

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES WITH SUPPLEMENTAL TRANSCRIPT  
(Where a supplemental transcript is available, it is printed in bold type)

OFFICE OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA

Prescott, Arizona

March 20, 2006

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session on March 20, 2007, in Cottonwood, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m.  
Present: Thomas Thurman, Chairman; Chip Davis, Vice Chairman; Carol Springer, Member; Bev Staddon, Clerk.  
Also present: Jim Holst, County Administrator; Dave Hunt, Board Attorney/Assistant County Administrator.

Clerk's note: A copy of these minutes with a supplemental transcript is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and is also available on the County website.

CONSENT AGENDA With the exception of items C10. and C11., all items on the Consent Agenda were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Springer. No comments from the public.

C – BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

- C1. Minutes of meeting of March 6, 2006.
- C2. Appointment of precinct committeemen as recommended by the Yavapai County Republican Party and also acknowledge removal of precinct committeemen, all as evidenced in Board Memorandum No. 2006-5.
- C3. Proclamation declaring April to be Fair Housing Month.
- C4. Approve vouchers.

C – DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

- C5. Use permit to allow operation of a 6-unit bed and breakfast country inn, including special events, in an RCU-2A zoning district, Rancho Feliz Bed & Breakfast Country Inn, 407-27-018, 016C and 005K, Page Springs area, Connie B. Marlow and Andrew C. Bailey, #H6006. The Planning & Zoning Commission recommended approval of this application with the following stipulations: 1). That the parcel be developed in accordance with the revised Letter of Intent dated January 27, 2006, with a maximum number of 15 major (up to 75 people) and 40 minor (up to 25 people) events per year, and the Preliminary Site Plan with a maximum of 6 guest units and in conformance with all state and County regulations and that the use permit be issued for a period of five years and be transferable; 2). that parking on the site be limited to 25 vehicles; 3). a preliminary code meeting must be scheduled prior to expansion of use for the required change of use permit; 4). that the applicants notify Development Services, the Verde Valley Fire District and the Yavapai County Sheriff's department within one week prior to any scheduled special event. Notification to Development Services should include a brief analysis of the traffic impact of each event and a detailed parking plan; 5). a paved apron be placed from the pavement along Page Springs Road to the private property line prior to the first large event; 6). that parcel 407-27-005K remains undeveloped as a residential parcel for the duration of the use permit; 7). that the maximum number of horses allowed at one time on the property be limited to six; 8). that the applicant notifies their guests that walking, hiking and horseback riding must be limited to approved trails and public right-of-way; 9). a community plan amendment must be submitted prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Compliance and the use permit to be contingent upon approval of the community plan amendment; 10). A Certificate of Compliance to be issued within 12 months of approval of this use permit application.

C – FLEET MANAGEMENT

- C6. Permission to purchase cage insert for new animal control truck at a cost of \$2,825.68 to be paid from Motor Vehicles account.
- C7. Permission to exchange a County-owned Prescott Area Narcotics Team (PANT) vehicle with a seized PANT vehicle, and permission for continued use of undercover plates for the vehicle.
- C8. Permission to add new vendors to the towing rotation list of vendors approved by the Board on February 6, 2006.

C – FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT The Board of Supervisors will resolve into the Board of Directors of the Yavapai County Flood Control District and after consideration of the item listed below will reconvene as the Board of Supervisors.

- C9. Professional services contract in the amount of \$39,252 with Stantec Consulting, Inc. for the Lynx Creek Channel Improvements Project, Orchard Ct. Crossing Design, to be paid from Construction Funds, Lynx Creek at Fain Road.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

YAVAPAI COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT

## Minutes of Meeting

March 20, 2006

The Board of Supervisors resolved into the Board of Directors of the Yavapai County Flood Control District.

Present: Thomas Thurman, Chairman; Chip Davis, Vice Chairman; Carol Springer, Member; Bev Staddon, Clerk.

Also present: Ken Spedding, Development Services Director; and Phil Bourdon, Public Works Director.

Upon a motion by Director Davis, seconded by Director Springer, the Board voted unanimously to approve a professional services contract in the amount of \$39,252 with Stantec Consulting, Inc. for the Lynx Creek Channel Improvements Project, Orchard Ct. Crossing Design, to be paid from Construction Funds, Lynx Creek at Fain Road.

