

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES WITH SUPPLEMENTAL TRANSCRIPT  
(Where a supplemental transcript is available, it is printed in bold type)

OFFICE OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA

Prescott, Arizona

July 5, 2006

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session on July 5, 2006, in Prescott, Arizona, at 2:00 p.m., this session having been originally scheduled for July 3, 2006, and postponed to this day.

Present: Thomas Thurman, Chairman; Chip Davis, Vice Chairman; Carol Springer, Member; Bev Staddon, Clerk.

Also present: Jim Holst, County Administrator; Dave Hunt, Board Attorney/Assistant County Administrator.

Clerk's note: A copy of these minutes with a supplemental transcript is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and is also available on the County website.

CONSENT AGENDA With the exception of items C1., C6A., and C11, all items were approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Springer. No comments from the public.

C – BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

- C1. Minutes of meeting of June 19, 2006, and of special meetings of June 14 and 21, 2006. Approved by unanimous vote, with the minutes of June 14 being amended and the minutes of June 19 and 21 being approved as written. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Springer.
- C2. Liquor license for which there are no protests, Series 10 Original, Yarnell Trading Post, Yarnell area, Linda Sue Bennett.
- C3. Set hearing for August 7, 2006, at 10:00 a.m. to consider implementation of non-refundable filing fees for bidding on tax sale properties that have been deeded to the State of Arizona, with such fees to be in the amount of \$20 for the first parcel and \$5 for each additional parcel when more than one parcel is bid by the same person at the same time.
- C4. Agreement with Shepard Wesnitzer, Inc. for engineering services for a fixed fee of \$26,980, to be paid with Community Development Block Grant funds for parking lot, helipad and drainage improvements at Yarnell Fire Department.
- C5. Intergovernmental agreement with the Town of Dewey-Humboldt for magistrate court for the 2006-2007 fiscal year.
- C5A. Consider approval of a resolution urging the Arizona Department of Transportation to proceed with design Option A with modifications for the Cordes Junction Interchange on Interstate 17. Resolution No. 1613.
- C6. Approve vouchers.
- C6A. Consider appointing Supervisor Carol Springer as the County representative to the Upper Verde River Watershed Protection Coalition, and appoint Chairman Thomas Thurman as alternate representative. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Springer.

Supervisor Davis said that at the last regular Board meeting he had expressed the hope that County representation on this organization could be kept open so that he could attend meetings. He said that as the Verde River Basin Partnership was forming there was a request to have the Water Advisory Committee run the Partnership and he wondered why it would not be the same application for the Coalition. Supervisor Springer said that the Coalition was structured in such a way that only one representative was needed from the County. She said that the purpose of the Coalition was to protect the headwaters of the Verde River, and that the group would be doing a number of projects that would cost money and that a vote would be necessary in that regard. Supervisor Davis asked why the Coalition would not consider the cities and towns in the Verde Valley to have the same interest in the headwaters of the Verde River. Supervisor Springer said that the Coalition was specifically a group from the upper Verde watershed and that the VRBP included both the upper Verde and the middle Verde. Supervisor Davis asked whether the cities and towns in the Verde Valley would have the same interest in issues related to the upper Verde as the cities and towns in the Prescott area. Supervisor Springer said she supposed they would. Supervisor Davis said he was concerned that this approach might be more divisive as opposed to solving problems. Supervisor Springer said that the Coalition's meetings would be public and that anyone could attend. Chairman Thurman said he saw no reason why all three Supervisors could not attend the meetings, adding that any request for County funding would have to be approved by the Board anyhow.

-  
C – COMMUNITY HEALTH SERVICES

- C7. Pursuant to A.R.S. §11-644, re-issue voided payroll check to Community Health Services employee Teresa J. Mitchell.

-  
C – DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

- C8. Consider reappointing the following members to the Board of Adjustment and Appeals, each with terms to expire July 14,

2010: Len Parmet, District 1; Bob Billings, District 2; Ed Jackson, District 3.

