

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES WITH SUPPLEMENTAL TRANSCRIPT  
(Where a supplemental transcript is available, it is printed in bold type)

OFFICE OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA

Prescott, Arizona

December 6, 2004

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session on December 6, 2004.

Present: Chip Davis, Chairman; Gheral Brownlow, Vice Chairman; Lorna Street, Member; Bev Staddon, Clerk.

Also present: Jim Holst, County Administrator; Dave Hunt, Board Attorney, Assistant County Administrator.

Clerk's note: A copy of these minutes with a supplemental transcript is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and is also available on the County website.

ITEM NO. 1. Board of Supervisors.

1. Present farewell gift to Supervisor Lorna Street. Supervisor Street said she was looking forward to a new chapter in her life, adding that she and her husband would be retiring to Tennessee. She opened her gift, which was a set of four cobalt blue glass coffee mugs with the County seal etched onto them. Supervisor Street thanked the Board and staff for the gift.
2. Recognition of the following Elected Officials and Department Directors for reaching a service milestone during calendar year 2004:
  - a. 5 Years of Service: Lynn Constabile, Elections Director; Warren Darrow, Superior Court Judge Pro Tem; Kenneth Ellis, Verde Valley Constable; Paul Street, County School Superintendent.
  - b. 10 Years of Service: William Kiger, Superior Court Judge; Sheila Polk, County Attorney; Richard Straub, Public Works Director.
  - c. 15 Years of Service: Gheral Brownlow, Supervisor, District 1; Buck Buchanan, County Sheriff; Barbara Kile, Library District Director.
  - d. 20 Years of Service: Jeanne Hicks, Clerk of Superior Court; Patrick Kirshman, Facilities/Parks Director.
3. Approve Resolution Ordering the Sale of \$11,840,000 Principal Amount of Central Yavapai Fire District of Yavapai County, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds; Setting the Date for Receipt of Bids; and Ordering Publication of the Notice of Sale of the Bonds, as requested by the Central Yavapai Fire District Board of Directors. Bond Attorney Fred Rosenfeld of Gust Rosenfeld and Deputy County Attorney Randy Schurr participated in discussion. Resolution No. 1559 was approved by unanimous vote, upon a motion by Supervisor Brownlow, seconded by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.
4. Discussion and possible action regarding an appraisal for the Juvenile Center and the morgue. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.
5. Consider approval of items appearing on the Consent Agenda for Board of Supervisors and on the Consent Agenda for Special Districts. With the exception of items 1.c., 1.j., 1.m., 3.c. on the Consent Agenda for Board of Supervisors and item 3. on the Consent Agenda for Special Districts, all items were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow. No comments from the public. See Consent Agenda for Board of Supervisors for details.

ITEM NO. 2. Prescott Area Urban Wildland Interface Commission Chairman Al Bates. Consider endorsement of the Yavapai Communities Wildfire Protection Plan. PAUWIC Chairman-elect Ken Iversen and Emergency Services Coordinator Nick Angiolillo participated in discussion of this item. Upon a motion by Supervisor Brownlow, seconded by Supervisor Street, the Board voted unanimously to endorse the plan as presented. Following this action, the Board presented a certificate of appreciation to Mr. Bates, thanking him for his dedicated service to protecting the community from wildfire.

Mr. Bates provided background information, saying that PAUWIC was formed in 1990 by a joint resolution of the Board of Supervisors and the Prescott City Council, and that the Interagency Fire and Emergency Management Group of the PAUWIC had chosen to take on the task of developing the Wildfire Protection Plan. He noted that the key agencies

involved with PAUWIC are the Prescott National Forest, Arizona State Land Department, City of Prescott Fire Department, Central Yavapai Fire District, and the Yavapai County Emergency Management Department. Mr. Iverson told the Board that the plan was really a road map to formalize and expand what PAUWIC had been doing for the last three and one-half years, and that the plan needed to be in place in order to qualify for federal funding in the future. Mr. Iverson briefly reviewed the plan, saying it was a collaborative community wildfire protection management tool to achieve effective fuel reduction and citizen awareness and that it was also a vehicle to formalize and expand coverage of risk assessments, prioritization, community outreach and hazardous fuel mitigation already in progress. He noted that the plan was required by the Healthy Forest Restoration Act of 2003 for communities considered to be at risk, adding that Prescott, Walker and Crown King were all "at risk" communities. In reviewing the boundary of the plan, Mr. Iverson noted that the plan area included not only forest, but also areas such as Wilhoit where chaparral was often as tall as a house. He said that PAUWIC very much appreciated an article that appeared in the paper last week by Daily Courier reporter Joanna Dodder providing information about the plan and which included a quote from the State Forester that the Prescott area was at least five years ahead of other communities in the state and perhaps even in the nation with regard to planning for wildfire. Supervisor Brownlow said he wanted to thank Mr. Bates for all of his efforts because he was the one who had advocated for planning long before anyone else saw the need for it. He said he strongly endorsed the plan. Supervisor Street said she also wholeheartedly endorsed the plan, adding that there were some very dedicated people involved in PAUWIC. Chairman Davis said that, thanks to Mr. Bates' vision, the Prescott area had a jump-start with regard to wildfire planning.

ITEM NO. 3. Public Works Director Richard Straub.

1. Hearing: Consider approval of a resolution to exchange right-of-way on a portion of Spencer Street, Black Canyon City, with the stipulation that the property owner convey legal access to parcel 501-12-003 prior to finalization of the exchange. Resolution No. 1560 was approved by unanimous vote. No comments from the public.
2. Consider approval of intergovernmental agreement JPA 04-070 with the State of Arizona for design and construction of sidewalks along Business 40 from 3<sup>rd</sup> Street to 8<sup>th</sup> Street and landscaping and sidewalks around the Ash Fork Historical Maintenance Building, Ash Fork area. No County funds involved. Approved by unanimous vote after Mr. Straub said that ADOT would be responsible for maintenance of the sidewalks. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.
3. Consider approval of Amendment No. 2 to the Facilities Management/Use Agreement between Yavapai County and the Ash Fork Development Association for maintenance of irrigation and landscaping at the Ash Fork Maintenance Building. No County funds involved. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.
4. Permission to purchase a Polyethylene Tank Storage System for snow and ice removal, at a cost of \$4,767.21 to be paid from HURF. Supervisor Brownlow moved to approve with the understanding that the old tank would be removed. Supervisor Street seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote. No comments from the public.
5. Consider approval of Authorization of Services No. 24-14012 with Transystems Corp. for professional bridge evaluation services for 13 bridges, in an amount not to exceed \$27,583.93, to be paid from HURF Bridge Repair. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.