The Board considered approval of an intergovernmental agreement with ADOT to upgrade a sewer storm drain located on S.R. 179 (Village of Oak Creek to North Forest Boundary) at a cost to the District of approximately \$220,515. Mr. Bourdon said that this would allow for upgrading 124 linear feet of storm drain in conjunction with an upgrade project on S.R. 179. Mr. Spedding said that ADOT was putting in a storm sewer anyhow and because of some drainage concerns in County areas staff was working with ADOT to make the storm sewer larger and longer in order to address those concerns. Upon a motion by Director Davis, seconded by Director Springer, the Board voted unanimously to approve the agreement.

### C – PUBLIC WORKS

- C10. Update changes to the Maintained Roads List. Public Works Director Phil Bourdon participated in discussion of this item. Development Services Director Ken Spedding also participated via video conference from Prescott. Supervisor Davis moved to approve the list with the exception of Bent River Ranch Road which he requested be pulled from the list in order to allow more time to determine which jurisdiction, Clarkdale or Cottonwood, would maintain that road in the future. Supervisor Springer seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote. No comments from the public.
- C11. Bid for Soil Stabilization and Pavement Lifting Using Injected Polyurethane Foam at Various Locations in the Village of Oak Creek, Yavapai County, AZ, Project #2516135. Bids opened March 7, 2006, with sole bid submitted by EagleLIFT, Inc., as follows: Urethane polymer (injected in-place complete) up to the amount estimated by the contractor, \$9.32/lb.; urethane polymer (injected in-place complete) above the amount estimated by the contractor, \$8.32/lb.; traffic control at \$4,290/lump sum; estimated urethane 9,700 lbs. Recommend awarding to EagleLIFT, Inc., in the approximate amount of \$40,000 to be paid from HURF, Other Services. Public Works Director Phil Bourdon participated in discussion of this item. Upon a motion by Supervisor Springer, seconded by Supervisor Davis, the Board voted unanimously to approve this item after Mr. Bourdon explained how polyurethane injection works. No comments from the public.

### C – RECORDER

- C12. Permission to purchase HP 9050dn printer at a cost of \$3,800 and trade in HP 5100dtn (\$1,900 trade-in value) for a net cost of \$1,900 to be paid from Recorder's Storage and Retrieval Fund.

### C – SHERIFF

- C13. Agreement with U.S. Department of Justice Drug Enforcement Administration to accept grant funding in the amount of \$10,000 to defray the cost relating to eradication and suppression of illicit marijuana. No grant match required.

### ACTION ITEMS

#### A – BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

- A1. Proclamation declaring April 2006 as Litter Lifter Appreciation and Litter Prevention Month. Supervisor Davis. Barbara Litrell, representing Keep Sedona Beautiful, participated in discussion, providing information about the work that litter lifters do and asking the Board to approve the proclamation. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Springer.
- A1.A. Proclamation declaring April 2006 as Water Awareness Month. Supervisor Davis. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Springer. No comments from the public.
- A2. Final approval of 2005-2006 Forest Project Allocations. Dave Hunt, Board Attorney. After Mr. Hunt noted that the list had been published and the public comment period had ended with no comments having been received, the Board voted unanimously to approve the allocations as presented: Arizona Wildfire Academy, \$10,000; COCOPAI, \$5,000; Dead Horse Ranch Trails Coalition, \$7,352; Highlands Center for Natural History, \$10,000; Prescott Area Wildland/Urban Interface Commission, \$12,460; U of A Cooperative Extension, \$20,000; Yavapai County Adult Probation, \$7,315; and Yavapai

County Sheriff's Office Forest Patrol, \$66,794. Motion by Supervisor Springer, second by Supervisor Davis. No comments from the public.

A – FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT The Board of Supervisors will resolve into the Board of Directors of the Yavapai County Flood Control District and after consideration of the item listed below will reconvene as the Board of Supervisors. Reference: Flood Control District minutes.

- A3. Intergovernmental agreement with ADOT to upgrade a sewer storm drain located on S.R. 179 (Village of Oak Creek to North Forest Boundary) at a cost to the District of approximately \$220,515. Ken Spedding, Development Services Director, and Mike Willett, Assistant Public Works Director (Engineering).