C – FLOOD CONTROL The Board of Supervisors resolved into the Board of Directors of the Yavapai County Flood Control District and after consideration of the items listed below reconvened as the Board of Supervisors. Reference: Flood Control District minutes.

- C9. Permission for Project Manager Ron Bell to take a County vehicle out of state in conjunction with attendance at the Storm Con Conference in Denver, Colorado, July 24-27, 2006.
- C10. Change Order #1 to Professional Services Contract with JE Fuller/Hydrology & Geomorphology, Inc., for Corps of Engineers Section 404 Pre Application Meeting and Related Work for a Bank Protection Project on Wet Beaver Creek immediately upstream of the Montezuma Avenue Bridge, and authorize Development Services Director to sign the Change Order. No additional cost, change order is only to extend contract completion date by 90 days.

## BOARD OF DIRECTORS

### YAVAPAI COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT

#### Minutes of Meeting

July 5, 2006

The Board of Supervisors resolved into the Board of Directors of the Yavapai County Flood Control District.

Present: Thomas Thurman, Chairman; Chip Davis, Vice Chairman; Carol Springer, Member; Bev Staddon, Clerk.

Also present: Ken Spedding, Development Services Director.

Upon a motion by Director Davis, seconded by Director Springer, the Board voted unanimously to approve the following items appearing on the consent agenda for this day:

- 1. Permission for Project Manager Ron Bell to take a County vehicle out of state in conjunction with attendance at the Storm Con Conference in Denver, Colorado, July 24-27, 2006.
- 2. Change Order #1 to Professional Services Contract with JE Fuller/Hydrology & Geomorphology, Inc., for Corps of Engineers Section 404 Pre Application Meeting and Related Work for a Bank Protection Project on Wet Beaver Creek immediately upstream of the Montezuma Avenue Bridge, and authorize Development Services Director to sign the Change Order. No additional cost, change order is only to extend contract completion date by 90 days.

Upon a motion by Director Davis, seconded by Director Springer, the Board voted unanimously to give tentative approval to the District's 2006-2007 fiscal year budget. There were no comments from the public.

The Board conducted a courtesy hearing on the Yavapai County Flood Control District Ordinance 2006-1 Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance with proposed amendments, noting that action would be requested at the hearing scheduled for July 17, 2006. Mr. Spedding said the Ordinance would not increase requirements a great deal but instead would provide clarification on existing requirements. There were no questions from the Board or the public.

## C – HUMAN RESOURCES

- C11. Consider appointing Richard Hartom to the Employee Merit Commission Board to complete the term vacated by Judith Rugo, with term to expire March 17, 2008. Human Resources Director Julie Ayers participated in discussion of this item. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Springer.

## C – JUVENILE PROBATION

- C12. Intergovernmental agreement between Yavapai College and the Yavapai County Juvenile Court for the YouthStep 2006 summer program, in the amount of \$92,210 to be paid from State Treatment Funds.

## C – PUBLIC WORKS

- C13. Award or reject bid received for Guardrail Repair and Installation in Yavapai County, AZ, Contract #2616526. Bid opened June 13, 2006, with sole bid received from Arizona Highway Safety Specialists, Inc. Recommend awarding to sole bidder at various unit prices. To be paid from HURF, Road Materials.
- C14. Change Order #1 to Authorization of Services #2515191 with EcoPlan Associates, Inc. for State Route 89/89A Traffic Interchange Environmental Analysis Update in the decreased amount of \$115.90. Regional Road Fund.
- C14A. Consider establishing free public slash dumping at the Camp Verde transfer station until July 30, 2006, during normal

operation hours, to be paid for from Community Clean-Up fund (District 3).

C – SHERIFF

- C15. Agreement with the Verde Valley Humane Society for animal shelter services for the 2006-2007 fiscal year.
- C16. Permission for two detectives and one sergeant to take a County vehicle out of state in conjunction with attendance at the “Child Abuse & Child Exploitation on the Internet” training, Las Vegas, Nevada, July 18-21, 2006.
- C17. Permission for Lt. Jason Miner to take a County vehicle out of state in conjunction with attendance at the Public Safety Expo, Riverside, California, July 7-8, 2006.

