

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES WITH SUPPLEMENTAL TRANSCRIPT
(Where a supplemental transcript is available, it is printed in bold type)

OFFICE OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA

Prescott, Arizona

May 3, 2004

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session on May 3, 2004, at 9:30 a.m.

Present: Chip Davis, Chairman; Gheral Brownlow, Vice Chairman; Lorna Street, Member; Bev Staddon, Clerk.

Also present: Jim Holst, County Administrator; Dave Hunt, Board Attorney/Assistant County Administrator; Randy Schurr, Deputy County Attorney.

Clerk's note: A copy of these minutes with a supplemental transcript is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and is also available on the County website.

ITEM NO. 1. Board of Supervisors.

1. Hearing: Consider approval of fee schedule for reimbursement of County services provided to special districts for the 2004-2005 fiscal year. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow. No comments from the public.
2. Consider approval of items appearing on the Consent Agenda for Board of Supervisors and on the Consent Agenda for Special Districts. With the exception of items 2. and 4. on the Consent Agenda for Board of Supervisors, all items were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public. See Consent Agenda for detail.

ITEM NO. 2. County Recorder Patsy Jenney-Colon and Elections Director Sharon Keene-Wright. Review and possible approval of new precinct boundaries based on the new legislative boundaries as established by the Independent Redistricting Commission and approved by judicial action on April 15, 2004. Upon a motion by Supervisor Brownlow, seconded by Supervisor Street, the Board voted unanimously to approve the precinct boundaries contingent upon approval of legislative district boundaries. No comments from the public.

Ms. Keene-Wright told the Board that any approval of new precinct boundaries on this day would need to be contingent upon the new legislative boundaries actually being used. Chairman Davis asked if the Board could pass a motion to approve the new precinct boundaries based on the new legislative boundaries or to stay with the 2002 boundaries. Mr. Schurr said yes, that as long as the Elections Department had some indication of the Board's willingness to accept what the courts did with the legislative boundaries it would be fine. Ms. Jenney-Colon said that Judge Fields would be holding a meeting in a few weeks regarding this issue and that the U.S. Department of Justice had been invited to attend. Ms. Keene-Wright said she anticipated being at the Board's next regular meeting because the changes could affect some other districts. Mr. Schurr clarified that justice of the peace districts, supervisor districts, and so on could be affected. Ms. Keene-Wright explained the changes in the precinct boundaries, saying that a portion of Kirkland Precinct would be moved to Ponderosa Precinct; a portion of Lynx Creek Precinct would be moved to Walnut Grove Precinct; that a portion of the Mayer Precinct would be moved to Crown King Precinct with a very tiny portion of Cordes Lakes going to the Mayer Precinct; that Cordes Lakes Precincts 1 and 2 would be created, with a very tiny portion of Mayer going to Cordes Lakes; that Canyon Precincts 1 and 2 would be created; and that a very small portion of the Bagdad Precinct would go to the Seligman 2 Precincts. She said that for the most part, few voters would be affected by the changes. Ms. Keene-Wright then reviewed how the precinct boundary changes could affect the justice of the peace precincts. Supervisor Street asked why the justice of the peace precinct boundaries had to change. Ms. Keene-Wright responded that when an adjustment is made to a precinct boundary it precipitates changes to other district boundaries. Supervisor Street said that if someone was arrested in the area of the Bagdad Precinct that would be in the Seligman 2 Precinct and therefore in the Seligman Justice Precinct as a result of these changes, it would mean a three-hour drive to the Seligman Justice Court.

ITEM NO. 3. Sheriff Buck Buchanan. Recognition of Sheriff's Volunteers in Protection and presentation of "check" to the Board representing cost savings to the County as a result of volunteer services.

Sheriff Buchanan thanked the Board for this event, saying it had been said that volunteers were not paid not because they were worthless but because they were priceless. He said that 2003 the Sheriff's volunteers had provided 66,915 hours of service, which he said was the equivalent of 32 full-time Deputies, and that this represented a cost savings to the County of \$1,402,538. Sheriff Buchanan said that the people who best understand the problems in their communities are the people who live and work in those communities and that the volunteers provided neighborhood patrol, assistance with detention services, education of youth, search and rescue missions, and many other programs. In response to a question from Chairman Davis, Sheriff Buchanan said he currently had about 1,000 volunteers and that he would be hard-pressed to do his job without them. Chairman Davis said he wanted everyone to know that the value the volunteers provided to Yavapai County had not gone unnoticed and that the County could not do its job without them. He said that volunteers were the truly great citizens of the County because they were providing services not for money but because they wanted to make the County a better place.

ITEM NO. 4. Public Works Director Richard Straub.

1. Hearing: Renewal of existing water franchise agreement with Yarnell Water Improvement Association. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow. No comments from the public.
2. Hearing: Increase in tipping fees at County-operated transfer stations. *Note: This is a courtesy hearing with no action to be taken. Action will be taken by the Board following a second hearing at its May 17, 2004, meeting.* Assistant to the Public Works Director Cathy Heath participated in discussion. There were no comments from the public regarding the proposed increases.

Mr. Straub said the proposed increase for a 30-gallon bag or can to \$1.50 would be changed back to the current fee of \$1 in order to avoid problems with attendants having to make change. Ms. Heath said a comparison study had been conducted to look at transfer station rates in other areas, such as Cottonwood and Williams. Supervisor Brownlow noted that a compact pick-up truck load jumped from \$8 for a bed level load to \$15 for a cab level load. Ms. Heath said the new fee would use a cubic yard rate and that staff felt that would be better because it would keep people from arguing with the transfer station attendants. She noted that revenues for solid waste were now about 62% of costs. Chairman Davis asked what effect the fee increases would have on the amount of County subsidy required to keep the transfer stations going. Ms. Heath said she could not predict what the effect would be because it would depend on what kind of trash is involved. She said that things were better than they had been, but that the County was still paying about 38% of costs. Chairman Davis asked that notices about the fee increases be posted at the transfer stations, and that the notices include information about why the increases were necessary. Ms. Heath said the plan was to have the fee increases be effective on July 1, 2004.

3. Consider accepting petition to establish Cactus Cove in the Black Canyon City area as a County highway and set hearing for May 17, 2004, at 10:30 a.m. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow. No comments from the public.
4. Award or reject bid received for the purchase of one Water Tanker Trailer for Yavapai County, Contract #10002. Bids opened February 27, 2004, with one bid received from United Truck & Equipment, Inc. in the amount of \$74,934.92. Recommend awarding to United Truck & Equipment, Inc., in the amount of \$74,934.92, to be paid from Homeland Security 2003 Primary #036 (Homeland Security First Responder grant funds). Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow. No comments from the public.

Following brief discussion of the benefits this equipment would provide, Mr. Holst noted that most grants were a reimbursement-type grant. He asked Mr. Straub if he was certain the County would be paid for this equipment, saying the County was "out there" on a number of things at the current time. Mr. Straub said the money had been approved.