## BOARD OF DIRECTORS

### YAVAPAI COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT

#### Minutes of Meeting

March 20, 2006

The Board of Supervisors resolved into the Board of Directors of the Yavapai County Flood Control District.

Present: Thomas Thurman, Chairman; Chip Davis, Vice Chairman; Carol Springer, Member; Bev Staddon, Clerk.

Also present: Ken Spedding, Development Services Director; and Phil Bourdon, Public Works Director.

Upon a motion by Director Davis, seconded by Director Springer, the Board voted unanimously to approve a professional services contract in the amount of \$39,252 with Stantec Consulting, Inc. for the Lynx Creek Channel Improvements Project, Orchard Ct. Crossing Design, to be paid from Construction Funds, Lynx Creek at Fain Road.

The Board considered approval of an intergovernmental agreement with ADOT to upgrade a sewer storm drain located on S.R. 179 (Village of Oak Creek to North Forest Boundary) at a cost to the District of approximately \$220,515. Mr. Bourdon said that this would allow for upgrading 124 linear feet of storm drain in conjunction with an upgrade project on S.R. 179. Mr. Spedding said that ADOT was putting in a storm sewer anyhow and because of some drainage concerns in County areas staff was working with ADOT to make the storm sewer larger and longer in order to address those concerns. Upon a motion by Director Davis, seconded by Director Springer, the Board voted unanimously to approve the agreement.

## A – PUBLIC WORKS

- A4. Discussion and possible action for participation by Yavapai County through a Master Intergovernmental Agreement in the Northern Arizona Intergovernmental Public Transportation Authority (NAIPTA) consisting of members from Yavapai County, Coconino County, the City of Flagstaff, Northern Arizona University, the City of Cottonwood and the City of Sedona. Phil Bourdon, Public Works Director, and Mike Willett, Assistant Public Works Director (Engineering). This item was considered following Hearing Item #5. Upon a motion by Supervisor Davis, seconded by Supervisor Springer, the Board voted unanimously to join NAIPTA. There was no discussion, and there were no comments from the public.
- A5. Discussion and possible action for the assignment of a Board member from Yavapai County to the Northern Arizona Intergovernmental Public Transportation Authority (NAIPTA). This item was considered following Hearing Item #5. Upon a motion by Supervisor Springer, seconded by Chairman Thurman, the Board voted unanimously to appoint Supervisor Davis as the County's representative of NAIPTA. Supervisor Davis asked if he would have the ability to assign someone to attend NAIPTA meetings. Mr. Willett said the agreement provided for that.
- A6. Consider approving Hillside Drive as a millings project and, contingent upon approval, accept a petition to establish Hillside Drive as a County highway and set hearing for April 3, 2006, at 10:00 a.m. Cost of \$32,000 to be paid from HURF, Other Services, Reconstruction Verde. Phil Bourdon, Public Works Director. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Springer. No comments from the public.
- A7. Consider approval of in-house design project for Devil's Kitchen Drive Drainage Improvements in the Village of Oak Creek at a cost of \$10,000 to be paid from HURF. Phil Bourdon, Public Works Director. Development Services Director Ken Spedding participated in discussion via video conference from Prescott. Approved by unanimous vote after Mr. Bourdon and Mr. Spedding explained that this would be a collaborative project between Public Works and the Flood Control District. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Springer. No comments from the public.
- A8. Purchase agreement for acquisition of right-of-way from parcel 405-33-169A needed for the Jacks Canyon Road improvement project in an amount not to exceed \$40,000 to be paid from Regional Road Fund. Phil Bourdon, Public Works Director. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Springer. No comments from the

public.

- A9. Bids received for Iron Springs Road Asphalt Rubber Friction Course Project in Yavapai County, Project #2112125. Bids opened March 7, 2006, with bids received from the following vendors: Asphalt Paving & Supply, Inc., \$899,935; and Fann Contracting, Inc., \$837,790. Recommend awarding to Fann Contracting, Inc., in the amount of \$837,790 to be paid from Regional Road Fund. Phil Bourdon, Public Works Director. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Springer. No comments from the public.
- A10. Bids received for Onyx Drive Box Culvert Installation in Yavapai County, AZ, Project #2314008. Bids opened March 7, 2006, with bids received from the following vendors: Asphalt Paving & Supply, Inc., \$309,748; and Royden Construction, Inc., \$436,640. Recommend awarding to Asphalt Paving & Supply in the amount of \$309,748 with \$59,748 to be paid from HURF, Construction in Progress and \$250,000 of the cost has been approved as a project in the budget for the Yavapai County Flood Control District. Phil Bourdon, Public Works Director. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Springer, second by Supervisor Davis. No comments from the public.