ACTION ITEMS

A – BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

- A1. Tentative approval of the County Primary and Secondary Budgets for the 2006-2007 fiscal year. Jim Holst, County Administrator. Management Analyst Allison Dixon participated in presentation of this item. Approved by unanimous vote, upon a motion by Supervisor Davis, seconded by Supervisor Springer. No comments from the public.

NOTICE TO TAXPAYERS

The Yavapai County Board of Supervisors will, at its regular meeting on August 7, 2006, at 10:00 a.m., in the Board of Supervisors’ Hearing Room, First Floor, Yavapai County Administrative Services Building, 1015 Fair Street, Prescott, Arizona, hold a final budget hearing at which any taxpayers may appear and be heard in favor of or against the proposed tax levies for the 2006-2007 fiscal year. The tentative budget for Yavapai County is as follows, with detailed department and special district budgets available at the Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, Room 310, 1015 Fair Street, Prescott, Arizona, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.

(Schedules A-E are attached to these minutes)

Following the conclusion of the final budget hearing on August 7, 2006, the Board will meet at 9:00 a.m. on August 21, 2006, or on or before the third Monday in August to fix, levy and assess the amount to be raised by direct taxation on each \$100 of Primary and Secondary taxable property for County purposes, and to add thereto the amount levied for state purposes.

/s/ Bev Staddon  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Ms. Dixon briefly reviewed Schedule A of the budget. Chairman Thurman said that many governmental entities were screaming that they did not have enough money and that he was very proud of Yavapai County because it had always seemed to stay below what it said it would with regard to the budget. Supervisor Springer said she thought the public might be interested in knowing that the County’s General Fund budget for 2006-2007 was 12% higher than the previous year and that this was more than the rate of growth and the inflation factor. She said that the reason was that it included items such as employee-related expenses (EREs), which the Board has no control over. Supervisor Springer asked Ms. Dixon if she had calculated what percentage of the General went for EREs, saying she would think it would be about 2% or 3% of the increase in the budget. Ms. Dixon said that the health insurance benefit alone was about 1% of the General Fund and that the increase in the State Retirement contribution added another million dollars. She noted that there was also a large set-aside in the General Fund for technology. Mr. Holst said other reasons for the 12% increase in the General Fund budget were the contribution to ALTCS and an increase in the Contingency account. Supervisor Springer said she believed that as the fall election grows closer there would be discussions about efforts to limit the expenditure ability of counties and that it would help if the public understood that many of the County’s budget increases were for items over which the Board has no control. She added that she believed the County’s 2006-2007 budget was pretty conservative. Supervisor Davis said he hoped that the public would understand that things like the ALTCS contribution were an example of what the Board must deal with when it comes to the state, and that it was a challenge.

- A2. Resolve into the Boards of Directors of the following special and improvement districts for the purpose of giving tentative approval to their 2006-2007 fiscal year budgets. Jim Holst, County Administrator. Reference: Special District minutes.  
**Clerk’s note: Sitting as the Boards of Directors for each of the districts listed below, the Board voted unanimously to give tentative approval to each district budget. Motion by Director Davis, second by Director Springer. No comments from the public.**
  - a. Yavapai County Flood Control District.

- b. Yavapai County Free Library District.
- c. Yavapai County Jail District.
- d. Ash Fork Street Lighting Improvement District.
- e. Seligman Street Lighting Improvement District.
- f. Yarnell Street Lighting Improvement District.
- g. Granite Gardens Sanitary District.
- h. Prescott East Sanitary District.
- i. Seligman Sanitary District.
- j. Coyote Springs Road Improvement District II.
- k. Pine Valley Street Improvement District.
- l. Poquito Valley Road Improvement District.

