

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES WITH SUPPLEMENTAL TRANSCRIPT  
(Where a supplemental transcript is available, it is printed in bold type)

OFFICE OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA

Prescott, Arizona

June 19, 2006

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session on June 19, 2006, in Cottonwood, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m.  
Present: Thomas Thurman, Chairman; Chip Davis, Vice Chairman; Carol Springer, Member; Bev Staddon, Clerk.  
Also present: Dave Hunt, Board Attorney/Assistant County Administrator.

Clerk's note: A copy of these minutes with a supplemental transcript is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and is also available on the County website.

CONSENT AGENDA With the exception of item C.13., all items were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Springer. No comments from the public.

C – BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

- C1. Minutes of meeting of June 5, 2006.
- C2. Liquor licenses for which there are no protests: (a) Series 13 Domestic Farm Winery, Javelina Leap Estates Vineyards, Cornville area, Rodney Fletcher Snapp; (b) Series 10 Beer and Wine Store, Hillside Texaco & RV Park, Ash Fork area, Bryan Matthew Blech; (c) Series 6 Person Transfer, Rock Springs, Black Canyon City area, Richard Curtis Shaw; and (d) Series 6 Person Transfer, Arrowhead, Congress area, Kimberley Annette Bonde.
- C3. Accept bid from Kenson Construction, Inc., for the Catholic Social Services, CDBG project, at a cost of \$128,800, to be paid from CDBG funds.
- C4. Approve vouchers.

C – ELECTIONS

- C5. Request for designation of polling places for the September 12, 2006, Primary Election, in accordance with A.R.S. §16-411(B).

C – FINANCE

- C6. Renewal of vending machine contracts for 2006-2007 with the following companies: S & L Vending; Aspen Vending.

C – FLEET MANAGEMENT

- C7. Consider approval of additional towing vendors for Towing Contract #6001.

C - FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT The Board of Supervisors resolved into the Board of Directors of the Yavapai County Flood Control District and following consideration of the items listed below reconvened as the Board of Supervisors. Reference: Flood Control District minutes.

- C8. Consider approval of two-year Intergovernmental Agreement with the Arizona Department of Water Resources, in the amount of \$10,000 (\$5,000 per year) for the State Standards Work Group, to be paid from Outside Services.
- C9. Permission to quitclaim a Class III Drainage Easement through Lot 19 of Michael's Ranch, Book 20, Page 60, 408-32-039, to Saed and Elaheh Safai (the property owners in Sedona area).
- C10. Consider approval of on-call type professional services contract with JDS Associates, L.L.C. for FY 06-07 for all County areas, at a total cost of \$20,000. To be paid from Outside Services.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

YAVAPAI COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT

Minutes of Meeting

June 19, 2006

The Board of Supervisors resolved into the Board of Directors of the Yavapai County Flood Control District.

Present: Thomas Thurman, Chairman; Chip Davis, Vice Chairman; Carol Springer, Member; Bev Staddon, Clerk.

Upon a motion by Director Davis, seconded by Director Springer, the Board voted unanimously to approve the following items:

1. Consider approval of two-year Intergovernmental Agreement with the Arizona Department of Water Resources, in the amount of \$10,000 (\$5,000 per year) for the State Standards Work Group, to be paid from Outside Services.
2. Permission to quitclaim a Class III Drainage Easement through Lot 19 of Michael's Ranch, Book 20, Page 60, 408-32-039, to Saed and Elaheh Safai (the property owners in Sedona area).
3. Consider approval of on-call type professional services contract with JDS Associates, L.L.C. for FY 06-07 for all County areas, at a total cost of \$20,000. To be paid from Outside Services.

#### C – HUMAN RESOURCES

- C11. Consider approval of the following items to be effective for the 2006/07 fiscal year: (1) 3.7% range adjustment to the County's compensation system; (2) reclassification requests as recommended by the Human Resources department; and (3) the hiring of custodians as requested by the Facilities Department in order to bring custodial work in-house.

#### C – MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SERVICES

- C12. Permission to purchase network equipment for the Cottonwood Office, at a cost of \$9,700 to be paid from Computer Equipment account.

#### C – MEDICAL ASSISTANCE

- C13. Amendment to extend Title 36 contract with Mingus Center to expire September 30, 2006, to allow for the release of a Request for Proposal (RFP) for Title 36 Mental Health Services and an increase of 5% over the current rate for a total of \$44,642 per month. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Springer.