5. Award or reject bids for Old Black Canyon Highway Cold In-Place Recycle Project in Yavapai County, Arizona, Project #2314002. Bids opened April 20, 2004, with bids received from the following vendors: Asphalt Paving & Supply, \$414,632.80; Fann Contracting, Inc., \$427,270; and FNF Construction, Inc.,

\$474,593.50 Recommend awarding to Asphalt Paving & Supply in the total amount of \$414,632.80. Half-cent sales tax project. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow. No comments from the public.

6. Consider approval of items appearing on the Consent Agenda for Public Works. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR PUBLIC WORKS: All items were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.

1. Consider accepting the roads in Inscription Canyon Ranch Unit Five, P.A.D. as fully-maintained County roads and release funds held in retention under the financial assurances, except for chipseal funds.
2. Consider approval of project to complete a structural overlay on approximately one mile of Tissaw Road in the Cornville area, at an estimated cost of \$81,895 to be paid from HURF (District 3 project funds).
3. Consider approval of final change order (#1) with Phillips Three, Inc. for Guardrail Construction at Various Locations in Yavapai County, Arizona, Project #2313194. Decrease of \$5,328.75.
4. Consider approval of Extension for Completion of Services to Authorization of Services #238894 with Coffman & Associates for Professional Services for an Airport Master Plan Study for Seligman Airport. Extend to October 31, 2004. No cost to the County.
5. Consider approval of Authorization of Services #2413420 with Stantec Consulting, Inc. in an amount not to exceed \$6,000 for Post Design Services for the Cortez Drive Drainage Improvement Project in the Village of Oak Creek area. To be paid from Construction in Progress, Village of Oak Creek/Big Park Drainage Improvements.
6. Award or reject bids received for contract to Supply and Deliver Corrugated Metal Pipe (CMP) in Yavapai County, Contract #2413795. Bids opened April 20, 2004, with bids received from the following vendors: Arizona Culvert/Pacific Corrugated; Contech Construction Products, Inc. Recommend awarding to both bidders at various unit prices. To be paid from HURF.
7. Award or reject bids received for Supply or Supply and Deliver of Select Material in Yavapai County, Contract #2413792. Bids opened April 13, 2004, with bids received from the following vendors: Asphalt Paving & Supply, Inc.; Granite Mountain Design; Material Delivery, Inc. dba MDI Rock; Rinker Materials (Camp Verde and Prescott); Yavapai-Apache Sand and Rock. Recommend awarding to all bidders at various unit prices. To be paid from HURF.
8. Award or reject bids received for Supply or Supply and Delivery of Hot Asphaltic Concrete in Yavapai County, Contract #2413794. Bids opened April 13, 2004, with bids received from Asphalt Paving & Supply; Hanson Aggregates; and Rinker Materials (Camp Verde and Prescott). Recommend awarding to all bidders at various unit prices. To be paid from HURF.
9. Award or reject bids received for Supply or Supply and Delivery of Cover Material (Chips) and Pre-Coated Chips in Yavapai County, Contract #2413793. Bids opened April 13, 2004, with bids received from the following vendors: Hanson Aggregates Arizona, Inc.; Rinker Materials (Camp Verde and Prescott); Yavapai-Apache Sand and Rock. Recommend awarding to all bidders at various unit prices.

ITEM NO. 5. Development Services Director Ken Spedding. Planning and zoning. Assistant Development Services Director Enalo Lockard presented items on this day. Planning & Zoning Commission member Tom Thurman was present to represent the Commission.

1. Consider approval of items appearing on the Consent Agenda for Planning and Zoning. Supervisor Street moved to approve item 5. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote. After making a brief statement regarding the quality of planning and high level of cooperation with the County by the developers of American Ranch and Talking Rock Ranch, Supervisor Brownlow moved to approve items 1., 2., 3., 4., and 6. Supervisor Street seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote. There were no comments from the public.
2. Hearing: Consider adoption of the revised Subdivision Regulations, Planning & Zoning Commission, #4015. Consideration of adoption of the revised, updated, and reformatted Subdivision Regulations utilizing a tiered format to determine improvement requirements and a streamlined processing proposal based on what tier, level of improvements and/or waivers from requirements are requested by a subdivision applicant for the Unincorporated Areas of Yavapai County. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of the Adoption of the revised Subdivision Regulations. Upon a motion by Supervisor Street, seconded by Supervisor Brownlow, the Board voted unanimously to approve the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission, but to change the section regarding extension of time from extensions in two-year increments to extensions of time "in increments up to two years." No comments from the public.

Mr. Lockard provided a brief overview of the revised regulations, explaining that a Subdivision

Regulations Review Group comprised of citizens in various development-related fields had been utilized in the development of the regulations. He said the purpose of the revisions was to make the regulations more user-friendly and to encourage people to do subdivisions instead of developing by lot splits. Mr. Lockard said that, in theory, with the new regulations the process could be completed in as little as 45 days as opposed to three or four months. There was brief discussion regarding a provision in the regulations for wastewater systems, and the fact that the Sedona-Verde Valley Association of Realtors had expressed concern about requiring centralized systems. Chairman Davis said the County's goal in revising the regulations was not to address affordable housing issues but to make it easier for developers to come through the County's process. He said he believed that if the County allowed individual on-site sewer systems on lots smaller than two acres in size it would just prolong what would eventually have to be addressed when ADEQ forced people to deal with the issue. Mr. Schurr noted that the Commission had eliminated the requirement that a sanitary district be formed, and had instead recommended that there be centralized wastewater systems that could be operated. Mr. Lockard said the new regulations were about 15 pages shorter than the current regulations, and that staff would recommend making them effective July 1, 2004. Supervisor Brownlow asked if there had been much feedback from developers. Mr. Lockard said the only feedback had been from those developers participating in the Review Group, and, of course, from the Sedona-Verde Valley Association of Realtors. He said this issue had been advertised, that agencies had been provided with copies of the proposed regulations, that the information was on the County's website, and that the Commission had held two public hearings on the matter. Supervisor Brownlow posed several questions related to specific portions of the regulations, including questions regarding the withholding of building permits, traffic studies and the 300-foot notification requirement. Mr. Schurr said that building permits would be withheld only in cases where there was a determination by the Arizona Department of Real Estate that an illegal subdivision existed. With regard to traffic studies, Mr. Spedding said that developers chose their own consultants for that purpose and Mr. Schurr said that the County reviewed the consultant's report. Mr. Schurr said that with regard to the 300-foot notification requirement in the regulations, it was not something required by state statute with regard to subdivisions. Supervisor Street said the notification was required for zoning map changes and that some people provided notification to property owners within 500 feet or even 1,000 feet of the subject property. She said she had no problem with the 300' notification requirement. Supervisor Brownlow questioned the section providing for extension of time in two-year increments, saying there could be situations in which the Board would prefer to extend the time for only six months. Supervisor Street suggested changing the language to provide for "...in increments up to two years." Supervisor Brownlow said that would be fine. He questioned references in the regulations to ADEQ bulletins, asking why the regulations did not just say that a person could not put a septic system or well within so many feet of the property line. Mr. Lockard said the development standards were not all inclusive because the regulations did not tell people how to build a home or install a septic system. He said he was missing the point. Mr. Spedding said that staff does conduct sketch plan meetings with applicants and that staff is always in communication with developers regarding various items. He said that if the ADEQ bulletin number changed then it would be necessary to change the subdivision regulations. He said if staff discovered that there were issues with the new regulations they could come back to the Board for clarification. Supervisor Street said she saw the regulations as a tool for people who want to do small subdivisions, that she thought this was a fantastic job and start and that she appreciated all of the people who worked on the revised regulations. Chairman Davis said he would like to see the Board review the regulations after a year.