- A3. Reconvene as Board of Supervisors. Appeal of Hearing Officer's decision, Keith McKinney, Case No. HO6232, parcel 107-02-079H, Mountain Club area near Prescott. Participating in discussion of this item were Development Services staff members Boyce MacDonald, Geoff Meek and Suzanne Ehrlich, all of whom answered questions from the Board regarding the violation on Mr. McKinney's property; and appellant Mr. McKinney, who presented his position. Supervisor Davis moved to uphold the Hearing Officer's decision. Supervisor Springer seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

Chairman Thurman read the rules for conducting a review of Hearing Officer decisions and called on Mr. MacDonald for a statement. Mr. MacDonald said he had read the materials related to the case and that staff wished to recommend that the Board uphold the Hearing Officer's decision. In response to questions from Supervisor Davis, Mr. MacDonald said that although he had not been in attendance at the hearing he had reviewed the transcript of the hearing and that he had been on Mr. McKinney's property and that there was an illegal septic system on the property. Supervisor Davis said the bottom line was that cesspools are not allowed in Arizona. Chairman Thurman added that the Board had no power to over-rule the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality. Supervisor Springer said she was a little confused by some of the testimony in that Mr. McKinney felt it was proven after the hearing that there was not a cesspool but instead two separate septic tanks. Mr. MacDonald said he had read the appeal memorandum submitted by Mr. McKinney and that he had also visited Mr. McKinney's property and that Mr. McKinney did not know whether he had a cesspool or septic tanks. He said it was determined at the hearing that there was an inadequate septic system on the site and that the Hearing Officer had ruled that Mr. McKinney could either vacate the house or come into compliance. Supervisor Davis asked if this case had come about because of water on the surface. Mr. MacDonald said yes, and also from complaints from neighbors. Mr. McKinney said the ruling would have ramifications for people living in the Mountain Club and that it would be expensive. He said that his neighbor had been trying to tear down his (Mr. McKinney's) property for 14 years, and that several neighbors were trying to do that and that this was where the complaint had come from. Mr. McKinney said the County's process has fewer rights than a traffic ticket and that he was given a 36-page report on the day of the hearing even though he had requested a copy of it sooner. He said he did not have a cesspool, and that if he did have one and it was not leaking then there was no problem. Mr. McKinney said the Hearing Officer had not allowed him to present his case and asked that the Board either revise the Hearing Officer's order or send the case back to the Hearing Officer for further consideration. In response to a question from Chairman Thurman, Mr. Meek said that in order to find out if the tank had a bottom it would be necessary to pump it and that it was his understanding that one of the tanks was under the house and the other was under the porch. Chairman Thurman asked whether this might be a grandfathered situation. Mr. Meek said there was no grandfathering for cesspools. There was brief discussion about testimony from ADEQ, during which Supervisor Springer said it was not clear from the testimony whether there was a cesspool or where the water was coming from. Mr. MacDonald said that whether there was a cesspool or not, the system on the property was an inadequate system. Ms. Ehrlich said when she visited the property last week Mr. McKinney had shown her the two tanks that are holding sewage. She said that the way the tanks were constructed and installed it was impossible to determine the interior construction of the tanks and that Mr. McKinney was not clear about exits to the tanks or where disposal fields might be. Supervisor Davis asked if there was a permit for the deck. Mr. MacDonald said that over the years the house had grown without benefit of permits. Supervisor Davis asked whether mortgage companies require that tanks be pumped as part of the sale of a property. Ms. Ehrlich said that although it was a common practice it was not required and that by no means did all mortgage companies require it. Chairman Thurman said he could not imagine what was put in for septic systems for homes 70 years ago and that some of them were still working. Ms. Ehrlich said staff did not assume that such a system was working but instead that sewage was not coming to the surface. Supervisor Springer asked if there was evidence of leach lines. Ms. Ehrlich said there was nothing that she could see. Supervisor Davis said the County had no ability to override state requirements with regard to septic systems. He said staff had convinced him that there was