#### C – PUBLIC DEFENDER

- C14. Permission to trade-in an obsolete/surplus Minolta EP4000 copier toward the purchase of a new copier, which was approved by the Board on June 5, 2006. Trade amount offered is \$1,500.
- C15. Indigent defense attorney contracts with the following attorneys: Alex Harris, Chester Lockwood, Craig Williams, Damon Rossi, David Stoller, John Napper, Linda Moore, Matthew Springer, Raymond Hanna, Robert Lauenders, Steven August and Timothy Grier, all felony contractors; Andrea Curry, Carrie Voegtli, Cynthia Bowkley, Daniella Ferrari, David Gordon, Gary Horton, Hoang Huynh, Laura Taylor, Linda Evans, Lynn Harris, Paul Schlegel and Steve Renard, all dependency contractors; Carrie Voegtli, Cynthia Bowkley, David Gordon, Gary Horton, Michael Shaw, Steve Dagillis, Steve Renard and Tom Kelly, all delinquency contractors. To be paid from Indigent Attorney account.

-

-

#### C – PUBLIC WORKS

- C16. Award or reject bids received to Supply and Deliver Liquid Asphalt Products in Yavapai County, AZ, Contract #2616527. Bids opened June 6, 2006, with bids received from the following vendors: Ergon Asphalt Products, Inc and Western Emulsions. Recommend awarding to all vendors at various unit prices. To be paid from HURF, Road Materials.
- C17. Award or reject bids received to Supply or Supply and Deliver Asphaltic Cold Mix Material in Yavapai County, AZ, Contract #2616525. Bids opened June 6, 2006, with one bid received from Mesa Materials. Recommend awarding bid to Mesa Materials at various unit prices. To be paid from HURF, Road Materials.
- C18. Resolution to appoint and authorize County personnel to sign Emergency Contracts and Rate Agreements with the Arizona State Land Department. Resolution No. 1612.

#### C – SHERIFF

- C19. Authorize construction of a driver training track on County-owned property located at 10301 Highway 89, Prescott Valley (parcel 401-01-009L). To be paid for from grant funds provided by the Arizona Peace Officer Standards and Training Board. Acceptance of grant was approved by the Board on June 5, 2006.
- C20. Contract modification with US Forest Service to assist the Forest Service in fire prevention patrols.

#### ACTION ITEMS

#### A – BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

- A1. Discussion and possible action regarding joining and participating in the Verde River Basin Partnership (VRBP). Supervisor Chip Davis. The Board took no action on this item.