Mr. Straub said the County had more than 50 bridges that ADOT inspects each year, and that ADOT wanted to put structural limits on many of them. He said he did not want to start putting low load limits on bridges based on ADOT's inspection but instead would like to hire a competent bridge engineer to evaluate the bridges to see what types of loads the 13 bridges in question could carry. Supervisor Brownlow noted that in the past former County Engineer Jerry Mumford had provided bridge inspection services for the County and he asked if this was something that Mr. Mumford might do again. Mr. Straub said this would be more than Mr. Mumford would want to take on considering that he was retired.

6. Permission to purchase materials to landscape the County's portion of the median on Willow Creek Road, at a cost of \$19,150 to be paid from HURF. Approved by unanimous vote after Mr. Straub noted that this would be paid for from District 1 project funds. Motion by Supervisor Brownlow, second by Supervisor Street. No comments from the public.
7. Discussion regarding a Draft Plan for improvements on SR 89 between Center Street in Chino Valley and SR 89A in Prescott. Chino Valley Mayor Karen Fann and ADOT District Engineer Tom Foster participated in discussion of this item. The Board took no action as this was a discussion item only.

Mr. Straub noted that the Board had recently approved moving forward with preliminary plans for the entire Highway 89 corridor and that there had been a number of meetings with the Town of Chino Valley and also with property owners along both sides of the highway. He reviewed the draft plan, saying that Phase 1 would involve building the interchange at SR89/SR89A and at Side Road and SR89A; Phase 2 would involve building high-priority improvements identified by the Enhanced Project Assessment; and that Phase 3 would involve building the remaining improvements. Mr. Straub said he anticipated starting Phase 1 in 2005-2006, adding that ADOT's money for the project might not be available at that point and that it might be necessary to look at ways to advance the project in light of that. He said that projects identified in the Project Assessment could begin as early as 2006-2007, with Phase 3 projects beginning perhaps as early as 2008. Mr. Straub told the Board that Phase 1 funding would consist of \$5.8 million for the SR89/SR89A interchange, with ADOT contributing \$4.3 million, Yavapai County contributing \$500,000 and the Central Yavapai Metropolitan Planning Organization contributing \$1 million. He noted that the Side Road/SR89A interchange would cost \$2.9 million and would be funded by the County and the City of Prescott. Mr. Straub said he believed it was important to move forward with right-of-way acquisition as soon as possible. Chairman Davis said he would encourage the approach Mr. Straub had described, adding that no one could afford to wait for these improvements to get into the ADOT five-year plan. He said if the County could acquire the right-of-way now, it could sell it to ADOT later on. Mr. Straub said he would develop a plan for right-of-way acquisition and bring it back to the Board. Mayor Fann thanked the Board for its efforts to ensure that improvements are made to SR89. She said the urgency for improvements was already present, with 24,000 cars per day traveling the road. She told the Board that the corporate limits of Chino Valley were the same size as Prescott and Prescott Valley combined and that traffic would only increase over the next few years. Mayor Fann said that plans for development of ranches in the Granite Dells area called for as many as 16,000 homes to be built and that SR89 was the only access to that area. She said that developers had already approached her saying they want to build hotels, restaurants and grocery stores in the Chino Valley area and that they had already purchased land along SR89, and that now was the time to act with regard to right-of-way acquisition. Chairman Davis asked if the Town of Chino Valley had any money to help pay for the improvements. Mayor Fann responded that the town did not have a property tax, but that it had increased its sales tax by 1% and implemented impact fees and that while the town did not have much money right now, money from the sale tax increase and the impact fees would be there eventually. She noted that the town had been saving money to put in a traffic light at Road 2 South. Mr. Foster said that this project would be quite an undertaking without the County's help, adding that there were many road needs all across the state. He said that the entire project would cost about \$30 million. Supervisor Street said she was in favor of moving forward with the plan. Chairman Davis asked about funding. Mr. Straub said he thought that during budget discussions in the spring the Board could look at where some funding might be found. Supervisor Brownlow said he and Mr. Straub had met with property owner Ron James, who owns much of the land along SR89, and that Mr. James seemed to be in favor of the plan. He said he also believed that Side Road was a unique problem because when the Airport Connector was put in it cut off that subdivision and the County had promised it would do something about that.

8. Consider approval of items appearing on the Consent Agenda for Public Works. All items were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow No comments from the public.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR PUBLIC WORKS: All items were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow. No comments from the public.

1. Consider accepting fiscal year 2005 Local Transportation Assistance Funds (LTAF II) in the amount of \$193,273.67 and entering into grant agreements with various public transportation providers who qualify for financial aid under the 2005 LTAF II guidelines. No cost to the County.
2. Consider accepting right-of-way for a portion of Buchanan Drive, Williamson Valley area.
3. Consider approval of a contract extension with Sedona Recycles for Recycling Services in the Verde Area for a period of one year, Contract #2211716. To be paid from Solid Waste.
4. Consider approval of Change Order #1 (final) with Grady's Quality Excavating, Inc. in the increased amount of \$148.96 for the Central Avenue Overlay Project – Mayer, Yavapai County, Arizona, Project #2414258. Half-cent sales tax project.
5. Consider approval of Change Order #2 with NCCI, Inc. in the increase amount of \$2,011 for the Ash Fork Maintenance Building Rehabilitation Project in Yavapai County, AZ, Project #2413813. To be paid from Ash Fork Maintenance Building account, to be reimbursed by ADOT.
6. Consider approval of Change Order #2 (Final for Chino Valley area) with Cactus Transport, Inc., for the Cordes Lakes Area and Chino Valley Area Double Chip Seal Paving Project in Yavapai County, Arizona, Project #2311827, in the decreased amount of \$6,375.61. HURF funded.
7. Award or reject bids received for Guardrail Construction at Various Locations in Yavapai County, Arizona, Project #2414259. Bids opened November 23, 2004, with bids received from the following vendors: Arizona Highway Safety Specialists, Inc., \$216,817.80; Phillips Three, Inc., \$246,543. Recommend awarding contract to Arizona Highway Safety Specialists, Inc. in the amount of \$216,817, to be paid from HURF.
8. Approve release of all funds being held in retention under the financial assurances for Cathedral Rock Ranch Phase 1. Roads are private and will not receive County maintenance.
9. Approve release of all funds being held in retention under the financial assurances for Cathedral Rock Ranch Phase 2. Roads are private and will not receive County maintenance.
10. Approve release of all funds being held in retention under the financial assurances for Cross Creek Ranch. Roads are private and will not receive County maintenance.
11. Consider accepting Iron Hawk and Whispering Canyon Drive, located in Whispering Canyon Phases 1 and 2, as fully-maintained County roads and release all funds being held in retention under the financial assurances.
12. Consider accepting Long Meadow Ranch Access Road as a fully-maintained County road and release funds held in retention under the financial assurances.

