,692.91
Juvenile IPS	16,259.47	Family Counseling	266.50
Juvenile Food Prog	1,341.97	Comm. Advisory Bd	27.08
Probation Serv	3,515.41	Adult IPS	31,070.90
Adult Probation Fees	19,725.35	Prob Enhance	39,565.24
Recorder's Surcharge	1,552.99	Indigent Def/Dg	1,441.48
Crim Just/Atty	4,688.63	Bad Check Prog	4,460.53

CDBG Grants	17,043.40	Juv Prob Svs	3,814.13
Commodity Fd	819.43	Azeip Case Mgmt	291.62
Hi Risk Chld HI	5,526.01	Clerk's Storage	1,240.62
WIC/TOB Intervention	2,624.13	HIV Counsel & Test	1,575.65
Atty Anti-Racket	58,100.65	PANT	3,428.64
Law Library	3,359.58	CASA	3,212.97
Case Process	4,163.70	Prim. Care – V.V.	7,673.31
Vict Witns Prog	7,885.16	Court Enhancement	1,682.31
Council Court	2,970.62	Drug Enforcement Fund	4,182.36
Primary Care Svs	10,077.60	PC Fees V. V.	108.92
Local ADR	1,150.00	Victims Rights Impl	2,958.82
JAIBG Juv Acct P-II	3,150.16	Dietetic Intern	536.40
Immuniz Service	2,847.74	Personal Care Svs	3,945.93
Idea-Preschool	1,207.93	Public Defender Train	206.87
Subs Abuse/DARE	428.70	Chem Abuse	480.39
Family Drug Court	127.23	Juvenile Drug Court	4,565.86
Juv Det/PACE	5,750.68	Collab. Comp Rev Gr	4,737.36
Special Program	20,346.48	Sm Schools Ecia	1,081.88
Sm Schools Beha	26,872.40	Profess. Develop.	638.59
Fill the Gap - Courts	4,463.50	School Facilities	2,568.30
Hurf Road Funds	414,005.17	Assessor Surcharge	4,325.67
Assessor App Dev	7,701.98	Health Fund	77,835.05
Jail Commissary	6,834.03	Yav. Cemetery Assoc	85.89
Landfill Administ.	28,455.64	Water Advisory Comm	192,990.89
Clinical NCP	1,718.25	Tire Recycle	1,728.37
Safe School Pro	7,635.83	Adhs-Svs Coord	612.79
Fill the Gap – Attorney	4,863.01	Family Law Commiss.	5,642.92
Comm Punish Pro	5,344.53	Juven. Detent Ed Pro	1,084.50
Regnl Road Project	783,875.39	ACJC Records Impr.	30,741.96
Library Auto Consor	2,833.02	Select. Traffic Enforce.	632.48
Health Start	4,108.26	Victim Compens	14,690.94
Interstate Compact Pro	1,954.20	Ryan White II	3,451.55
Prepared. Bioterror	13,801.85	DUI Abatement 2003	954.57
Primary Care Fees	3,551.16	Perinatal Block	2,384.01
Well Woman Health	4,659.04	Tobacco Educ	10,935.02
AD Prob Ed. H2002	52.91	St Imple. Grant	1,817.47
School Reso. – Mayer	1,482.33	Az Reg. Supp. Center	168.68
Direct Treatment Fund	5,096.69	Mental HealthRWJF	3,080.35
Mental Health Part.	4,656.36	Field Trainer	2,255.39
Comm. Access Pro	4,956.11	Attendant Care	26,822.64
HIV/CT	309.92	HIV Targeted	1,373.34
Childrens Justice	837.84	Child Sup & Vis	537.70
Domestic Relations Ed	1,203.19	Self Service	284.09
VOCA	7,751.74	Yav. Drug Court	1,965.60
JTSF Treatment	4,505.15	Diversion Conseq.	1,377.06
Tobacco Donation Fu.	33.75	Capital Projects	251,851.94
Jail Construction	469,519.98	Juv Jail Improve. Ph II	136,502.00
ALTCS	813,338.04	Prescott East Loan	6,388.77

In addition, payroll was issued on December 26 for the pay period ending December 20; warrant numbers 2438532 through 2438949, in the amount of \$346,990.48. Jury certificates issued during this time; 6863583 through 6863666. Warrants issued for January 5 Board day, 4208740 through 4209170; 4209171 through 4209521; 4209522 through 4209897.

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned.

ATTEST:

Clerk _____Chairman