## HEARINGS

H – DEVELOPMENT SERVICES Planning & Zoning Commission member Joan McClelland was present to represent the Commission.

- H1. Zoning Ordinance amendment to Section 603, Light Pollution Control as it relates to concerns raised regarding residential outdoor lighting and that all such lighting should be fully shielded, Planning & Zoning Commission, #H5257. Enalo Lockard, Assistant Development Services Director. The Planning & Zoning Commission recommended approval of this application. The following persons spoke in favor of the amendment, generally citing the need for the amendment to preserve dark skies, the belief that the Ordinance would be easier to enforce with the amendment, and health and safety reasons: Ruth Kane, representing the Big Park Regional Coordinating Council planning and zoning committee; Joanne Johnson, representing the Big Park Regional Coordinating Council and the Village of Oak Creek Association; and Bill Pumphrey, Bob Carabell and Bill Kusner, all representing Keep Sedona Beautiful. Upon a motion by Supervisor Davis, seconded by Chairman Thurman, the Board voted unanimously to approve the amendment as recommended by the Planning & Zoning Commission.

Mr. Lockard provided examples of good and bad lighting, saying that the amendment would still allow the same amount of lighting but that lights would have to be fully shielded, with the exception of motion sensor lights. He also provided information about the difference between incandescent light bulbs and fluorescent light bulbs, noting that a 23-watt fluorescent bulb would put out as many lumens as a 100-watt incandescent bulb. Chairman Thurman asked how commercial ventures might be affected, giving as an example lighting for a baseball field. Mr. Lockard replied that this type of lighting was addressed under a different section of the Ordinance and that there were different standards related to lumens. Supervisor Springer asked what the penalty would be for non-compliance with the Ordinance. Mr. Lockard said that a homeowner could be fined for failure to come into compliance. Supervisor Springer asked if the amendment to the Ordinance would apply to existing homes or only to new construction. Mr. Lockard replied that it would apply only to new construction and that existing homes would not have to retrofit their outdoor lighting. Supervisor Davis said that the County's lighting ordinance was initiated by residents in the Village of Oak Creek and that Yavapai County was one of the first counties in the state to have such an ordinance. He said that the Board had watered the ordinance down a bit because of concerns that it might result in higher home costs and that people had found loopholes in it. Supervisor Davis said he believed this amendment would actually result in less work for staff, and that by requiring fully-shielded lights there was no reason to worry about lumens. Supervisor Springer asked about the kind of lighting that is tilted upwards, such as landscape lighting or lighting for the American flag. Mr. Lockard said that if the lights were four watts or less they were exempt from the Ordinance, and that with regard to the American flag it was federal law that the flag must be lighted. In response to a question from Chairman Thurman regarding commercial signs, Mr. Lockard said the amendment deals with residential property and that another section of the Ordinance deals with commercial signs, which he said had to be internally lighted. Chairman Thurman said that with regard to motion sensor lights it was possible to set them to be on all the time. He asked if the Ordinance would have enough teeth in it to keep people from leaving those types of lights on all the time. Mr. Lockard said yes.

- H2. Zoning Ordinance amendment to add Section 576 under Section 500, General Provisions, in order to address short-term uses of private property which are open to the public on a limited basis such as once a year and establish a permit process and performance criteria for the same, Planning & Zoning Commission, #H6004. Enalo Lockard, Assistant Development Services Director. The Planning & Zoning Commission recommended approval of this application.

Development Services Director Ken Spedding and Deputy County Attorney Randy Schurr participated in discussion via video conference from Prescott. Upon a motion by Supervisor Davis, seconded by Supervisor Springer, the Board voted unanimously to deny this application. No comments from the public.