ITEM NO. 4. Development Services Director Ken Spedding and Development Services Assistant Director Enalo Lockard. Planning and zoning. Also participating were Planners Mike Kelly and Chris Bridges, Principal Planner Elise Link, and Deputy County Attorney Randy Schurr. Planning & Zoning Commission member Walter Burcham was present to represent the Commission.

1. Consider approval of items appearing on the Consent Agenda for Planning and Zoning. All items were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow. No comments from the public.
2. Consideration of a zoning map change from RCU-2A (Residential 2 acre minimum lot size) to C1 (commercial neighborhood sales and services) for an approximately 5 acre parcel, proposed to be developed with a 7,700 sq. ft. medical building and seven 4,000 sq. ft. retail buildings. Located on the northwest and southwest corners of the Old Black Canyon Hwy./Oasis Dr. intersection in Black Canyon City. SEC 3 T8N R 2E G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended to deny the zoning map change. If approved by Board of Supervisors; staff recommends the following stipulation: Applicant to submit a complete final site plan application for the subject property, for Commission and Board Review and approval, within two years of zoning map change approval by the Board. Fencing/vegetative screening plan, that takes into account adjacent, elevated residential properties and the potential impact of vehicle headlights, noise, etc., to be submitted with final site plan application. Final site plan to depict no more than 35,700 sq. ft. of commercial buildings and required parking. No one building to exceed 7,700 sq. ft. in size. Mr. Kelly noted that it would require a unanimous vote of the Board to approve the application. The following persons spoke in favor of the application, generally citing the need for medical facilities in the area: Elizabeth Gross, Lee Johns, Dave Albins, Esther Britain, Duke Trepiccione, Tom Birch, Monica Snelling, Laura Seppala, Nancy Hale, Jim Stevens, Donna Rice and Kent Etter. The following persons spoke in

opposition to the application, generally citing close proximity to residences, traffic concerns and the size of the project: Bobby Rhodes, Robert Shewchuk, Michael J. Thomas, Arnold E. Tropf, Robert Peck, and Donna Hunter. Supervisor Street moved to approve the application with the following stipulations: (1) Applicant to submit a complete final site plan application for the subject property, for Commission and Board review and approval, within two years of zoning map change approval by the Board. Fencing/vegetative screening plan that takes into account adjacent elevated residential properties and the potential impact of vehicle headlights, noise, etc., to be submitted with final site plan application. Final site plan to depict no more than 35,700 square feet of commercial buildings and required parking. No one building to exceed 7,700 square feet in size; and (2) Property to be developed with any of the uses allowed with the C1 zoning district except for laundrettes, auto service stations, hotel/motel/resorts, or liquid propane dispensing stations. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion. Chairman Davis called for the vote. Supervisors Street and Brownlow voted "yes" and Chairman Davis voted "no." Following further discussion, Chairman Davis moved to rescind the vote. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried unanimously. Supervisor Davis then moved to approve the application with the following stipulations: (1) Applicant to submit a complete final site plan application for the subject property, for Commission and Board review and approval, within two years of zoning map change approval by the Board. Fencing/vegetative screening plan that takes into account adjacent, elevated residential properties and the potential impact of vehicle headlights, noise, etc., to be submitted with final site plan application. Final site plan to depict no more than 20,000 square feet of commercial buildings and required parking. No one building to exceed 7,700 square feet in size. Property shall include a medical facility and pharmacy; and (2) In addition to the medical facility and pharmacy, property may be developed with any of the uses allowed with the C1 zoning district except for laundrettes, auto service stations, hotel/motel/resorts, and liquid propane dispensing stations. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

Mr. Kelly provided background information on this application, saying that the Black Canyon City community plan would allow this type of zoning in this location and that the Black Canyon City Chamber of Commerce was in favor of it. He said that most of the letters of concern were from people who lived within 300 feet of the proposed project. Mr. Kelly said that, generally, the whole community was supportive of a medical facility but that the people who live behind the proposed project were concerned about the intensity of it because it would provide for about 36,000 square feet of buildings and 200 parking spaces. He said that because of the number of protests filed, it would require a unanimous vote of the Board to approve the application. Mr. Kelly said the Planning & Zoning Commission had asked the applicant to defer the application in order to go back and try to work something out with the neighbors but that the applicant did not want to do that and that it was his opinion that because of the applicant's reluctance to continue working with the community the Commission had voted to deny the application. Dr. Hancock said that about eight years ago he had started a practice in New River and that he also operated facilities in Anthem and in Spring Valley. He said he provided services on a sliding scale according to income and that he had gotten Black Canyon City approved as a National Health Service Site, which meant that doctors could repay their school loans by practicing in that area. Dr. Hancock said it was difficult to get specialists to go to Black Canyon City and that he had arranged for a hospital to help provide funding for this project. He told the Board that one of the reasons he did not want to defer the application was that all of the funding he had lined up for the project would expire in January. Dr. Hancock said the fire department had endorsed the project and that he felt it was a good plan for the community. He said the density of the project was very light, that a traffic study would need to be done, and that he anticipated phasing everything in over ten years. Chairman Davis asked Dr. Hancock if there was some reason he did not just parcel out the property and go after approval of the phases he was ready to work on. Dr. Hancock said the owner of the property could only split it a certain number of times. Chairman Davis called for comments from the public, asking those present to be brief and to keep in mind that the Board had reviewed all of the materials forwarded from the Planning & Zoning Commission hearing on this item. Mr. Rhodes said that Dr. Hancock made the project sound like a good thing, but that there were homes within eight steps of the proposed project. He said that people living in the vicinity of the project had a neighborhood meeting and that not one person was in favor of the project. Mr. Rhodes said that Dr. Hancock had not been very nice to the neighbors