3. Hearing: Conditional zoning map change to M1, E.J. Dickey Trucking, 202-17-033, Hillside area, Jim Mellentine, CEO, agent for E.J. Dickey Trucking Co., Inc. Consideration of a Conditional Zoning Map Change to a M1 (Industrial; General Limited) district to allow the continued use of general industrial uses on an approximate 11.73 acre parcel, in an RCU-2A (Residential; Rural - two (2) acre minimum lot size) zoning district. Located on the East side of Date Creek Road approximately 3,000 feet south of the intersection of State Route 96, Kirkland-Hillside Road and Date Creek Road in the community of Hillside. Located in SEC32 TWN13N R6W G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of the Conditional Zoning Map Change, with the following Stipulations: 1). Conditional Zoning Map Change to be approved from RCU-2A (Residential; Single Family; 2-acre lot minimum) to M1 (Industrial; General Limited); 2). The following uses shall not be permitted: circuses, carnivals, racetracks,

stadiums or sex oriented businesses; 3). Applicant to apply for and obtain a change of use from the Development Services Department within 3 months of Board of Supervisors approval; 4). Applicant to obtain a Certificate of Compliance from Development Services within 6 months of BOS approval. Hillside resident Glenn Olsen participated in discussion of this item. Supervisor Street moved to approve the conditional zoning map change, and then amended her motion to approve the conditional zoning map change to M1 with a stipulation for screening between the subject property and an adjacent residential property and that the approval be limited to the uses outlined in the applicant's letter of intent dated April 21, 2004. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion. At the request of Mr. Spedding, Supervisor Street then amended her motion to include a stipulation requiring submittal of a site plan. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the amended motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

Mr. Lockard said that the original stipulations did not include screening and that there was a residential property on the southwest corner of the site that would like to have screening. He said staff's recommendation was to approve the application with the condition that it be just for the uses proposed by Entek, and that if any different use was proposed the applicant would have to come back through the process. Mr. Mellentine said that Dickey Trucking had been in existence in Hillside as an M1 commercial business for 60 years, and that the company's property was bounded by the railroad and by mine property. He said that the one residential property adjacent to the subject property was somewhat screened by trees and that the person who lived there had been there for a long time. Mr. Mellentine said that when the County implemented zoning in 1968 his property should have updated to reflect the correct zoning, but that it had not happened and that he believed the question was whether a property that was commercial could allow another business to use a portion of its property. He said his property represented jobs for Yavapai County taxpayers and commercial property that would be taxed at 25% of its value, and which would generate sales tax revenue. Mr. Olsen said he thought Entek was a good company and that he supported it, but he questioned whether the company should continue to work on the site without approval from the County. He said he also had never received an answer as to whether the sawmill business was part of Entek or whether it was something different. He said he believed this application represented spot zoning and that it had not been thoroughly reviewed. He said there were questions about fire suppression and whether a water drainage report would be required and about whether screening would be required for outside storage. Mr. Lockard said that with regard to the ongoing activities being a violation of the ordinance, once a property owner made application to correct the violation all enforcement activity was suspended. He said the information presented to staff regarding the sawmill was that it would be part of Entek and that the article in a recent Daily Courier regarding a sawmill operation in the Hillside area by a different company was new to him. He said the Board could stipulate that Entek could mill lumber only for its own product, and that with regard to screening it was staff's opinion that the only thing requiring screening was the adjacent residential property. Supervisor Street said she wanted to note that the Board had received quite a few letters of support for the zoning map change this time. She said that Hillside became what it is because of the railroad, mining and trucking and that with decline comes quiet, but that it also meant less of everything else. Supervisor Street said she did agree that every business was vital to the area, adding that the school district tax for the Hillside area was very high because there were so few commercial endeavors in that area. She said she could see the benefit to the zoning map change but that she was also a little concerned about all the uses that could exist in an M1 zone and that she hoped Mr. Mellentine would tell the Board what his company was doing with its property. Supervisor Street said she would hate to see some huge, dirty M1 industrial use in Hillside, but that if the zoning was conditional and the applicant had to come back to the County every time he wanted to change the use on the property then it was really no different than a use permit. After the motion and amended motions were made and seconded, Mr. Mellentine said he had been required to pay fees in the amount of nearly \$1,400 to start the zoning application process and he asked if the approval of his application as made by the Board meant that if his tenant left and he wanted another tenant he would have to start all over and pay more fees. Mr. Schurr said if the applicant changed the use he would have to pay new fees and that it would be considered a rezoning of the property. He said the Board had nailed approval of this application down to the applicant's letter of intent and that the uses outlined in that letter were all that would be allowed so any change in the use would require the applicant to reapply. Supervisor Street said she was simply trying to protect the community, and asked if it would be possible to make changes administratively or whether the applicant would have to

come back through the process and pay the fees. Mr. Spedding said he appreciated the offer of giving discretion to staff but that he did not think that was the way to go. Supervisor Street said the Board was in favor of what Entek was doing, especially because it was an industry related to the reduction of fuels on the forest.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR PLANNING & ZONING: Item 5. was approved by unanimous vote upon a motion by Supervisor Street, seconded by Supervisor Brownlow. Items 1., 2., 3., 4., and 6. were approved by unanimous vote upon a motion by Supervisor Brownlow, seconded by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.