Mr. Lockard explained that this amendment would provide a permitting process for one-time uses on private property. He gave as an example the grand opening for the La Berranca subdivision in the Village of Oak Creek, during which the developer of the subdivision invited the public for hot air balloon rides and other activities. He said that the Ordinance was not intended to restrict someone from having a garage sale or a fundraiser for a school but that it was a way to ensure the safe use of property without requiring a property owner to go through the use permit process. Mr. Lockard added that references to patriotic or religious issues had been removed from the Ordinance. Supervisor Davis expressed concern about potential liability for the County if it issues a permit for a one-day once a year event but knows little about the event or who will be attending it. He asked if it would be more dangerous for the County to be involved or if it would be better to just let things happen. Mr. Schurr said that currently there are a number of uses that are technically in violation of the Zoning Ordinance. He said complaints about those uses are received by the County and he asked if the Board wanted staff to just ignore the complaints. Mr. Schurr said that many years ago the County issued a permit for an event at Arcosanti, that a fire resulted from cars being parked on the grass and that the County was sued over it but ultimately prevailed. He said he thought the proposed Ordinance was a better way to handle these types of situations. Supervisor Davis said that if it was a one-day event, but the time the County received a complaint and had someone go out to the property the event would be over with and that he just wondered if issuing a permit would legitimize what people are doing. Mr. Schurr said that if the event was finished then a violation would not be issued, but that sometimes people had three or four day events and the County might have to take action to shut down those events unless there is a permit. Supervisor Springer noted that the kinds of events being discussed were events that are not normally allowed in a residential zoning district. She asked if this was an entirely new permit process and whether the County had not permit process for public events on private property. Mr. Lockard responded that the only permit the County had that could apply to these types of situations was the use permit, which he said entailed a public hearing process. Supervisor Springer said she had a real problem with government reacting to a few isolated incidents. She asked Mr. Lockard how many of these types of events there had been in the past year that were a big enough problem to require action on the part of the Sheriff's Office or some other government agency. Mr. Lockard said he was not personally aware of any. Supervisor Springer said she could not support this amendment and that she thought it was an over-reaction to an isolated problem. Chairman Thurman asked if the Sheriff would respond if someone was having such a loud event that neighbors could not hear their televisions or if the event resulted in streets being blocked. Mr. Lockard said yes. Chairman Thurman said he agreed that this proposal was a little too intrusive with regard to people's personal lives. Mr. Lockard said the ordinance would also give staff some latitude to work with people regarding uses that do not fit in the zoning district. Supervisor Springer said she thought it was confusing enough that the public does not know when they are supposed to apply for a permit and when they are exempt, and that this resulted in people not applying for a permit when they should have. She asked what the penalties would be in such instances. Mr. Lockard said if it was a one-time use that would never occur again there was really no way to address it. Supervisor Davis said there was a public event held at a bed and breakfast in the McGuireville area last year, but that in order to reach the bed and breakfast people had to drive on private roads past residences. He said the owner of the bed and breakfast had asked the County for a permit and that staff did not know how to respond. He said he understood that staff would like to have some mechanism in place when that type of request is received, but he suggested monitoring the situation and trying to determine if there is a better way to address this issue. Mr. Spedding said that if the Board chose to not approve this application there were still mechanisms for people who want to conduct activities that are not allowed in a residential neighborhood, namely the use permit process. Supervisor Davis asked how long that process takes. Mr. Spedding said it could take three to five months, depending primarily on how quickly an applicant can provide all the required information. Mr. Lockard noted that applications for use permits are sent out to different reviewing agencies before the application is advertised for hearing. Supervisor Davis asked what kinds of cases would fall under the proposed amendment and he asked if it would be possible instead to have some sort of tiered system for issuing use permits. Mr. Lockard said that usually applicants have been working on planning their event for four or five months but by the time they contact Development Services to find out if they need a permit the event is just a week away. Chairman Thurman asked that this item be included for discussion at the Board's next joint session with the Planning & Zoning Commission, saying that if the Board was going to vote against the recommendation of the Commission on this issue he wanted to be sure there was an opportunity to discuss why the Board took that action.