and that he had been arrogant. Ms. Gross said she had lived in Black Canyon City for 20 years and that while she did not live next to the proposed project she did not want to have to drive to Phoenix or Anthem in order to receive medical services and that there were many senior citizens in the community who were in the same situation. Mr. Shewchuk talked about outsiders coming in and giving a dog-and-pony show, saying that such people said whatever they thought the community wanted to hear. He described the proposed project as a Trojan horse, and said there was other property available in the area that was already zoned C2. Mr. Thomas said he lived in the fifth house down from the project and that although his property was within 300 feet of the applicant's property, he had never received any notification about the application. He said that when he purchased his home he was told the area was residential. Ms. Johns said she first wanted to say good-bye to Supervisor Street. She said that although she no longer lived in Black Canyon City she still owned property there, that she had been director of the Black Canyon City Chamber of Commerce for about five years, and that people associated with that group were always happy to have doctors come into the community. She said she believed Dr. Hancock's plan for medical facilities and a pharmacy were awesome and that she was shocked that the Commission had recommended denial of the application. Mr. Albins said his parents, Phil and Jeane Albins, were instrumental in the development of property in the Black Canyon City area, and that his sister had been a realtor. He said that people who seemed to have complaints about the proposed project were probably sold their property by his sister and that she would have made sure they knew that the large parcel near their homes was for commercial development. He said he was in favor of the project. Ms. Britain said there was a need for bigger and better medical facilities, and that the existing facility in Black Canyon City was antiquated. Mr. Tropf expressed concerns about run-off from the property and about what type of sewage system would be installed. Mr. Trepiccione said he had lived in Black Canyon City since 1989 and that he was president of the Chamber of Commerce for four years. He said that flooding was a problem all over the community. He said when he moved to Black Canyon City there was a doctor who came to town three days a week and that the type of project Dr. Hancock was proposing would be a godsend for the community. Mr. Trepiccione said the people who were opposed to the project did have valid concerns, but that he believed the advantages of the project would outweigh the disadvantages. Black Canyon Fire District Fire Chief Tom Birch said he was speaking on behalf of the fire district and as a private citizen. He said that the fire district did not really have an opinion about the project, but that he believed the district would favor it because the district's firefighters had to deal with injured and sick people every day and a new medical facility would be a benefit. He said that as a private citizen he strongly supported the project because he did not want his wife and children having to travel on I-17 in order to obtain medical care. Ms. Snelling said she was Dr. Hancock's office manager and a ten-year resident of Black Canyon City. She said there were many people in the community who could not drive or who should not drive to medical appointments. She said the plan would also provide for retail space and that this could bring some jobs into the area. She added that something would eventually be built on the property anyhow. Ms. Hunter said that most people, including herself, were not opposed to having a medical facility in the community, but that Dr. Hancock had chosen to not work with the neighbors. She expressed concern about school buses picking up and dropping off children at Mesquite and Oasis and traffic impacts from the proposed project. Ms. Hunter asked the Board to consider downsizing the project and moving access to the project onto Old Black Canyon Highway and requiring a traffic light. Ms. Seppala said she was a 23-year resident of Black Canyon City and that probably only 1% of the people in the area were not in favor of the project. Ms. Hale said she had heard good things about Dr. Hancock, that I-17 was a nightmare and that some people were moving out of the community because of the lack of medical facilities. Mr. Peck said there was a real need for a medical center and pharmacy but not for restaurants. He said that traffic on Mesquite was already bad and that if the property was rezoned it would be worse. He said he believed that Dr. Hancock should share with residents what he really intends to do with the property. Mr. Stevens said he lived on Oasis and that he believed the real problem was that Dr. Hancock had been out-politicianed. He said he knew Dr. Hancock personally and that there had been a great deal of disinformation spread about this project. Ms. Rice said she had lived in the area for three years and was

in favor of the project. Mr. Etter said the property had long been designated for commercial uses. Dr. Hancock said that a traffic study would be done and that the property was designated for medium commercial and that he was talking about light commercial uses with restrictions and low density. Supervisor Brownlow asked who owned the C2 property to the east of the highway. Dr. Hancock said that Mr. and Mrs. Albins owned part of it and that he also owned some. Supervisor Brownlow said that if the rezoning was approved, the applicant would have to be in compliance on sewer, flood issues, turn lanes and proper screening. He said this issue reminded him of the fight that people in Prescott put up against the mall and that in that case the outcome was higher property values for people living near the mall. Supervisor Brownlow said it had taken many years for him to get medical facilities in Seligman and Ash Fork but that he had some concerns about how much Dr. Hancock was asking for. He asked Dr. Hancock if he would consider cutting out part of the project on the north side, saying that as things were it appeared that if the Board approved the application it would be handing Dr. Hancock a blank check. He asked Dr. Hancock how he could assure the Board that he would be open a certain number of days a week. Dr. Hancock said the medical facility would be open Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. or maybe 6:00 p.m. and that most of that part of the project would be closest to the residential area. He said the pharmacy and physical therapy facilities were planned for the other side and that he was also planning to have offices for other physicians. He said the back part of the property would be in use Monday through Friday and that the front part of the property would be in use seven days a week. Dr. Hancock said the only things that could go on the property would be health-related and light retail such as an insurance office or small restaurant. He said he did not have plans for a lot of the property but that he would restrict it to light uses. Supervisor Street said the nearest doctor to her was about 40 miles away and that she understood how important it was to have medical facilities close by. She said she always looked at whether what was being requested was an appropriate use for the property, adding that the Board had policies that it followed. Supervisor Street said that if an applicant had done everything he was supposed to do then she did not care if 700 people showed up to oppose the application, and that it was a question of whether the applicant had really done what he was supposed to do. She said the subject property had been designated commercial for years and that everyone who bought land near it knew that. She said she had spoken to Dr. Hancock once and had told him she felt that people became nervous when they saw C1 or C2 zoning because of the list of uses for that type of property and that she had suggested that he limit the uses on his property and develop in phases. She said that if the application was approved, the uses needed to be medical related. Supervisor Street said that if it was possible, the Board could restrict the uses to medical but that she could see the need for food service also. She said it was a very good project and that she was totally in favor of it. She added that she did not know that screening would do much good, but that Dr. Hancock's proposal was an appropriate and expected use of the property. Ms. Link said that staff had spoken with Dr. Hancock about the north parcel and about the possibility of coming in at different times with site plans but that he did not want to do that. She said that as a result, there were stipulations that staff would ask be included should the Board decide to approve the application. Following the vote to approve the application with the stipulations, which failed because it was not unanimous, Chairman Davis said he believed there was plenty of commercial property in the Black Canyon City area. He said that Dr. Hancock had the opportunity to work with the neighbors and that instead he had decided to bring his application to the Board. He said he believed this request for rezoning was speculative. Supervisor Brownlow asked Chairman Davis if he would reconsider if Dr. Hancock agreed to drop the north section of the project and just develop the southern portion. Dr. Hancock said he was fine with that and that he thought that would allow him to do most of what he needed to do. Supervisor Brownlow asked whether that would be legal in terms of splitting the property. Dr. Hancock said that would be his problem and that it would probably mean dense residential on the other side. He said he could not leave that piece of property vacant and that something would have to happen with it at some point. Chairman Davis said that Dr. Hancock had said earlier that he could not split that parcel off. Dr. Hancock said he had purchased the entire property so he would have to address that issue later. He said what was being discussed now was developing just the one parcel and that doing

this would cut the project in half. Chairman Davis asked if there was any way to assure the people in Black Canyon City that medical facilities would be developed on the site. Dr. Hancock said he was willing to stipulate that the rear building would be medical, saying that buildings toward the front would be a pharmacy and something else. Chairman Davis said if Dr. Hancock could stipulate to that and address the concerns about splits he would reconsider. Mr. Schurr said the Board could rezone just a portion of the property and stipulate that it must have a medical facility. Following the vote to rescind the first vote and the vote to approve the application with new stipulations, Mr. Schurr said the applicant had agreed with the stipulations and understood that he could approach future Boards of Supervisors to request rezoning of the other piece of the property.