1. Preliminary plat, American Ranch Phase 2B, 100-18-081A, C, D and F, Williamson Valley area northwest of Prescott, Jeffrey Davis, M3 Company, #4019. Consideration of a Preliminary Plat for 5 single family residential lots on 10 acres in a PAD (Planned Area Development) zoning district. Located on the west side of Williamson Valley Road, approximately 1 mile south of the Williamson Valley Road/Outer Loop Road intersection, in Williamson Valley. Located in SEC14 TWN15 R3W G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of the Preliminary Plat American Ranch Phase 3A, with the following Stipulation: The Final Plats for American Ranch Phases 2B and 3C shall be in general conformance with the approved preliminary plats and shall be in conformance with: all applicable conditions of the American Ranch PAD zoning and development agreement, along with all other applicable codes, standards and regulations, unless waived by the Board of Supervisors.
2. Final plat, American Ranch Phase 2B, 100-18-081A, C and F, Williamson Valley area northwest of Prescott, Jeffrey Davis, M3 Company, #4042. Consideration of a Final Plat for 5 single family residential lots on 10 acres in a PAD (Planned Area Development) zoning district. Located on the west side of Williamson Valley Road, approximately 1 mile south of the Williamson Valley Road/Outer Loop Road intersection, in Williamson Valley. Located in SEC14 TWN15 R3W G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of the Preliminary Subdivision Plat for the Phases 2B and 3A of American Ranch, with the following Stipulation: The Final Plats for American Ranch Phases 2B and 3A, shall be in general conformance with the approved preliminary plats and shall be in conformance with: all applicable conditions of the American Ranch PAD zoning and development agreement, along with all other applicable codes, standards and regulations, unless waived by the Board of Supervisors.
3. Preliminary plat, American Ranch Phase 3A, 100-18-081G and L, Williamson Valley area northwest of Prescott, Jeffrey Davis, M3 Company, #4018. Consideration of a Preliminary Plat for 29 single family residential lots and a 5+ acre picnic/playground park, on 46 acres, in a PAD (Planned Area Development) zoning district. Located on the west side of Williamson Valley Road, approximately 1 mile south of the Williamson Valley Road/Outer Loop Road intersection, in Williamson Valley. Located in SEC14 TWN15 R3W G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of the Preliminary Plat American Ranch Phase 3A, with the following Stipulation: The Final Plats for American Ranch Phases 2B and 3C shall be in general conformance with the approved preliminary plats and shall be in conformance with: all applicable conditions of the American Ranch PAD zoning and development agreement, along with all other applicable codes, standards and regulations, unless waived by the Board of Supervisors.
4. Final plat, American Ranch Phase 3A, 100-18-081T, Williamson Valley area northwest of Prescott, Jeffrey Davis, M3 Company, #4044. Consideration of a Final Plat for 29 single family residential lots on 46 acres, in a PAD (Planned Area Development) zoning district. Located on the west side of Williamson Valley Road, approximately 1 mile south of the Williamson Valley Road/Outer Loop Road intersection, in Williamson Valley. Located in SEC14 TWN15 R3W G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of the Preliminary Subdivision Plat for the Phases 2B and 3A of American Ranch, with the following Stipulation: The Final Plats for American Ranch Phases 2B and 3A shall be in general conformance with the approved preliminary plats and shall be in conformance with: all applicable conditions of the American Ranch PAD zoning and development agreement, along with all other applicable codes, standards and regulations, unless waived by the Board of Supervisors.
5. Use permit for the installation of an entry sign in an RCU-2A zoning district, Ruger Ranch Entry Sign, 202-14-011E, Kirkland area, Deborah Palmer agent for Arizona Land and Ranches, #4014. Consideration of a request to allow the installation of an entry sign for the Ruger Ranch unsubdivided land filing, in an RCU-2A (Residential, 2 acre. minimum lot size) zoning district. Located on the northeast side of the Kirkland/Hillside Road, approximately 1.5 miles northwest of the intersection of the Kirkland/Hillside Road and the Kirkland/Skull Valley Road, in Kirkland. Located in SEC 36 TWN13N 5W G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of the Use Permit-Ruger Ranch Entry Sign, with the following Stipulation: Use permit to be approved on a permanent transferable basis. Entry sign to be in keeping with applicant's site plan and sign plan.
6. Final plat, Talking Rock Ranch Phase 8, 306-35-257V, Williamson Valley area northwest of Prescott, Shephard-Wesnitzer, Inc., #4040. Consideration of a Final Plat for an approximately 126 acre parcel, depicting 80 single family residential lots, ranging in size from approximately 22,000 sq. ft. to 4.9 acres, and 23 acres of

open space on property zoned PAD (Planned Area Development). Located approximately 800 ft. north east of the Williamson Valley Road/Nancy Drive intersection in Williamson Valley. Located in SEC 22 TWN 16N R3W G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommend approval of hearing application the Preliminary Plat, with the following Stipulation: The Final Plat for Talking Rock Ranch Phase 8 shall be in general conformance with the approved preliminary plat and shall be in conformance with: all applicable conditions of the Talking Rock Ranch PAD zoning and development agreement, along with all other applicable codes, standards and regulations, unless waived by the Board of Supervisors.

ITEM NO. 6. Working lunch. Update from County Administrator Jim Holst regarding the budget process. Public Works Director Richard Straub, Assistant Public Works Director Phil Bourdon, District 1 Supervisor candidate Jim Buchanan and KYCA reporter Jeff Demand participated in discussion of this item.

Mr. Holst began by briefly reviewing his memo to the Board regarding this day's study session, saying that the Board had indicated that the stability of the County's workforce was its top priority. He said the budget was technically balanced but that it did not include everything that had been requested by the departments. He said he had made reductions in departmental line items for the General Fund with regard to such things as travel, overtime and the like. Mr. Holst said that if the goal of the 2004-2005 budget was employee stability and better operation of County departments then it would be necessary to cut some items and that his cuts in line items primarily just put the departments back to where they were for 2003-2004. He reiterated that he did not have program changes included in the budget at this time. Mr. Holst said the budget did include the change in distribution of the half-cent sales tax from 75% regional roads and 25% General Fund to 65% regional roads and 35% General Fund, and that it also included the approximately \$1.5 million increase in costs for court-related issues recently approved by the Board. Discussion turned to the Regional Roads Program, with Mr. Holst saying that he had put \$2.5 million into a contingency account in that program and that the money in that account could be put back into projects. He added that the half-cent sales tax was doing very well. Mr. Holst said he had included only six months of costs for the new Judge Pro Tem position because the Board had approved the position for only six months and also because Court Administrator Debi Schaefer was pursuing grant funding for the position and if the budget included funding for the whole year it could hurt her efforts to obtain the grant funding. He added that the General Fund Contingency account had also been increased to \$1.5 million. Mr. Holst told the Board that in order to balance the Jail District's budget for 2004-2005 there would need to be an injection of about \$850,000 and that he believed this could be achieved by renting beds to the state and that it would be necessary to do that in order to avoid having to do a General Fund transfer to the Jail District in order to balance that budget. There was also brief discussion about the County's ability to use sentenced prisoners on state brush crews, and that this would also bring in some revenue for the Jail District. Mr. Holst said that at the present time the County's budget was about \$338,000 to the good, and that it looked like the Flood Control District would be able to reduce its tax rate by about two cents. There was brief discussion about FDAT and also about the need to make a contribution of around \$52,000 in order to keep one Judge Pro Tem position and the Family Law Commissioner position in place. Chairman Davis said that later on this day the Board would be asked to consider using salary savings in the Sheriff's Office to purchase equipment. He asked what kind of shape the Sheriff's Office was in with regard to overtime and whether it was over budget. Mr. Holst said it was, and by quite a bit. Chairman Davis said if that was the case then salary savings should be used to offset the overtime deficit. Mr. Holst asked the Board if it would like to consider at this time how it would like to move forward with the budget. Chairman Davis said he was anxious to see what the state could do in terms of leasing jail space from the County. Mr. Holst said the state prisoners issue was a very critical part of the budget. Chairman Davis said he thought the Board of Supervisors should tell the Board of Directors of the Jail District that the County does not want to give the District any money. He said before the Board could really look at program change requests it would need to know whether the state was willing to deal on jail space. Supervisor Brownlow suggested that someone talk with officials at Navajo County because that county had been stung on a deal with the state. Mr. Holst said he also had concerns about the operations related to Adult Probation because of potential cuts in state funding. Chairman Davis asked about legislative actions that could affect the County. Mr. Holst said that both the legislature's budget and the Governor's budget proposed keeping the \$2 million taken from the County last year, but that the Governor's budget also called for an additional \$170,000 to be taken from the County in