an illegal system and that the Board could not just turn its back to it. He said that staff had not gone looking for this problem but instead had responded to complaints. Supervisor Davis said he had gone through the transcript of the hearing and that he believed a fair hearing had been conducted. There was brief discussion about whether to give Mr. McKinney 30 days to have a septic company go and look at his system, during which Mr. Hunt reminded the Board that this matter was to be on the record and that if the Board wanted to allow time for additional information it would be more appropriate to remand the case to the Hearing Officer. Mr. MacDonald told the Board that staff was looking at the inadequacy of the septic system, regardless of whether it was a cesspool or some other kind of system. He said if the case was remanded to the Hearing Officer what might be considered is requiring Mr. McKinney to vacate the property if the system is found to be a cesspool or determining there is a leach field if the system is some other kind of septic system. Supervisor Springer said she was concerned about the fact that the subject property was located above a new cut and that even if there was a septic system with a leach field water would run down into the cut on the neighbor's property below. Chairman Thurman said the problem in the Mountain Club was that there was a great deal of rock. He said it was a bad area and that 20 years ago property owners were given the chance to go on sewers and they did not do it. He said that Mr. McKinney's system would have to be a septic system with leach lines that can be repaired. Supervisor Springer said that it would have been helpful to have had an official report from ADEQ. She said that for more than 30 years the Mountain Club had been a problem and that the problem would just continue to get worse. She said that Mr. McKinney was advised when he purchased the property that there was a septic problem. Supervisor Springer said she thought the Board might need to look at a policy to the effect that if someone purchases property in the Mountain Club it may be necessary to upgrade the septic system. Mr. McKinney said he would like to be able to fix his problem.

A – HUMAN RESOURCES

- A4. Consider authorizing a recruitment process for the Long Term Care Director position. Julie Ayers, Human Resources Director. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Springer. No comments from the public.
- A5. Consider appointing Shari Tomlinson as Public Fiduciary, to be effective July 20, 2006. Julie Ayers, Human Resources Director. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Springer. No comments from the public. Ms. Ayers introduced Ms. Tomlinson to the Board.

A – PUBLIC WORKS

- A6. Consider approving a right-of-way project on Phyllis Street, Black Canyon City area. Phil Bourdon, Public Works Director. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Springer. No comments from the public.

HEARINGS

H – DEVELOPMENT SERVICES Planning & Zoning Commission member Gene Kerkman was present to represent the Commission.

- H1. Use permit for six full hook-up RV pads in RCU-2A zoning district, 201-19-016J, Congress area, Ernest and Deborah Leschner, #H6037. Elise Link, Planning Manager. The Planning & Zoning Commission recommended denial of this application. Area resident Tom Hunter expressed opposition to the application because the area is zoned residential and because he felt the use was an eyesore. Supervisor Springer moved to approve the use permit with the following stipulations: (1) Use permit to be granted on a 10-year non-transferable basis with staff review after five years, based on letter of intent dated 5/16/2006, the site plan dated 4/20/2006 and Draft RV Camp Rules dated 4/20/2006. Maximum number of RV spaces to be limited to five. Certificate of Compliance to be issued after one year of approval by the Board of Supervisors. RV Spaces to be used by non-paying guests only not to exceed a maximum of 90 days per unit per year; (2) development shall conform to all other applicable local, state and federal regulations; (3) RVs shall not be affixed to the site in a permanent manner. The construction of building additions, carports, storage sheds, etc., shall be prohibited on all RV spaces; (4) the storage of RVs is prohibited on the property; (5) applicant shall apply for appropriate permits within 30 days of approval by the Board of Supervisors with the Permitting and Environmental Unit Departments; (6) no splitting of parcel while use permit is in effect; (7) no commercial or sales activity allowed on the property; (8) wavier of Section 555.E.2 of the Yavapai County Zoning Ordinance regarding the size of the trailer space in the Travel Trailer Camp Standards. Supervisor Davis seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