- H3. Use permit for operation of a 239-unit RV Resort including a community center in an RCU-2A zoning district, Rancho Roca RV Resort, 405-23-012P, north of Cornville Road approximately 7.5 miles northwest of the intersection of I-17 and Beaver Creek Road, Jim Sullivan, SEC Engineering applicant/agent for Jeremy Bach/Rancho Roca Blanco LLC, owner, #H5261. Enalo Lockard, Assistant Development Services Director. The Planning & Zoning Commission recommended denial of this application. The applicant requested that this application be sent back to the Planning & Zoning Commission for further review based on a revised site plan and additional community input. Upon a motion by Supervisor Davis, seconded by Supervisor Springer, the Board voted unanimously to refer this application back to the Planning & Zoning Commission as requested by the applicant. No comments from the public.
- H4. Certificate of Compliance Extension of Time, Park Plaza at Las Piedras, 405-28-386B and C; 405-34-009J, R, S and U; 405-34-010D and 015V; Village of Oak Creek area, Henry Reiter/SOM Associates applicant/agent for Sedona Diversified Properties, owner, #H6025. Enalo Lockard, Assistant Development Services Director. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Springer. No comments from the public.

H – PUBLIC WORKS

- H5. Petitions from the City of Cottonwood and the City of Sedona to Yavapai County to join Coconino County in the formation of the Northern Arizona Intergovernmental Public Transportation Authority, or NAIPTA, consisting of members from Yavapai County, Coconino County, the City of Flagstaff, Northern Arizona University, the City of Cottonwood and the City of Sedona. Phil Bourdon, Public Works Director, and Mike Willett, Assistant Public Works Director (Engineering). Upon a motion by Supervisor Davis, seconded by Supervisor Springer, the Board voted unanimously to accept the petitions. No comments from the public.

CLAIMS AGAINST YAVAPAI COUNTY

| ACCOUNT                 | AMOUNT       | ACCOUNT                 | AMOUNT     |
|-------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|------------|
| General Fund            | 2,100,375.40 | Jail District           | 571,240.73 |
| District 2 Park Fund    | 304.53       | District 3 Park Fund    | 5,243.69   |
| Yavapai County Trails   | 57.56        | WMD Planner             | 1,731.89   |
| Improvement of Ed       | 1,083.83     | Enhance Ed. Thru Tech   | 4,216.02   |
| Reading Special H&S     | 1,152.49     | Adult Prob Fees O. 40   | 1,255.93   |
| Dewey Humboldt Agree    | 5,746.41     | Street Crime Supp.      | 2,906.30   |
| Public Health Reserve   | 7,762.72     | Environ. Health         | 12,952.22  |
| Susan Komen Breast H.   | 547.80       | Resep Rad. Exposure     | 480.53     |
| Comm. Health Center     | 19,373.10    | AMPPHI                  | 1,450.44   |
| Family Planning         | 5,538.29     | MCH Programs            | 904.93     |
| Breast Feed Counselor   | 3,902.09     | Health Promotion        | 2,204.08   |
| Cost Allocation         | 1,226.73     | Nutrition               | 1,122.90   |
| TB Control              | 806.72       | WIC Program             | 17,638.75  |
| Title X Fam. Planning   | 2,791.83     | Jail Enhancement        | 10,567.41  |
| Juv. Del. Reduction     | 14,793.43    | Juvenile IPS            | 16,142.62  |
| Family Counseling       | 1,826.00     | Juvenile Food Program   | 1,856.52   |
| Community Ad. Board     | 348.34       | Probation Service       | 4,669.04   |
| Adult IPS               | 37,113.20    | Adult Probation Fees    | 13,161.03  |
| Pro. Enhancement        | 49,900.68    | Recorders Surcharge     | 52,317.42  |
| Indigent DEF/DG         | 4,098.35     | MISC Small Grants       | 274.92     |
| Criminal Just. Attorney | 4,961.68     | Bad Check Program       | 2,708.09   |
| CDBG Grant              | 22,241.29    | Juvenile Prob. Services | 2,100.55   |
| Commodity Food          | 443.82       | HI Risk Child Health    | 7,032.26   |
| Clerks Storage          | 1,452.50     | HIV Counsel & Test.     | 1,555.36   |
| ATTY Anti-Racketeering  | 1,955.11     | PANT                    | 8,446.38   |
| Law Library             | 1,320.75     | CASA                    | 3,555.82   |
| Case Processing         | 6,380.49     | Prim. Care V.V.         | 7,287.21   |
| Victim Wit. Program     | 10,530.77    | Conciliations Court     | 2,336.25   |
| Enhancement Drug Ct.    | 1,727.42     | Inmate Health Services  | 868.11     |
| Drug Enforce. Funding   | 2,050.86     | Probate Fund            | 910.57     |
| Prim. Care Services     | 14,082.31    | PC Fees V.V.            | 340.88     |
| Local ADR               | 1,563.90     | FTG Indigent Defense    | 2,380.53   |
| Victims Rights IMPL     | 3,318.07     | JAIBG Juv. Acct. Ph. 7  | 1,877.04   |
| Yavapai Indian Agree.   | 1,870.85     | Dietetic Intern         | 312.07     |
| Immunization Service    | 3,409.84     | Personal Care Service   | 3,498.33   |