order to improve DPS salaries. Supervisor Brownlow said it appeared that to fund the program changes requested by the departments the Board would have to either raise the Jail District tax or raise the County's property tax rate. Mr. Holst said it would take about a year to be able to raise the Jail District tax rate.

ITEM NO. 7. Sheriff's Captain John Russell. Request for permission to spend \$72,098 from salary savings in the Sheriff's General Services Budget for the purchase of 80 X-26 Advance Tasers, 80 Extended Digital Power magazines and USB data port download software. Sheriff's Account Clerk III Jennifer Gray participated in discussion. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow. No comments from the public.

Captain Russell handed the Board members an unloaded taser to look at, saying that one of the program change requests in the Sheriff's 2004-2005 budget was for 80 tasers for patrol officers on the street and that if the tasers could be purchased now that program change request could be eliminated. He explained how a taser works, saying that it would allow an officer to take down a person in a non-lethal manner up to 21 feet away, and that this would improve safety for officers as well as for the public. Chairman Davis asked if any motion to approve this request could be made contingent upon the closeout of the current budget year. Ms. Gray said if the tasers were not purchased now the department would not have them by June 30. Chairman Davis said the Sheriff's Office was over budget on overtime. Ms. Gray said that as of now the department had \$77,000 in salary savings for all salary-related accounts, and that the amount would continue to grow because of ongoing vacancies. Mr. Holst said that if this was the priority for the Sheriff's Office out of all of the program change requests presented as part of the Sheriff's budget, then if the Board approved the request on this day and the Sheriff's current budget went over budget the Board could reduce the Sheriff's 2004-2005 budget by a similar amount. Captain Russell said he believed his bosses would be willing to live with that. In making her motion to approve the purchase of the tasers, Supervisor Street said this was not about salary savings, and that anytime a Deputy or a member of the public was shot the County was looking at spending considerably more than \$72,000.

ITEM NO. 8. Human Resources Director Julie Ayers.

1. Consider accepting the resignation of Fleet Management Director Dave Burnside, who is retiring June 4, 2004. Discussion and possible action on issues and processes related to the vacancy. Mr. Burnside's resignation was accepted by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow. No comments from the public.

Supervisor Street said she hated to see Mr. Burnside go because he had done a good job with the County's cars. She said she did not think the Board could really replace Mr. Burnside, but that she was not sure she wanted to replace him. She said the budget and salary situation was pretty tough, that there could be some major changes coming down, and that this might be one of the areas where the Board could have people in-house act as interim director. Supervisor Brownlow said he thought what to do about the position Mr. Burnside would leave vacant would be a difficult decision. Chairman Davis asked if the Board wanted to look in-house for a replacement. Supervisor Brownlow said that in his opinion the Fleet Management Department had been operating very well and that maybe the Board should just let it operate as it is without changing anything and then see how things are going in a month or two. Supervisor Street said someone had to be in charge. Supervisor Brownlow said that Public Works Director Richard Straub had stated that he could handle it for a while. He said that if Mr. Burnside had trained his people well then the department should be able to operate for a time without him being there. Mr. Burnside said his people were very well trained. Supervisor Brownlow said he thought the Board should let Mr. Straub run the department for a while and that maybe after a few months he would decide he did not really want it. He said he would like to let Mr. Straub run the department for a while and then re-evaluate things. Chairman Davis said he would like to sit down with Mr. Burnside and his shop employees and talk about what direction they would like to see the department take. He said he did not know that there was a clear direction at this time and that maybe a group to include Ms. Ayers and some people from Public Works along with the people from Fleet Management could sit down and work something out. Ms. Ayers said she would set up a meeting.

2. Consider proposal submitted by County Recorder Patsy Jenney-Colon regarding the vacancy created by Records Management Director Carole Miller's retirement and provide direction to Human Resources regarding the vacancy. The Board took no action with regard to Ms. Jenney-Colon's proposal.

Supervisor Street said her opinion regarding this vacancy had not changed. Ms. Ayers said that Ms. Jenney-Colon's proposal had come in after the Board accepted Ms. Miller's resignation. Supervisor Street reiterated that her position had not changed. Chairman Davis said he was happy with the way the department was running but that he was open to new ideas. He said he believed things had run smoothly. Mr. Holst said that prior to the creation of the department there was no centralized method of dealing with records. Chairman Davis asked if the improvements made or cost savings resulting from the creation of the department had been documented, and whether creating the department was a positive move. Mr. Holst said he believed it was a positive move because many records were no longer being stored in office space, and that there were also fewer people who needed to deal with going into records boxes. He said one thing that had been anticipated for the department was doing more scanning of records and that he thought that was something the Board would want to be involved in. Supervisor Brownlow said it appeared that Ms. Jenney-Colon had a person who could run the department but not as a director. Ms. Jenney-Colon said that what she had proposed was that because Records Management was a vital department and because she had paid for most of the improvements she would like to have it under her office for six months in order to give the Board more time to decide what to do with the department. She said she did believe that it needed to be a department and that it would need a director. Ms. Jenney-Colon told the Board it was true that she did have someone working for her who was very well qualified for the position of director, but that it was also possible that with six months' time she could get a scanning program started and purchase equipment for it out of her storage and retrieval funds. Supervisor Brownlow asked if there were currently people in the Records Management Department who were able to assume the position of director. Ms. Jenney-Colon said there were not. Supervisor Street asked Ms. Ayers if she had advertised the director's position. Ms. Ayers said she had not but that she was ready to do so. Chairman Davis said he would just as soon keep Records Management as a separate department and that Ms. Jenney-Colon's employee could apply for the director's position. Ms. Jenney-Colon said she had a vested interest in the Records Management Department and that it had not come along as well as she had hoped. Supervisor Street told Ms. Ayers she was surprised that it was taking so long to advertise Ms. Miller's position. Ms. Ayers said her office had worked with Ms. Miller for two weeks on a job description and then put it on hold after Ms. Jenney-Colon's proposal was received. Supervisor Brownlow said he had no complaints about Ms. Miller's work and that he thought she had done a great job. He asked Ms. Jenney-Colon if she was planning to give her employee a big raise if the Board approved her proposal. Ms. Jenney-Colon said that was not her decision. She said she understood the Board's position and that she had just wanted to offer another possibility.