Supervisor Davis said between the time this item was placed on the agenda and this day's meeting, the Board had met in joint session with the Yavapai County Water Advisory Committee (WAC), and that he believed there had been general agreement at that meeting to include all stakeholders in the structure of the VRBP. He said he would prefer to hold this item for now until further discussions could occur regarding the organization's structure. Supervisor Springer said she agreed, but that she would like to know who the "we" was that Supervisor Davis had referred to with regard to such further discussions. Supervisor Davis said he thought that would include the existing group that is trying to form the VRBP, elected officials from both sides of the mountain, Salt River Project, and the Arizona Department of Water Resources. Supervisor Springer said the Title II legislation was not well written and that it contained two areas regarding the make-up of the partnership; and that one of those areas dealt with responsibilities. She said she believed that would be mostly the WAC, Salt River Project and state and federal agencies. Supervisor Springer said her concern was that the existing VRBP was being run by environmental groups and that they would focus on the other part of the bill. She said she had no problem with environmentalists being at the table but was concerned about the overwhelming number of environmental groups. She said that if the Board was going to try to help lead this effort then it should sort through some things before another meeting occurred. Supervisor Davis said he thought Senator McCain's office was committed to becoming involved, and that the Board needed to see if it could assist. He said he believed the VRBP could be an open door process but that it had to have some structure. Supervisor Springer said she just hated to keep stumbling along because of the lack of leadership. She said that Senator McCain always referred to the San Pedro Partnership and that she did not think that organization had a very good structure because it was necessary to pay in order to have a seat at the table and that she did not think the Board wanted to see that with regard to the VRBP. Supervisor Davis said that Board had talked about that same issue with regard to the WAC and that its decision was that each community contribute funding based on population. Supervisor Springer asked Supervisor Davis if he was suggesting that the VRBP be structured the same way. Supervisor Davis said he would like to focus on the language in the bill that referred to entities with responsibilities and expertise in water, and that he thought there were concerns on both sides of the County that some of the partners in the current VRBP might not be peacemakers. He said he thought the process needed identify those people with expertise in water. Supervisor Davis said that when the land exchange bill passed nothing happened with regard to the VRBP so the Verde Watershed Association tried to get something going and a lot of people jumped into it. He said all the different groups should be able to talk but that there had to be a group that could set priorities. Supervisor Springer said she thought the Board needed to define two things for its own benefit, the first of which was who the "we" is and secondly what "a seat at the table" means. She said that many of those involved in the current VRBP thought that a seat at the table means they get to vote. Supervisor Springer said there were already some 50 groups involved and that this was too many for everyone voting to be workable and that there needed to be a limited structure about who gets to vote. She said that everyone could have input, but not everyone could vote. Supervisor Davis said he thought it would be possible to categorize the different groups and have them work together. Supervisor Springer noted that this was the first time the Board members had talked specifically about this issue and that she thought it was worth taking some time to hash it out a bit. She said that the quad cities had rejected the current VRBP because they wanted elected officials to be in charge, and that this was where things had begun to break down. She said that the quad cities wanted the WAC to be the leading structure, but that there was a WAC meeting where it seemed that everyone wanted the WAC and the VRBP to be separate and then at last week's joint session things seemed to be going back in the other direction. Supervisor Springer said that everyone on the WAC felt that the WAC was the leading water agency in the County and that if they felt they were the leaders then why not go back with the idea that the WAC should be the organization that creates the VRBP. Supervisor Davis said he thought that idea had been agreed upon in a previous joint session with the WAC and that subsequently a letter was written to Senator McCain that the WAC be the VRBP. He said the response was not only "no", but "hell, no" and that when he checked again with Senator McCain's office he was advised that position had not changed. Supervisor Davis said perhaps the Board could modify the WAC to have a VRBP arm. He said he agreed that the WAC was the official body with regard to water, and that if others could be given the opportunity to identify their issues through modification of the WAC the WAC could keep things moving forward. He said the nice thing about the WAC is that it represents both sides of the mountain, that it is comprised of elected officials and that it has a great technical group. Supervisor Springer said she agreed. She said it was all taxpayers' dollars and she asked what the Board could do to help move things in a more productive direction. Supervisor Davis suggested putting this issue on for the next regular Board meeting, adding that WAC Co-Chairman Bob Roecker had been trying to reach him and probably wanted to talk about this issue. Chairman Thurman said he thought it was a little premature to discuss this following last week's joint session with the WAC. Supervisor Davis said that was true, but that Supervisor Springer was correct about

the fact that the Board members had not previously discussed this issue among themselves. Chairman Thurman asked if anyone was suggesting that the WAC help fund the VRBP if it becomes an arm of the WAC. Supervisor Springer said not necessarily, and that if one looked at the Title II legislation it refers to studies that need to be done in the middle Verde and it also refers to management, and that it would be necessary to have an entity with some credibility in order to apply for funding. Supervisor Davis suggested that one of the Board members contact the Secretary of the Interior regarding the VRBP. Supervisor Springer said there was a new person in that job and that the structure of the VRBP probably was not very high on that person's priority list right now. She said that most of the people she had spoken with felt it would be less productive to get the state and federal governments involved and that most people want to retain local control. Supervisor Davis said he would want to see buy-in moving forward. He said that the Department of Water Resources had not been at the table, and he asked if perhaps Prescott National Forest Supervisor Alan Quan could serve as a representative of the Secretary of the Interior. Supervisor Davis said it would be nice if Senator McCain's office could see that things are headed in the right direction so they would be on board. Supervisor Springer said she thought that once consensus was reached on what the VRBP should look like then Senator McCain would weigh in on it, but that she knew he was not pleased right now with the way things were going. She said she liked Supervisor Davis' idea about getting the environmental groups to work together with regard to representation. Chairman Thurman agreed, saying that in this way they would have a voice but not a bunch of voices. He said that if things went well and the VRBP proved to be a good entity to help the WAC with decisions related to the middle Verde it would need money. He asked about funding. Supervisor Davis said the VRBP was ordered by Congress. Supervisor Springer agreed, saying it should receive federal funding and that if the County or other local jurisdictions began funding the VRBP it would probably make it more difficult for the VRBP to obtain federal funding. She said that if the WAC formed a separate committee that applied for the federal appropriate that was about all it could do right now, except maybe for looking at what studies might be done, but that until the studies were done there was really nothing to talk about with regard to water management. Supervisor Springer said perhaps the WAC could form a finance committee for the VRBP and a second committee to start identifying studies for the VRBP.