|                         |            |                         |              |
|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Idea Preschool          | 1,245.68   | Substance Abuse Dare    | 334.07       |
| Chemical Abuse          | 445.38     | Family Drug Court       | 1,215.81     |
| JUV DET/PACE            | 5,368.59   | Coll. Comp. RE GR       | 11,827.91    |
| Special Program         | 19,978.02  | Small Schools ECIA      | 610.56       |
| Small Schools Behavior  | 19,419.02  | Mobile Command Ctr      | 2,880.71     |
| Fill The Gap-Courts     | 11,771.36  | HURF Road Fund          | 522,808.20   |
| Assessor Surcharge      | 5,782.17   | Assessor APP DEV.       | 5,901.02     |
| Health Fund             | 71,423.97  | Jail Commissary         | 18,249.93    |
| Yava. Cemetery Asso.    | 550.00     | Landfill Administration | 40,258.05    |
| Judge Pro Tem Div. B    | 9,604.90   | Water Ad. Committee     | 3,181.92     |
| Tire Recycle            | 9,438.16   | Safe School Program     | 8,998.89     |
| ADHS-SVC COORD.         | 797.77     | Local Incentive Awards  | 948.63       |
| War Memorial Trust      | 279.88     | Fill Gap-Co. Attorney   | 3,066.16     |
| Family Law Comm.        | 8,983.91   | Community Punish. Pro.  | 8,052.79     |
| Juv. Detention Ed. Pro. | 1,387.54   | Regional Road Project   | 80,446.01    |
| Library Auto. Consor.   | 536.02     | Health Start            | 2,686.26     |
| Interstate Com. Prog.   | 2,056.49   | Ryan White II           | 3,689.72     |
| Preparedness Bioterror  | 22,333.90  | Primary Care Fees       | 40,168.67    |
| Perinatal Block         | 2,498.20   | Well Woman Hlth Check   | 7,213.33     |
| Tobacco Education       | 12,391.33  | Victim Assistance ACJC  | 524.24       |
| St. Implement. Grant    | 76.52      | School Resource-Mayer   | 990.18       |
| St. Grant in Aid        | 2,879.15   | AZ Region. Supp. Ctr.   | 688.87       |
| Direct Treat. ED Fund   | 11,457.33  | Mental Health RWJF      | 2,438.81     |
| Mental Health Partners  | 2,438.80   | Field Trainer           | 2,495.96     |
| Attendant Care          | 22,982.63  | HIV WYGC                | 193.11       |
| Access & Visitation     | 1,540.50   | Children's Justice      | 2,237.31     |
| Child Sup. & Vis.       | 949.63     | Domestic Relations ED.  | 615.94       |
| Self Service            | 70.00      | VOCA                    | 9,195.53     |
| JTSF Treatment          | 5,505.19   | Diversion Consequence   | 969.34       |
| Coyote Springs          | 1,274.29   | Prescott East Operating | 337.04       |
| Flood Control           | 105,584.08 | Library District        | 32,036.23    |
| Inmate Food             | 1,880.64   | Court Imp. Project      | 2,011.51     |
| Auto Theft Authority    | 2,492.22   | K9 Program              | 1,255.15     |
| Capital Projects        | 28,500.00  | ALTCS                   | 1,977,373.22 |

In addition, payroll was issued on March 17, 2006, for the pay period ending March 11, 2006; warrant numbers 2460061 through 2460385, in the amount of \$287,256.37. Jury certificates issued during this time; 6875350 through 6875628. Warrants issued for March 20, 2006: Board day, 4260550 through 4261017; 4261018; through 4261459.

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned.

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_ Clerk \_\_\_\_\_ Chairman