3. Hearing: Use permit to allow the restoration of the historic Granite Dells swimming pool and dance hall for events for a maximum of 300 people and a maximum of 52 events per year, and a caretaker's residence, in an R1L-35 zoning district, Granite Dells Retreat, 106-10-017C, Prescott area, Peter Bourgois agent for Mark Wirth, #H4159. Consideration of a Use Permit to allow the restoration of the historic Granite Dells swimming pool and dance hall into a gathering place for events such as weddings, reunions and corporate retreats for a maximum of 300 people per event and a maximum of 52 events per year and a caretaker's residence located in an R1L-35 (Residential; Single Family Limited) zoning district on an approximate 23 acre portion of an approximate 79 acre parcel. Located on the east side of State Route 89, approximately 3,500 feet north of the SR89/Willow Lake Road intersection and 1,000 feet east of the SR89/Granite Dells Road intersection on Granite Dells Road in the Granite Dells area. SEC 12 TWN 14 N R 2 W G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended to deny the use permit, as they felt this was not an appropriate use for a residentially zoned area. The following persons spoke in opposition to this application, generally citing the concern that this would be too intensive a use for a residential area: Linda Lee, Alison Holmes, Connie Etzkin, Steve Neilson and Patrick Kelley. Chairman Davis moved to approve the recommendation of the Planning & Zoning Commission. His motion died for lack of a second. Supervisor Brownlow moved to refer the application back to the Planning & Zoning Commission. Supervisor Street seconded the motion, which carried by a 2-to-1 vote, with Supervisors Brownlow and Street voting "yes" and Chairman Davis voting "no."

Mr. Bridges told the Board that earlier on this day staff had received a letter from the applicant requesting that this item be sent back to the Commission, which he said would put it on the Commission's agenda in February 2005 with it coming back to the Board in March of 2005. He said that most of the opposition to the application came from residents in the Granite Gardens subdivision. He said the applicant wanted to retain as many trees as possible on the site and that he was also requesting a gravel parking area. Mr. Bridges said it would be necessary to have a traffic study, particularly as the sight distance was quite limited. Mr. Bourgois said he realized that this was the last Board meeting in Prescott for Supervisors Brownlow and Street and that he wanted to thank them both for their service. He told the Board there were several reasons for requesting a deferral of the application. He said it was the owner's intent to preserve a community resource and landmark, and that the property used to be a great place for the community to gather. Mr. Bourgois said he knew the applicant had spoken with representatives of Sharlot Hall Museum and other organizations about how to preserve an historic property and still make it work from an economic standpoint. He said he was surprised at the number of neighbors who had appeared at the Commission hearing because he had received only one letter of opposition. Mr. Bourgois said he was requesting that the Board not make a decision on this day so that the applicant could address the opposition issues that had been raised by staff, the neighbors, and ADOT. He said he realized this would mean appearing again before the Commission and probably meeting with the neighbors again, and that if a deferral was not possible then he would like to withdraw the application. Chairman Davis said he wished Mr. Bourgois had made that decision at the Commission meeting, but that he believed his decision was an honorable one. Supervisor Brownlow said he would hate to see the application withdrawn because there was no one present on this who knew the history of the property better than he did. He asked Mr. Bourgois if the applicant intended to use port-a-johns for the events. Mr. Bourgois said the applicant would like to be able to do that. Mr. Spedding said that whether or not they could be used would be based on the number



of people attending an event. Mr. Bourgois said there was an existing sanitary system at the house. Supervisor Brownlow said he was in favor of deferring the application. Ms. Lee said that no one could change the configuration of boulders in the area and she asked why one person should profit at the expense of all the other residents. She said she had moved to the area for peace and quiet and that there was already a problem with people leaving garbage in the area. Ms. Holmes asked that the Board not defer the application, but instead deny it so that residents would not have to keep coming back to meetings. She said there were not only humans to consider with regard to this application, but also wildlife. She read a letter from a Dr. Shomonsky, who she said owned property in the area and was opposed to the application. Ms. Etzkin said she was a property owner in the area but had received no information about this application. She said that when the applicant had events for his own family there was trash left behind and that the area was not a good place for this type of use. Mr. Neilson said he had lived in the Granite Dells area for 25 years, that the road into the area was the only ingress and egress and that the proposal for 52 events per year for up to 300 people was ridiculous. He said that because of the rocks in the area, people could hear events going on as far away as Watson Lake Park. Mr. Neilson asked that the Board deny the application, saying that the applicant wanted commercial uses without addressing any of the infrastructure issues. Mr. Kelley said the applicant's plan was unclear and that almost anything could end up on the property. He reminded the Board that the Commission had recommended denial, adding that the applicant knew the property was residential when he purchased it. Supervisor Brownlow asked how many homes could go on the applicant's property. Those who had spoken in opposition to the application said they would not be opposed to seeing homes built on the property. Supervisor Brownlow asked Mr. Bourgois if the applicant would be willing to reduce the scope of the project. Mr. Bourgois said he would like to walk out of this day's meeting with a permit, but that he would like to know it was a good permit. Chairman Davis asked if the swimming pool would be restored. Mr. Bourgois said no, that only the pond would be restored. Mr. Burcham said if the Board wanted to send the application back to the Commission, the Commission would see what it could work out.

**CONSENT AGENDA FOR PLANNING AND ZONING:** All items were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow. No comments from the public.

1. Zoning map change from R1L-12 and RCU-2A to RMM-12, Sutton Manufactured Home, 500-15-058 and 077, Mayer area, David Sutton, #H4158. Consideration of a Zoning Map Change from R1L-12 (Residential; Single-Family Limited to site built structures only; 12,000 square foot minimum) and RCU-2A (Residential; Single-Family; Rural; 2 acre minimum) to RMM-12 (Residential; Single-Family; Site built, factory built, and multi-sectional manufactured homes, no single-wide manufactured homes or mobile homes 12,000 square foot minimum) to allow a manufactured home to be placed on the site, consisting of a total of approximately .44 acres. Located on Miami Street, approximately .34 miles (1,800 feet) southwest of the Central Avenue/S.R.-69 intersection in the community of Mayer. S26 and 27, T12N, R1E, G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission voted to approve the zoning map change, with the following stipulations: 1). Development to be in conformance with Letter of Intent and site plan dated September 10, 2004; 2). Applicants shall obtain building permits/zoning clearances within one (1) year of Board of Supervisors approval; 3). Development to conform to all applicable codes and ordinances.
2. Use permit for installation and operation of an electrical substation in an RCU-2A zoning district, Kirkland Junction Substation, 205-25-001A, Kirkland area, Steve Deming agent for Arizona Public Service, #H4146. Consideration of a Use Permit to allow the installation and operation of an electrical substation on an approximately .92-acre parcel in a RCU-2A (Residential; Single-Family; Rural; 2-acre minimum) zoning district. Located on the west side S.R.-89, approximately .44 miles southwest of the S.R.-89/Kirkland Valley Road intersection in the vicinity of Kirkland Junction. S22 T12N R04W G&SRB&M. The Planning and Zoning Commission voted to approve the use permit, with the following stipulations: 1). Use permit shall be granted on a permanent, non-transferable basis consistent with the letter of intent dated August 25, 2004 and site plan, and shall be in conformance with all applicable county codes and ordinances; 2). Waiver of Section 567 (Protective Screening) solid screening requirement to be replaced by a slatted chain-link fence; 3). All other state and federal regulations shall apply; 4). Certificate of Compliance shall be granted within one (1) year of the Board approval date.
3. Final plat, Talking Rock Ranch Phase 9 A-D, 306-35-257W, Williamson Valley area, Shephard Wesnitzer, Inc., #H4182. Consideration of a final plat for an approximately 189 acre parcel, depicting