Ms. Link provided the Board with background information on this application, noting that the subject property was surrounded by RCU-2A zoning and that the applicant was requesting a use permit to have six RV pads on an 11.89-acre property for use on a year-round basis. She said there were no concerns expressed by reviewing agencies but that opposition from adjacent properties was sufficient to require a unanimous vote of the Board in order to approve the application. Ms. Link said that a septic permit for five

RV pads had been issued to the applicant by staff. Ms. Leschner said that in May of 2005 she had gone to Development Services and told them she wanted to put in five full hook-up spaces for friends and family. She said she had done what staff had told her to do, which included putting in a septic system, but that as it turned out staff had made a mistake because they thought her request was related to the Lost Dutchman Mining Association (LDMA). Ms. Leschner reviewed concerns she believed had been raised, including lighting, the size of her RV spaces, and the idea that her RV spaces would become like LDMA with a trash dump and so on. She told the Board that she was charging for the use of her spaces but only because friends who could afford to help offset the cost wanted to do so, and that there were family members who paid nothing. Chairman Thurman asked if LDMA had supported or opposed the application. Ms. Link said it had done neither and that instead it had submitted a letter saying that people who were not staying at LDMA could not use LDMA facilities. She added that LDMA was approved for 200 RV spaces and some tent areas, but that stays at that campground were limited to six months. Ms. Link said she did not think the concerns that Ms. Leschner had listed were really at issue and that the five Commission members who had recommended denial of the application were concerned about the land use pattern in the area and did not want to go down that path. She noted that LDMA was approved in 1997 and that since that time the area had been growing as a residential area. Supervisor Davis asked if it was accurate that County staff had encouraged the applicant to build five RV pads. Ms. Link said that was hearsay from what she knew, and that some staff had said they were informed it was just for the septic system and that they did not know what the proposed use of the property was. Supervisor Davis said it appeared to be a case of miscommunication and that the applicant could have assumed that because she obtained a septic permit everything was fine. Supervisor Springer asked how many campers a person could have if they just had a house. Ms. Link said a person could have non-paying guests for up to 90 days. Supervisor Springer asked if the applicant wanted to use the RV pads commercially to handle overflow from LDMA. Ms. Leschner said no. Supervisor Springer said the difference seemed to be between letting family and friends park for free for charging them. In response to a question from Chairman Thurman as to the Commission's view of the application, Mr. Kerkman said he thought those who were opposed to it felt it would encourage the owners of other large properties to do the same kind of thing and that he saw it as something it might not be possible to stop. In response to a question from Supervisor Springer, Mr. Kerkman said the Commission had not discussed the possibility of requiring the applicant to remove the pads. Ms. Leschner said it was not her intention to have anyone pay to stay and that the pay issue was not a problem. She said if the Board wanted to restrict guests from paying that was fine, and that although she had requested a sixth pad she had no problem with having only five pads. She said this was not a commercial venture but instead just a way to have family and friends visit. Supervisor Springer referred to testimony from the neighbors and said she would hate to see the area littered with tents and multiple vehicles but that she did not know how the County could keep RVs from being on the property. She said the best idea might be to do what the applicant had suggested and restrict the number of pads to five for family use only at no charge, and that any violations would be investigated based on complaints. She said she liked to support the decisions of the Commission, but that she had also seen some conflicts in the Commission's discussion of this application.