3. Consider approval of the following reclassifications submitted by departments as part of the budget process: (1) Sheriff's Office, Records Supervisor Range 58 (\$24,165 - \$32,897) to Office Manager, Range 62 (\$35,381 - \$48,165), classified, non-exempt; (2) School Superintendent's Office, School Service Technician, Range 60 (\$29,240 - \$39,805) to Accountant, Range 62 (\$35,381 - \$48,165), at-will, exempt; (3) Public Defender, Investigator, Range 62 (\$35,381 - \$48,165) to new position Investigator Lead, Range 64 (\$42,811 - \$58,280), at-will, exempt; (4) Clerk of Superior Court, one Court Clerk III, Range 59 (\$26,582 - \$36,188) to new Court Clerk III position, Range 60 (\$29,240 - \$39,805), classified, non-exempt. Upon a motion by Supervisor Street, seconded by Chairman Davis, the Board voted to approve items (1), (2) and (4), and to hold item (3). Supervisor Brownlow declared a conflict and abstained from voting.

Ms. Ayers told the Board that these requests were not based on wage studies but were requests from departments based on what people were actually doing. Chairman Davis noted that all three Board members had relatives working for the County and he asked whether the Board members should declare a conflict of interest. Mr. Hunt said whether or not there was a conflict would depend upon the relationship, and that if there was a large classification of people who would receive the same treatment then there probably was no conflict. Supervisor Brownlow said he would have to refrain from voting on the reclassification for the Court Clerk III. Supervisor Street said the Board had just increased the range for the Public Defender's Investigators. Ms. Ayers said that was correct, but that the person for whom this

reclassification was being requested was spending 80% of his time with supervisory responsibilities by supervising one staff Investigator and four contract Investigators. Supervisor Street said she had no problem with the reclassification requests except for the Public Defender's Investigator.

ITEM NO. 9. County Administrator Jim Holst.

1. Study session to discuss options for use of the building located at 595 White Spar Road, Prescott.

Mr. Holst reminded the Board that the addition to the Fair Street administration building had been moved down on the priority list, and that County office space needs in the tri-city area were acute. He said that with the recently approved changes in the court system the County was currently advertising for a number of Attorney positions, but that there was no space left at the Gurley Street building to put the new employees. Mr. Holst said the office space that Medical Assistance would be vacating when it moved to Prescott Valley was available and that if the County continued to lease the current Medical Assistance space on White Spar it would make sense for the department to leave its work stations and phones at that location. He said it would be possible to move the Public Defender's Office into the White Spar building and that he had discussed this possibility with Public Defender Dan DeRienzo and also with MIS Director Stephen Welsh because of concerns about video hook-ups and that both individuals said there would be no problem. Mr. Holst said the lease on the Library District's office space would soon be up and that it would be possible to move that office to the White Spar building as well. He said the Marina Street building was pretty full and that if the Public Fiduciary could be moved out of that building and into the White Spar building it would free up much-needed space for Development Services. Mr. Holst told the Board that in looking at which departments could move to the White Spar building it would be important to consider those departments that would not generate much public traffic because of limited parking space at that building. He said the amount being requested for the lease was just over \$1 per square foot and that it was a very good price. He said that if the Public Defender's Office could be moved to the White Spar building, then space at the Gurley Street building could be rearranged to accommodate the County Attorney, and that it would also help with the parking situation at the Gurley Street building. Supervisor Brownlow asked what was happening with plans for a parking lot to be built in conjunction with the Baptist church and the City of Prescott. Mr. Holst said a meeting had been held and that the potential was there for a parking facility, but that more information needed to be collected regarding the site. He said that the City of Prescott may or may not participate in a parking facility at that location. Chairman Davis asked what the Board would do if the White Spar building was not available to lease. Mr. Holst said he did not know. He said there was no space for the County Attorney at either the Gurley Street building or in Camp Verde. He said there were discussions about the potential of establishing a legal defender's office and that the White Spar building would also have room for that office. Supervisor Brownlow asked if there was any office space in the new jail. Mr. Holst said it was his understanding that the administrative space in the new jail was already full. He said the remanded juvenile facility was vacant but that it was not administrative space. He added that in order for the Jail District to be on its own budget-wise next year, it would be necessary to rent jail space to the state. Mr. Holst concluded that the County was practically out of space for administrative purposes. Supervisor Street asked Mr. Holst if he had spoken with all three departments that would potentially be moved to the White Spar building. Mr. Holst said he had spoken with Mr. DeRienzo and with Library District Director Barbara Kile, but that he had not spoken with Public Fiduciary Patricia Ian. Chairman Davis asked if it would be possible to contract out the Public Defender services. Mr. Holst said the County had contracted for indigent defense services in the past, prior to the creation of the Public Defender's Office. Chairman Davis said that if the County went back to contracts it would not need Public Defenders or office space, cars, health insurance and so on. Mr. Holst said he did not know that there would be a great deal of savings realized by doing that, and that making that kind of change could also have an impact on the new Early Disposition Court process that the Superior Court was beginning this week. Supervisor Brownlow added that if indigent defense services were contracted out it would take people to administer the contracts. Chairman Davis said that if indigent defense services were contracted out it would eliminate the Public Defender's Office and therefore eliminate space needs, and that if there was poor performance from a contract attorney the Board could just not renew the contract.

He asked if there was any information about costs related to contracting for indigent defense as opposed to having a Public Defender's Office. Mr. Hunt said he had been working with Mr. DeRienzo on putting together that information in a format that would be usable for the Board. Supervisor Street said she believed the square foot price for the White Spar building was a very good price, that it was a fairly new building, and that it was already set up with equipment. She said that whether or not the Public Defender moved into that building the Board should consider leasing the building to help resolve space needs and that she did not know why the Board was hesitating on moving forward with the lease. Chairman Davis said he wanted to see what the cost savings could be if the Board did away with the Public Defender's Office because it would free up office space and the Board could save money by not entering into the lease agreement. Supervisor Brownlow reiterated that it would cost money to administer the contracts. He said that when Judge James Hancock was Presiding Judge of Superior Court he handled the indigent defense contracts but that he did not think the current judges wanted to do that. Chairman Davis asked whose responsibility it was to handle such contracts and whether it was the responsibility of the courts. Mr. Hunt said he did not think that was necessarily the case. Chairman Davis said he believed that whittling out duties that employees did not want to perform would make the County ineffective.