107 single family residential lots, ranging in size from approximately one to four acres in a PAD (Planned Area Development) zoning district. Twenty plus acres of the parcel will be reserved as common open space. Located on the east side of Williamson Valley Road, approximately 3000 ft. north of the Williamson Valley Road/Nancy Drive intersection in Williamson Valley. SEC 15 & 22 TWN 16N R 3W G&SRB&M.

4. Replat of a final plat, Talking Rock Ranch Phase 8A Replat, 306-35-257Q, Williamson Valley Area, Shephard Wesnitzer, Inc., #H4187. Consideration of a Replat of a final plat to adjust drainage easements to allow greater flexibility with home placement on certain lots for an approximately 126 acre parcel, depicting 80 single family residential lots, ranging in size from approximately 22,000 sq. ft. to 4.9 acres, with an average lot size of 1.27 acres on property zoned PAD known as Talking Rock Ranch. Approximately twenty three acres of the property will be reserved as common open space. Located approximately 800 ft. north east of the Williamson Valley Road/Nancy Drive intersection in Williamson Valley. SEC 22 TWN 16N R3W G&SRB&M.

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES BUSINESS: Items 1.a. through 1.g. were all approved by unanimous vote, upon a motion by Supervisor Brownlow, seconded by Supervisor Street. There were no comments from the public.

1. Hearings: Conduct hearings on the following street name changes and in each case consider waiving the street name change filing fee for purposes of public safety:
  - a. Rename East Holly Drive and a portion of Tranquil Trail to Grand View Road, Prescott area. Resolution No. 1561.
  - b. Rename a portion of Happy Valley Road to Burton Drive, Highland Pines area. Resolution No. 1562.
  - c. Rename a portion of Silver King Circle to Friendly Pines Road, Groom Creek area. Resolution No. 1563.
  - d. Rename Huff-N-Puff Drive and a portion of Grand View Road to Tranquil Trail, Prescott area. Resolution No. 1564.
  - e. Rename Walnut Street to Walnut Drive, Prescott area. Resolution No. 1565.
  - f. Rename a portion of Barrington Road to Shelburne Road, Wilhoit area. Resolution No. 1566.
  - g. Rename road previously referred to as Fain Road to Old Fain Road, Dewey area. Resolution No. 1567.
2. Report on Forest Health Meeting held in Flagstaff on October 26, 2004, and related legislative issues. Steven Mauk, Land Use Manager.

Due to the lateness of the hour, Mr. Mauk provided a very abbreviated report, saying the meeting was attended mostly by representatives of cities and counties, and that while there was interest in jurisdictions have a wildland interface code there was not much interest in having a mandatory code.

ITEM NO. 5. Presiding Judge of Superior Court Robert Brutinel. Update on the Early Disposition Court program.

Judge Brutinel gave a brief presentation, saying that at the time the jail lawsuit was filed there were more than 600 inmates in the jail and that cases were being resolved at the rate of 43% in 90 days. He said that implementation of the EDC program had increased the number of judges dealing with criminal cases to four and that a "no continuance" policy had also been implemented. Judge Brutinel said the press had asked if too many people were being let out of jail early. He said it was a concern, but that everyone involved tried to be very careful about who is released and that he would begin tracking to see who commits crimes while on early release. Judge Brutinel said that following the implementation of the EDC program there was a large drop in the jail population and that there had been a dramatic drop in the number of pending criminal cases. He said that 30% of the cases going through the EDC program were being disposed of by the end of the first week and that the actions of the County Attorney and the Public Defender have a substantial effect on the system. He noted that the number of cases going to the grand jury had dropped by 40% since the inception of the program. In response to comments made by Supervisor Brownlow about the grand jury process, Judge Brutinel said he, personally, could not change public policy. He said he did have the authority to eliminate grand juries in Yavapai County but that the County did not have the resources to replace that process with preliminary hearings. Judge Brutinel said there were still problems with getting timely disclosure from all agencies, and that he was not had enough

lawyers committed to the EDC program. He said that interim Public Defender Janet Lincoln might need to have more lawyers in order to be able to commit more of them to the program, whether they are contract lawyers or in-house lawyers. Supervisor Street asked if the private attorneys were going along with the "no continuance" policy. Judge Brutinel said they were. He said the judges had shown a great commitment to making the program work and that a criminal trial was almost never continued anymore. He said that even the judges with no criminal assignments were trying criminal cases in an effort to keep things moving forward, even though it meant that sometimes a civil case might be continued. Judge Brutinel said that people were working very hard to make the EDC program successful, including the Clerk of Superior Court and her staff, Judge Kiger, the justices of the peace, the County Attorney's office and the defense attorneys. He said he thought everyone felt the system was working better than it ever had, that everything was on track and that the program was reaching its goals sooner than anticipated.

ITEM NO. 6. County Administrator Jim Holst, MIS Director Stephen Welsh, Clerk of Superior Court Jeanne Hicks and Records Management Director Lorri Carlson. Study session for discussion only regarding a possible joint scanning system for the Clerk of Superior Court and Records management. Presiding Judge of Superior Court Robert Brutinel participated in discussion.