- A2. Discussion and possible action regarding joining and participating in the Upper Verde River Watershed Protection Coalition. Supervisor Carol Springer. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Thurman, second by Supervisor Springer. No comments from the public.

Supervisor Springer said that the quad cities had decided not to wait for some of the other water groups to move forward but instead to form a coalition that will work on various projects to make sure that the headwaters area of the upper Verde River is protected. She said she did not think this was a duplication of efforts and that some of the things the coalition would look at were mitigation issues and the like in order to make sure that the flow of the upper Verde is protected. Supervisor Springer said she thought there was a feeling that people in the Big Chino area were bent on destroying the upper Verde River and that she believed the coalition would like to make it clear that the upper Verde is as important to everyone on the Prescott side of the County as the middle Verde is to people in the Verde Valley. She said she thought the coalition was an important group and that the County should participate in it, adding that the County had a vested interest in exempt wells and how to address that impact. Supervisor Springer said the County could not just rely on the City of Prescott and Town of Prescott Valley to do mitigation because they were only part of the impact. Supervisor Davis noted that mitigation was part of the discussion at last week's joint session with the WAC, and that because Prescott and Prescott Valley would be transporting water from the Big Chino they would be held responsible for impacts to the Verde River, even if someone else came in and started massive pumping. He said that the basin was a water resource for the quad cities as well as for Phoenix and the Verde Valley and that it would require a County-wide approach and that everyone had an interest in it. Supervisor Springer said she agreed. She said that so many things were long-term projects and that this was something that could be worked on right now. She said there were already many studies on the upper Verde and that the coalition could take that information and start working. Chairman Thurman asked about funding. Supervisor Springer said at this point there was no funding involved and that the cities were just doing in-lieu work. Chairman Thurman said that if the VRBP got its act together he saw no reason why the County could not be involved with both groups. He asked where the WAC stood on this issue. Supervisor Springer said the coalition involved just the upper Verde and that the WAC was County-wide. Supervisor Davis noted that the agreement to join and participate in the coalition states that the parties to the agreement agree to contribute funds. He said if amounts are determined he would like to have the funds approved by the Board, including the funding source. Supervisor Davis said that with regard to organization of the coalition, the agreement states that it is limited to the parties to the agreement. He said he would like to have the ability to work with the coalition and asked if the County's participation

could be for the entire County. Supervisor Springer said she believed the proposal was for each party to have one vote. Supervisor Davis said he just wanted to have the ability to interact with the coalition because what happens in the upper Verde is important to everyone.

- A3. Consider approval of a fireworks display permit for the City of Prescott for use at Pioneer Park on July 4, 2006. Public Works Director Phil Bourdon participated in discussion via video conferencing from Prescott, and Sheriff Steve Waugh was present at the meeting and participated in discussion. Approved by a 2-to-1 vote, contingent upon Mr. Hunt's discussions with the City of Prescott regarding insurance. Motion by Supervisor Springer, second by Chairman Thurman. Chairman Thurman and Supervisor Springer voted "yes" and Supervisor Davis voted "no." No comments from the public.