2. Consider approval of a lease agreement with Grace and Ronald Colosimo for property located at 595 White Spar Road, Prescott, for the period July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2006. District 1 Supervisor candidate Jim Buchanan participated in discussion. Approved by unanimous vote, upon a motion by Supervisor Street, seconded by Supervisor Brownlow.

Chairman Davis asked if the Board should hold this item for two weeks or move forward with it. Supervisor Street said she believed the agreement provided for a very good rate, regardless of whether the Public Defender's Office was moved to the building. She said the County had employees around town in different locations and that it would be good to have them in that building. Supervisor Brownlow said he would like to hold consideration of this item until later in the day, until Mr. Hunt could provide the Board with some cost information regarding the Public Defender's Office. Chairman Davis noted that the equipment that Ms. Berkowitz wanted to leave behind (see item 10, below) was on the White Spar property. Supervisor Street said that regardless of which departments ended up in the White Spar building, the County needed that space. After seconding Supervisor Street's motion to approve the lease agreement, Supervisor Brownlow said he believed the Board was missing some pieces about who would move into the building. He said that Chairman Davis was suggesting doing away with the Public Defender's Office and that perhaps the Board should hold off on a decision on the lease until it knew who would move into the building. Mr. Holst said that certain portions of the Development Services Department could be moved into the White Spar building, and that if enough services were moved out the Marina Street building it would be possible to go ahead and move the Prescott Justice Court to Marina Street. Supervisor Brownlow said he did not think he had enough information to make a decision regarding the lease. Supervisor Street said she believed the Board needed to go ahead with plans to move the Public Defender, the Public Fiduciary and the Library District to the White Spar building. Supervisor Brownlow said he was not comfortable and that the Board could have a meeting in a couple of days to consider this issue. In response to a question from Mr. Buchanan, Mr. Holst said the equipment being left behind by Medical Assistance did not include computers but instead was limited to work stations and telephones. Supervisor Brownlow said he would like to lease the White Spar building, but felt the Board needed to have a little bit of a plan. Chairman Davis asked Supervisor Brownlow how long it would take to get more information regarding costs for the Public Defender's Office and costs for contracting for indigent defense. Chairman Davis said the Board could hold making a decision until later in the day, when Mr. Hunt could provide the needed information. Later in the day, Mr. Hunt told the Board that based upon information provided to him by Mr. DeRienzo and through discussions with Mr. Holst, there were two scenarios to consider. He told the Board there were 4,990 felony equivalent cases projected for 2004-2005 and that it would cost \$3,720,795 to handle those cases through the Public Defender's Office while it cost \$4,184,760 to handle the cases through contracting for indigent defense services. Supervisor Brownlow asked if entire costs were included in those figures. Mr. Hunt said they were. Chairman Davis asked when the Board might be able to see what kind of information was included, asking whether the cost of electricity, vehicles, insurance and so on had been factored into the final figures. Supervisor Brownlow said that

Finance Director Mike Danowski had provided that kind of information. Chairman Davis asked about the "cream" factor, where the Public Defender's Office took the easy cases and gave the contract attorneys the hard cases. Supervisor Street said that was not what this issue was about, and that it was about renting a building and that she thought the Board needed to approve it. She said she did not think the Board could spend \$1.5 million towards the courts and then turn around and say it was going to do away with departments to avoid renting a building. Supervisor Brownlow said it appeared that the Board would need the White Spar building.

ITEM NO. 10. Medical Assistance Director Mona Berkowitz. Permission to purchase work stations, carpet, cover base and blinds for the Prescott Valley building in an amount not to exceed \$75,000, to be paid for by Long Term Care Contingency funds. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow. No comments from the public.

Ms. Berkowitz explained that the work stations and equipment left at the White Spar building would be sold to the County and that her department would net about \$75,000. She said that purchasing the new work stations and equipment would enable her to have a turn-key operation for the move to Prescott Valley and that it would also leave the White Spar building with a turn-key operation.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS: With the exception of items 2. and 4., all items were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.

1. Requests from Board of Supervisors:
 - a. Approve minutes of meeting of April 19, 2004.
 - b. Liquor license for which there are no protests, Series 6 Person Transfer, Javalina Crossing, Black Canyon City area, Antony Schaible.
 - c. Approve proclamation declaring May 2004 as "Small Business Month in Yavapai County."
 - d. Rescind action taken at the April 19, 2004, meeting to appoint Doug Freeman as a Republican precinct committeeman for Coyote Springs Precinct. No position was available for this appointment. Reference Board Memorandum No. 2004-6.
 - e. Approve Resolutions for Relocation Assistance Plan and Authorization to Submit Application and Implement CDBG Projects (two resolutions). Resolutions Nos. 1439 and 1440.
2. Request from MIS Department for permission to purchase equipment to allow phone access to the Development Services Department's permitting database, at a cost of \$37,543 to be paid from Contingency. Development Services Director Ken Spedding participated in discussion of this item. Upon a motion by Supervisor Street, seconded by Supervisor Brownlow, the Board voted unanimously to approve this item. No comments from the public.

At the request of Chairman Davis, Mr. Spedding explained that this was a voice-activated telephone system that would allow builders and contractors to automatically schedule inspections or find out the status of an inspection or permit. He said that currently a person must take those calls and then search the computer for the necessary information. Mr. Holst noted that Prescott and Prescott Valley already were using this type of program. He said that approval by the Board on this day would eliminate this as a program request in the Development Services Department's 2004-2005 budget, that by using the computer phones the County already has for the program it would save about \$70,000 over the program request, and that it would also save the County about \$5,000 per year in telephone costs.

3. Requests from the Sheriff:
 - a. Permission to apply for \$16,577 in grant funding offered through the Criminal Justice Records Improvement Plan, ACJC, for an additional State Photograph Investigative Workstation for the Camp Verde office and three additional ACJIS terminals. A 25% cash match would be required if grant application is approved, to be paid from a non-budgeted funding source such as Jail Enhancement. Current request is only for permission to apply for grant.
 - b. Permission to submit application to the Governor's Office of Highway Safety for grant funding in the amount of \$29,000 to fund overtime for deputies and dispatchers during selective traffic enforcement details and also for a lap top computer and Intox 8000 breath testing device. No local cash or in-kind match is required. Current request is only for permission to apply for grant.
4. Request from the Yavapai County Water Advisory Committee for permission to spend a total of \$5,000 from

WAC budgeted funds to support Water Education and Outreach programs in Yavapai County. WAC Coordinator John Munderloh participated in discussion of this item. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow. No comments from the public.