Mr. Holst noted that he and Ms. Carlson had been meeting with departments to find out about their scanning needs and had found that the Clerk of Superior Court was way ahead of them because she had already obtained a grant for scanning. He said that staff had discussed whether it would be possible to size the Clerk of Superior Court's system to take on other users and have it maintained through the County's MIS Department and work into a network-wide scanning system. He acknowledged that this was happening a little sooner than staff had anticipated, but said staff would at least like to discuss the possibilities with the Board. Chairman Davis asked if this was something that had been discussed during the budget process. Mr. Holst said it had been discussed for many years but that nothing had moved forward. He said it was probably a good thing that things had not moved forward because the technology now was much more user friendly. Ms. Hicks explained that she had obtained a grant from the state supreme court. She said she was very willing to share her software with other departments and that she was excited about the possibility of doing so, but that her funds would not cover the entire cost. She said her proposal was to have other departments that want to use the core software reimburse a certain percentage to her storage and retrieval fund so that she would be able to recover some of her expenses in order to continue keeping her department up-to-date. Mr. Welsh told the Board that the proposal would improve the reliability of the system not only for the courts but for all departments. He said the court software would become common throughout all departments and that he felt a system could be created that would allow the County to move forward and retrieve scanned information more readily than it currently can. He said it would be best to have a single system throughout the County. Chairman Davis said he thought there had been efforts to standardize equipment requests through the MIS Department but that apparently some departments were still going out to bid on their own. Mr. Holst said the Recorder's Office had been on its system for a number of years, and that departments were working through the MIS Department for equipment requests. Ms. Carlson told the Board there were great stacks of boxes at the Records Management Center and that only 8% of the space in the building was still available for paper storage. She said that last year the RMC had taken in 1,050 boxes more than it had destroyed and that it would be necessary to start within the departments to eliminate the dependency on paper. She said that she and Ms. Hicks had been interested in the same type of system and that it was a good solution for taking a comprehensive approach to the problem of records storage. Ms. Carlson said that most of the records storage space was used by six departments and that she felt those departments should contribute to the cost of the system. Chairman Davis asked if this type of system would reduce the need for employees in the Records Management Department. Ms. Carlson said she was short on help now and that she could not say that it would reduce the number of staff needed to operate her department but that it could make a difference in some of the other departments. Ms. Hicks noted that she had entered into a funding agreement with the supreme court and that it required that if funds were to be used in some manner other

than what she originally proposed there would have to be something in place for long-term maintenance and support of the servers. She added that once everything is scanned there would be no need to pull files because people would be able to look up documents on their computers. She said she did not see her department cutting staff, but that she did think having a scanning system would help limit the need for additional staff. Supervisor Street asked if someone could be hired to just come in and film everything, similar to what the Assessor's Office did some years ago. Ms. Carlson said that scanning would create a digital image that could be microfilmed. Supervisor Street asked how long microfilm had to be kept. Ms. Carlson responded that it was on the same retention schedule as anything else. Judge Brutinel said he would like to be able to get to an e-file system for all the courts so that lawyers would no longer be filing paper. He indicated he had already implemented such a system for Division 2 of the Superior Court. Chairman Davis asked what staff needed to move forward. Mr. Holst said staff would go over the discussion on this day and bring something back to the Board for a Contingency transfer in order to move forward with the program.

ITEM NO. 7. Convene in executive session pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(3) and (A)(4) for legal advice regarding public roads. Approved by unanimous vote, upon a motion by Supervisor Brownlow, seconded by Supervisor Street.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS: Unless otherwise noted, all items were approved by unanimous vote, upon a motion by Supervisor Street, seconded by Supervisor Brownlow. No comments from the public.

1. Requests from Board of Supervisors:

- a. Approve minutes of meeting of November 15, 2004.
- b. Liquor licenses for which there are no protests: (1) Series 6 Person Transfer, Pub & Grub, Cottonwood area, Linda D. Stapley; (2) Series 12 Original Restaurant, Congress Café, Congress area, George Merrell III, contingent upon obtaining necessary use permit from Development Services.
- c. Consider authorizing early hiring of Administrative Aides for incoming Supervisors Carol Springer and Thomas Thurman. Supervisor Street moved to approve this item with the understanding that hiring would occur no more than two weeks before the new Supervisors come on board. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.
- d. Approve request from the Town of Prescott Valley for permission to conduct elections on March 8, 2005, and May 17, 2005, by mail ballot, pursuant to A.R.S. §16-204, §16-225(C), and §16-409.
- e. Consider approval of a new Class A Bingo License for the Seligman Historical Society, Inc., for use at St. Francis Catholic Church in Seligman.
- f. Award or reject bids received for publication of newspaper advertising, legal notices, minutes, etc. Bids opened November 16, 2004, with one bid received from Prescott Newspapers, Inc. Recommend awarding contract to Prescott Newspapers, Inc. for various unit amounts and approve bond in the amount of \$1,198.95.
- g. Approve appointment of precinct committeemen as recommended by the Yavapai County Republican Party and also acknowledge removal of precinct committeemen, as evidenced in Board Memorandum No. 2004-18.
- h. Approve appointment of precinct committeemen as recommended by the Yavapai County Libertarian Party and as evidenced in Board Memorandum No. 2004-19.
- i. Approve appointment of precinct committeemen as recommended by the Yavapai County Democrat Party, as evidenced in Board Memorandum No. 2004-20.
- j. Approve request from City of Prescott to set the maximum per ton charge at the Sundog transfer station at \$75. The County and the City are partners in the transfer station. Supervisor Street moved to approve pending clarification of the ordinance that residents in the City and in the County are paying fair shares. Supervisor Brownlow seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.
- k. Acknowledge receipt of election canvasses for the following special districts for elections held on November 2, 2004: Black Canyon Fire District; Mayer Fire District; Sedona Fire District; Williamson Valley Fire District; Black Canyon City Domestic Water Improvement District; Mayer Domestic Water Improvement District.
- l. Reappoint Cathy Hubbard to the Yavapai County Trails Committee as a representative of District 1, with term to expire December 1, 2007.
- m. Consider approval of Facilities Use Agreement with the Prescott Chamber of Commerce for use of

- Barn B at the old fairgrounds for the storage of lights and banners for "Arizona's Christmas City."  
Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Street, second by Supervisor Brownlow.
- 2. Request from County Recorder for permission to spend vacancy savings within the Recorder's budget for overtime and permission to transfer \$15,000 of vacancy savings from the Recorder's budget to the Voter Registration overtime budget.
  - 3. Requests from MIS Department:
    - a. Permission to purchase updated GIS imagery for use by all departments, at a cost of \$10,980 to be paid from Computer Maintenance (\$5,980) with \$5,000 to be paid from revenues generated by the sale of GIS data (funds already deposited with Finance).
    - b. Permission to purchase a video monitor at a cost of \$3,819 to be paid from cost savings in the Computer Maintenance line item.
    - c. Permission to replace existing voicemail servers at a cost of \$31,700 to be paid from Computer Maintenance. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Chairman Davis, second by Supervisor Brownlow.
  - 4. Request from Fleet Management for renewal of all Sheriff's Office and Attorney investigation vehicles currently in the undercover plate program.
  - 5. Requests from Superior Court:
    - a. Permission to purchase audio digital recording systems from an existing cooperative purchase agreement with Maricopa County, in the amount of up to \$116,000 to be paid from Fill-the-Gap Funds.
    - b. Consider approval of appointments of Justices of the Peace Pro Tempore for the period January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005, pursuant to A.R.S. §22-121, as amended, and contingent upon funding being available.
  - 6. Request from Finance for approval of the sale of a 1999 Chevy Blazer for \$4,209.42 to the Grand Canyon Chapter of the American Red Cross and the sale of some surplus miscellaneous office furniture to the Verde Valley Senior Center for \$50.
  - 7. Approve vouchers.