Supervisor Davis said that Sedona was currently on fire and that he was not inclined to approve a fireworks permit because conditions were too dry. Chairman Thurman asked whether a final decision could be put on the Prescott fire chief if the Board approved the permit, and whether the City of Prescott would be entirely responsible if things caught fire. Mr. Hunt said the City of Prescott had named the County as additional insured but that there was \$500,000 retention and that this was a concern. He said there was also a policy for the fireworks company and that it did not name anyone as additional insured. He said if the Board wanted to approve the permit it should make that approval contingent upon his further review with the Prescott city attorney. Chairman Thurman said he was not trying to tell the fire chief that he knew better than the chief with regard to this request. Mr. Hunt said he believed that in the past the Board had granted the permit with the right to rescind it up to the day of the display. Chairman Thurman said the County had a great deal of equipment at Pioneer Park right now and he asked if the County could help the City if something did go wrong. Mr. Bourdon said his department was coordinating with the City to ensure that equipment and personnel would be on hand. In response to a question from Chairman Thurman, Sheriff Waugh said he had signed the permit application only because he was involved in crowd control. He said he thought the display had been cancelled about three years ago. Chairman Thurman said for him it was a liability issue, and that it was not just the City's fireworks but that people came from all over to see the display. Mr. Hunt said the Board could approve the permit under any conditions it wanted if it was comfortable with the basic idea of approving the permit. He said he believed the County's exposure would be a maximum of \$50,000 but that it would be best if the County had no exposure at all. He reiterated that the first decision for the Board was whether or not it felt, from a safety standpoint, that it was safe to have the fireworks display. Supervisor Springer said she was inclined to approve the request because it is a huge economic issue and that as long as the City of Prescott's fire chief was comfortable that there would be adequate resources on hand she was comfortable with approving the permit.

- A4. Consider approval of proposed settlement in NCFE Litigation with Pricewaterhouse Coopers. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Springer, second by Supervisor Davis. No comments from the public.

#### A – HUMAN RESOURCES

- A5. Consider making the appointment of Robert Resendes as the Community Health Services Director, effective August 1, 2006, at an annualized salary of \$100,000. Julie Ayers, Human Resources Director. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Springer. No comments from the public.

#### A – SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT

- A6. Request for support of an Emergency Response and Crisis Management grant application and commitment by the Board to participate in various roles and responsibilities related to the Safety Net Program. Tim Carter, County School Superintendent and Patrick Gorlick, Grant Writer. Approved by unanimous vote on the condition that the Yavapai County Emergency Management Director or his designee represent all three Board members with regard to certain conditions of the grant. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Springer. No comments from the public.

Mr. Carter provided background information on this request, noting that it had grown out of his attendance at a March seminar conducted by Yavapai County Community Health Services regarding pandemics and that he had learned that many schools either did not have emergency response plans or had plans so old that they were virtually unusable. He said the grant would provide \$596,000 for 18 months to support professional development and technical assistance to the County's district schools and charter schools to review and strengthen existing emergency response and crisis management plans or develop new ones. Mr. Carter said he needed the Board's endorsement in order to move forward and that as part of that the Board would need to agree to certain conditions of the grant, which included each Board member assigning an individual to sit on the county school emergency response planning team; to have a representative participate on the planning team beyond the term of the grant to provide for plan maintenance on a continuing basis; to participate in planning team meetings; provide input on school emergency response

plans content and compatibility with the County emergency plan; to participate in training as appropriate; to participate in training exercises and exercise evaluations as appropriate; and to participate in grant program evaluation. Supervisor Davis said he had no problem with the request as long as the County's Emergency Management Coordinator, Nick Angiolillo, or Mr. Angiolillo's designee, could be appointed to represent all three Supervisors. Mr. Carter said he did not think that would be a problem.