There was brief discussion regarding this request during which Mr. Munderloh outlined the groups that would receive funding, which included a water education for middle and high school teachers sponsored by NAU, water education for adults in the Master Watershed Steward Program sponsored by the University of Arizona Cooperative Extension Service, March Water Awareness Month public outreach programs sponsored by the League of Women Voters, and production of an educational pamphlet about the Upper Agua Fria watershed by the Upper Agua Fria Watershed Partnership. In response to a question from Chairman Davis, Mr. Munderloh said that the U of A stewardship program was on-going and that so far about 55 people had gone through the program. Chairman Davis asked if the WAC was starting to reach out to more water groups. Mr. Munderloh said it was. In response to a question from Supervisor Brownlow regarding issues related to the Colorado River, Mr. Munderloh said that particular issue was not being considered by the WAC but that there were groups in northern Arizona who were looking at it. Chairman Davis said he believed that water flowing south out of Yavapai County would become more and more important as the result of Salt River Project's recent legal action against property owners in the Verde Valley over surface water rights.

- 5. Request from Public Defender to approve the appointment of Robert Johnson as an Attorney Entry and Tim McKeever as an Investigator.
- 6. Approve vouchers.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR SPECIAL DISTRICTS: Reference: Flood Control District minutes.

- 1. Resolve into the Boards of Directors of the Yavapai County Flood Control District and consider approval of the following items:
 - a. Professional services contract with SWM Technologies, Inc. in the amount of \$52,963 for the Storm Water Mapping Phase II Project, NPDES Phase II urban area, to be paid from Outside Services – NPDES Phase II.
 - b. Professional services contract with Civiltec Engineering, Inc. in the amount of \$15,836 for the Paulden Low Water Crossing Study, Paulden area, to be paid from Outside Services – Hydrological Services.

- CLAIMS AGAINST YAVAPAI COUNTY

<u>ACCOUNT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ACCOUNT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
General Fund	1,756,518.79	Jail District	465,550.36
District 1 Park Fund	84.57	District 2 Park Fund	520.35
District 3 Park Fund	6,876.98	In Line Hockey	7,058.60
CERT	460.00	Hazmat Equip Grant	122.17
WMD Planner	214.38	HS 2003 Supplement	847.13
DOJ HS Exercise	4,333.53	Education Svs Agency	3,058.33
AZ Coop Purchas. Net	1,767.67	Susan Komen Breast H.	44.00
Medical Reserve Corps	435.47	Resep Radiation Exp.	351.31
Child Health Grant	5,552.18	Comm. Health Center	23,631.73
AMPPHI	1,338.46	Family Planning	2,128.02
MCH Programs	9,394.56	Health Promotion	2,258.27
Teenage PG Prevent	2,472.15	Nutrition	1,335.26
T.B. Control	2,322.85	WIC Program	16,382.96
Title X Family Plann.	4,286.88	Folic Acid Program	155.42
Jail Enhancement	2,121.54	Juvenile Delinq. Reduct	8,569.93
Juvenile IPS	15,819.23	Family Counseling	225.00
Juvenile Food Prog	2,239.53	Comm. Advisory Bd	96.59
Probation Serv	3,704.38	Adult IPS	26,964.16
Adult Probation Fees	16,397.52	Prob Enhance	35,835.05
Recorder's Surcharge	41,508.18	Indigent Def/Dg	1,167.29
Crim Just/Atty	1,200.93	Bad Check Prog	2,008.81

Juv Prob Svs	1,665.97	Commodity Fd	891.76
Azeip Case Mgmt	192.18	Sexual Trans Disease	138.14
Hi Risk Chld HI	1,968.29	Clerk's Storage	1,054.19
HIV Counsel & Test	1,968.29	Atty Anti-Racket	5,011.77
PANT	2,781.73	Law Library	5,898.70
CASA	4,040.36	Case Processing	5,014.23
Prim. Care – V.V.	5,386.91	Vict Witns Prog	7,531.80
Court Enhancement	26,442.12	Council Court	3,312.99
Drug Enforcement Fund	3,775.22	Probate Fund	1,158.67
Primary Care Svs	13,805.54	PC Fees V.V.	24.15
Local ADR	250.00	Victims Rights Impl	3,388.10
JAIBG Juv Acct P-II	2,785.55	Yav. Indian Agree.	1,055.78
Dietetic Intern	625.70	Immuniz Service	1,754.30
Personal Care Svs	3,644.65	Idea-Preschool	1,940.87
Subs Abuse/DARE	456.23	Chem Abuse	615.22
Family Drug Court	702.09	Juvenile Drug Court	2,824.24
Juv Det/PACE	3,194.20	Collab. Comp Rev Gr	10,978.84
Special Program	29,063.25	Sm Schools Ecia	1,059.81
Sm Schools Beha	20,154.56	Public Awareness 04	87.05
Fill the Gap – Courts	5,619.55	School Facilities	2,444.46
Hurf Road Funds	365,742.50	Assessor Surcharge	3,252.26
Assessor App Dev	7,039.11	Health Fund	59,335.31
Jail Commissary	9,033.94	Landfill Administ.	33,175.59
Water Advisory Comm	3,025.57	Clinical NICP	128.11
Tire Recycle	29,606.48	Safe School Pro	6,777.62
Adhs-Svs Coord	572.20	Fill the Gap – Attorney	4,178.19
Family Law Commiss.	6,321.15	Comm Punish Pro	6,738.42
Juven. Detent Ed Pro	3,800.22	Regnl Road Project	109,491.98
Library Auto Consor	1,230.00	Health Start	3,624.74
Victim Compens. ACJC	20,280.21	Interstate Compact Pro	1,788.88
Ryan White II	3,021.52	Prepared. Bioterror	11,426.62
DUI Abatement 2003	254.57	Primary Care Fees	6,895.47
Perinatal Block	2,133.24	Well Woman Health	4,317.83
Tobacco Educ	10,313.01	St Imple. Grant	128.34
School Reso. – Mayer	1,358.86	St Grant in Aid	1,152.65
Az Reg. Supp. Center	2,312.61	Direct Treatment Fund	4,291.37
Mental HealthRWJF	3,670.37	Mental Health Part.	3,670.42
Field Trainer	1,877.18	Comm. Access Pro	7,315.28
Attendant Care	24,121.99	HIV/CT	340.93
HIV Prev. & Control	103.78	Childrens Justice	495.71
Child Sup & Vis	602.30	Domestic Relations Ed	481.48
DUI Abatement	659.14	Self Service	1,744.33
VOCA	7,019.51	Yav. Drug Court	1,917.01
JTSF Treatment	4,346.79	Diversion Conseq.	1,322.64
Capital Projects	9,746.43	Jail Construction	7,185.49
ALTCS	755,869.17		

In addition, payroll was issued on April 30 for the pay period ending April 24; warrant numbers 2442442 through 2442868, in the amount of \$391,835.30 Jury certificates issued during this time; 6865426 through 6865607. Warrants issued for May 3 Board day, 4216797 through 4217379; 4217380 through 4217799.

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned.

ATTEST:

_____Clerk _____Chairman