CONSENT AGENDA FOR DIRECTORS OF YAVAPAI COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL, FREE LIBRARY AND JAIL DISTRICTS, AND COUNTY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS: Reference: Special District minutes.

- 1. Resolve into the Board of Directors of the Ash Fork Street Lighting Improvement District and consider adding a new 9,500 lumen street light on parcel 302-07-341A, just north of Lewis Avenue and 5<sup>th</sup> Street, as requested by the Ash Fork Development Association, at an additional cost of \$10.98 per month, to be paid from District funds.
- 2. Resolve into the Board of Directors of the Yavapai County Flood Control District and consider approval of a professional services contract in the amount of \$112,983 with Stantec Consulting, Inc., for the Lynx Creek Channel Improvements Project, Phase 2, Dewey area. To be paid from Construction in Progress – Lynx Creek at Fain Road Channel Improvements Project.
- 3. Resolve into the Board of Directors of the Yavapai County Free Library District to consider accepting and expending State Grants-In-Aid of \$23,000 and to transfer \$15,000 grant match from the District's budget.

CLAIMS AGAINST YAVAPAI COUNTY

<u>ACCOUNT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ACCOUNT</u>	
<u>AMOUNT</u>			
General Fund	2,349,562.03	Jail District	527,017.03
District 1 Park Fund	6,355.03	District 2 Park Fund	2,601.77
District 3 Park Fund	2,067.05	In Line Hockey	33.29
CERT	1,080.00	WMD Planner	415.76
DOJ HS Exercise	135.51	Improve. Of Education	1,484.27
AZ Coop Purchas. Net	7,363.90	Adult Prob Fees – 40	547.95
Public Health Reserve	361.19	Environmental Health	9,929.48
Susan Komen Breast H.	576.85	Medical Reserve Corps	847.55
Resep Radiation Exp.	445.87	Comm. Health Center	26,470.28
AMPPHI	1,510.85	Family Planning	3,113.39
MCH Programs	5,232.87	Health Promotion	2,920.44
Cost Allocation	2,152.17	Nutrition	1,258.45
T.B. Control	312.90	WIC Program	15,731.72

Title X Family Plann.	3,696.90	Jail Enhancement	6,222.83
Juvenile Delinq. Reduct	10,352.85	Juvenile IPS	17,918.73
Family Counseling	3,895.00	Juvenile Food Prog	2,149.39
Community Advisory Bd	181.24	Probation Serv	4,499.22
Adult IPS	31,559.99	Adult Probation Fees	12,293.93
Prob Enhance	38,031.39	Recorder's Surcharge	18,992.83
Indigent Def/Dg	4,071.54	Crim Just/Atty	1,098.18
Bad Check Prog	3,221.91	CDBG Grant	6,955.44
Juv Prob Svs	996.28	Commodity Fd	1,471.72
Hi Risk Chld HI	7,013.42	Clerk's Storage	1,382.06
HIV Counsel & Test	1,711.86	Atty Anti-Racket	9,380.49
PANT	7,587.36	Law Library	9,733.61
CASA	4,731.79	Case Processing	5,645.40
Prim. Care – V.V.	3,114.26	Victim Witness Prog	9,925.52
Court Enhancement	2,168.74	Council Court	7,211.53
Inmate Health Svs	6,371.14	Drug Enforce. Fund	4,244.59
Probate Fund	1,441.52	Primary Care Services	11,906.46
PC Fees VV	2,549.91	Local ADR	1,444.18
Victims Rights Impl	3,487.70	JAIBG Juv Acct P-II	1,719.78
Yav. Indian Agree.	1,507.33	Dietetic Intern	587.01
Immuniz Service	2,391.45	Personal Care Svs	4,015.37
Idea-Preschool	1,203.28	Subs Abuse/DARE	385.23
Chem Abuse	604.52	Family Drug Court	2,349.65
Juv Det/PACE	5,255.04	Collab. Comp Rev Gr	1,951.10
Special Program	28,927.31	Sm Schools Ecia	627.59
Sm Schools Beha	82,020.94	Professional Develop.	966.50
Fill the Gap – Courts	7,785.97	Hurf Road Funds	442,149.36
Assessor Surcharge	1,797.39	Assessor App Dev	8,371.55
Health Fund	61,984.94	Jail Commissary	14,729.20
Landfill Administ.	45,094.42	Judge Pro Tem Div B	8,641.11
Water Advisory Comm	23,373.09	Tire Recycle	9,842.11
Safe School Pro	8,206.29	Adhs-Svs Coord	12,427.96
Local Incentive Awards	1,518.57	Fill the Gap – Attorney	8,843.01
Family Law Commiss.	7,506.92	Comm Punish Pro	4,641.16
Juven. Detent Ed Pro	1,597.84	Regnl Road Project	314,351.09
Library Auto Consor	253.00	Sterilization	600.00
Health Start	2,922.10	Victim Compens. ACJC	3,471.27
DUI Comm. Gov Office	3,450.79	Interstate Compact Pro	2,268.21
Ryan White II	3,915.86	Prepared. Bioterror	8,881.73
Primary Care Fees	14,589.67	Perinatal Block	3,323.85
Well Woman Health	6,085.45	Tobacco Educ	11,097.74
St Imple. Grant	1,783.39	School Reso. – Mayer	891.65
Az Region Support	3,675.68	Direct Treatment Fund	3,008.40
Mental HealthRWJF	2,943.55	Mental Health Part.	3,526.16
Field Trainer	2,293.81	Attendant Care	25,184.32
HIV/CT	77.52	Ash Fork Bldg Rehab	882.00
Childrens Justice	1,263.08	Child Sup & Vis	908.89
Domestic Relations Ed	339.44	Self Service	626.23
VOCA	8,376.39	LTAF Vlt Local Trans	15,000.00
Yavapai Drug Court	1,802.14	JTSF Treatment	5,158.95
Diversion Conseq.	1,689.33	Capital Projects	1,034.92
Jail Construction	6,818.59	Bagdad Airport	10,890.10
ALTCS	2,249,762.58	Help Debt Svs Loans	383,681.25

In addition, payroll was issued on November 26 for the pay period ending November 20; warrant numbers 2448367 through 2448721, in the amount of \$315,426.64. Jury certificates issued during this time; 6867935 through 6868273. Warrants issued for December 6 Board day, 4231243 through 4231666; 4231667 through 4232094; 4232095 through 4232509.

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned.

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_ Clerk \_\_\_\_\_ Chairman