-

CLAIMS AGAINST YAVAPAI COUNTY

-

<u>ACCOUNT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ACCOUNT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
General Fund	2,071,993.15	Jail District	606,784.54
District 2 Park fund	3,364.16	District 3 Park Fund	331.25
Yavapai County Trails	2,540.00	WMD Planner	2,727.10
Improvement of Ed	6,664.21	Enhance Ed. Thru Tech	3,541.40
Reading Special H&S	1,815.74	Adult Prob Fees O. 40	1,255.93
Dewey Humboldt Agree	7,633.08	Public Health Reserve	9,214.75
Environ. Health	14,024.08	Susan Komen Breast H.	534.38
Medical Reserve Corps	1,983.09	Resep Rad. Exposure	480.49
Comm. Health Center	15,577.75	AMPPHI	1,496.47
Family Planning	5,818.61	Breast Feed Counselor	2,509.70
Physical Activity	2,855.84	Cost Allocation	1,291.17
Nutrition	621.29	WIC Program	16,737.12
Title X Fam. Planning	1,750.76	Jail Enhancement	2,502.76
Juv. Del. Reduction	12,832.64	Juvenile IPS	28,942.23
Family Counseling	1,720.00	Juvenile Food Program	2,281.38
Community Ad. Board	140.90	Probation Service	5,792.77
Adult IPS	37,952.54	Adult Probation Fees	13,174.69
Teen Pregnancy Prv.	50.00	Pro. Enhancement	47,631.36
Recorders Surcharge	40,204.74	Indigent DEF/DG	4,170.31
MISC Small Grants	3,178.79	Criminal Just. Attorney	5,395.50
Bad Check Program	2,672.50	CDBG Grant	30,842.92
Juvenile Prob. Services	2,208.94	Commodity Food	277.57
HI Risk Child Health	6,553.35	Clerks Storage	1,452.50
HIV Counsel & Test.	356.91	ATTY Anti-Racketeering	19,522.39
PANT	9,710.62	Law Library	1,178.00
CASA	5,863.24	Case Processing	6,399.03
Prim. Care V.V.	8,717.93	Victim Wit. Program	9,881.71
Court Enhancement	399.70	Council Court	2,170.00
Enhancement Drug Ct.	3,387.87	Inmate Health Services	1,162.08
Drug Enforce. Funding	3,437.94	Probate Fund	910.57
Prim. Care Services	14,052.40	PC Fees V.V.	340.88
Local ADR	496.37	FTG Indigent Defense	4,527.14
Victims Rights IMPL	3,318.11	JAIBG Juv. Acct. Ph. 8	1,861.92
Yavapai Indian Agree.	2,179.57	Dietetic Intern	312.07
Immunization Service	2,344.66	Personal Care Service	4,800.50
Idea Preschool	1,370.21	Public Defend. Training	3,701.66
Substance Abuse Dare	334.09	Chemical Abuse	445.41
Family Drug Court	2,715.78	JUV DET/PACE	12,039.95
Coll. Comp. RE GR	1,067.95	Special Program	18,565.41
Small Schools Behavior	15,916.73	Mobile Command Ctr	2,950.00
Fill The Gap-Courts	8,067.35	HURF Road Fund	535,442.38
Assessor Surcharge	10,994.78	Assessor APP DEV.	37.00
Health Fund	66,752.99	Jail Commissary	12,291.57
FEMA	45,773.49	Solid Waste	26,814.16
Judge Pro Tem Div. B	9,623.71	Water Ad. Committee	3,179.01
Tire Recycle	19,367.93	Safe School Program	6,247.61
Service Coordinator	800.69	Local Incentive Awards	1,024.42
War Memorial Trust	21.79	EII Consort. 2003 NCLB	998.79
Fill Gap-Co. Attorney	6,002.69	Family Law Comm.	8,974.13
Community Punish. Pro.	4,142.25	Juv. Detention Ed. Pro.	6,479.35

Regional Road Project	18,295.91	Library Auto. Consor.	525.03
Health Start	3,221.48	Victims Comp. ACJC	2,372.74
Victims Comp. VOCA	12,189.38	Interstate Com. Prog.	2,056.51
Ryan White II	3,272.04	Preparedness Bioterror	12,160.17
Primary Care Fees	24,369.66	Perinatal Block	2,496.64
Well Woman Hlth Check	4,478.99	Tobacco Education	14,744.34
Victim Assistance ACJC	1,173.24	St. Implement. Grant	76.50
School Resource-Mayer	1,029.75	St. Grant IN Aid	1,798.20
AZ Region. Supp. Ctr.	2,016.09	Drug Treat. ED Fund	2,486.33
Mental Health RWJF	1,692.88	Mental Health Partners	1,692.75
Field Trainer	2,495.98	Attendant Care	22,893.03
HIV WYGC	243.12	Children's Justice	1,876.66
Child Sup. & Vis.	902.84	Education & Mediation	615.93
Juv. Delq. Case. Pro.	126.58	Self Service	11.31
VOCA	10,030.68	JTSF Treatment	9,017.70
Diversion Consequence	1,201.85	Tobacco Donation Fund	145.78
Prescott East Operating	66.18	Flood Control	53,240.73
Library District	23,948.12	Inmate Food	1,931.00
Court Imp. Project	1,399.16	ALTCS	2,134,713.58

In addition, payroll was issued on June 9, 2006, for the pay period ending June 3, 2006; warrant numbers 2462038 through 2462355, in the amount of \$307,499.47. Jury certificates issued during this time;6876641 through 6876962. Warrants issued for June 19, 2006: Board day, 4266151 through 4266600; 4266601; through 4267066.

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned.

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_Clerk \_\_\_\_\_Chairman