H2. Zoning map change from PM (Performance Industrial) zoning district to C2 (Commercial; General Sales and Services) zoning district for approximately 1.97 acres; from PM (Performance Industrial) zoning district to R1L-35 (Residential; Single Family Limited; 35,000 sq. ft. minimum) zoning district for approximately 1.24 acres; from PM (Performance Industrial), RCU-2A (Residential; Rural; 2-acre minimum) & R1-25 (Residential; Single Family; 25,000 sq. ft. minimum) zoning districts to C1 (Commercial; Neighborhood Sales and Services) zoning district for approximately 2.61 acres; from R1-25 (Residential; Single Family; 25,000 sq. ft. minimum) zoning district to R1L-35 (Residential; Single Family Limited; 35,000 sq. ft. minimum) zoning district for approximately .63 acres; or change the entire parcel to Planned Area Development (PAD) consisting of a total of 6.45 acres; 203-01-001A, 002, 003 and 203-06-002 and 001, Yarnell area, Robert Nuth, #H6063. Elise Link, Planning Manager. Supervisor Springer moved to approve the application as recommended by the Commission with the following stipulations: (1) Approval of the zoning map change as depicted on the map dated June 22, 2006, with a Density District of 35; (2) change of use permit and/or pre-code review meeting to be required prior to new occupancy or issuance of building permits; (3) any future development of the commercially zoned portion of the property will require a final site plan for staff review and approval prior to issuance of building permits or occupancy; (4) property to be developed in accordance with all applicable codes, regulations and ordinances; (5) all signage to comply with C1 zoning district requirements; (6) legal description of the three parcels to be recorded within 90 days of Board approval; (7) building permits/change of use for the first phase as identified in letter of intent from Shawn and Lori Bomar dated June 15, 2006, to be applied for within two years of Board approval or rezoning will become null and void. Supervisor Davis seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous vote.

H – FLOOD CONTROL The Board of Supervisors resolved into the Board of Directors of the Yavapai County Flood Control District

and after consideration of the item listed below reconvened as the Board of Supervisors.

- H3. Yavapai County Flood Control District Ordinance 2006-1 Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance with proposed amendments. Courtesy hearing only, with action requested at the hearing scheduled for July 17, 2006. Ken Spedding, Development Services Director. Reference: Special District minutes.

## BOARD OF DIRECTORS

### YAVAPAI COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT

#### Minutes of Meeting

July 5, 2006

The Board of Supervisors resolved into the Board of Directors of the Yavapai County Flood Control District.

Present: Thomas Thurman, Chairman; Chip Davis, Vice Chairman; Carol Springer, Member; Bev Staddon, Clerk.

Also present: Ken Spedding, Development Services Director.

Upon a motion by Director Davis, seconded by Director Springer, the Board voted unanimously to approve the following items appearing on the consent agenda for this day:

1. Permission for Project Manager Ron Bell to take a County vehicle out of state in conjunction with attendance at the Storm Con Conference in Denver, Colorado, July 24-27, 2006.
2. Change Order #1 to Professional Services Contract with JE Fuller/Hydrology & Geomorphology, Inc., for Corps of Engineers Section 404 Pre Application Meeting and Related Work for a Bank Protection Project on Wet Beaver Creek immediately upstream of the Montezuma Avenue Bridge, and authorize Development Services Director to sign the Change Order. No additional cost, change order is only to extend contract completion date by 90 days.

Upon a motion by Director Davis, seconded by Director Springer, the Board voted unanimously to give tentative approval to the District's 2006-2007 fiscal year budget. There were no comments from the public.

The Board conducted a courtesy hearing on the Yavapai County Flood Control District Ordinance 2006-1 Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance with proposed amendments, noting that action would be requested at the hearing scheduled for July 17, 2006. Mr. Spedding said the Ordinance would not increase requirements a great deal but instead would provide clarification on existing requirements. There were no questions from the Board or the public.

#### H – PUBLIC WORKS

- H4. Resolution to abandon a portion of an unnamed cul-de-sac off Hill Drive beyond Lots 4 and 5 in Hassayampa Mountain Club Plat 'G', Prescott area. If approved and applicant does not complete abandonment process within 120 days of Board approval, said approval shall be null and void. Phil Bourdon, Public Works Director. Approved by unanimous vote. Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Springer. Resolution No. 1614.

#### STUDY SESSION

#### S – PUBLIC WORKS

- S1. Discussion regarding Central Yavapai Metropolitan Planning Organization's (CYMPO) 2030 Regional Transportation Study. Phil Bourdon, Public Works Director. Patrizia Gonella-Ramos, Senior Associate with Lima & Associates and Project Management for the study presented information regarding this item and answered questions from the Board.

Ms. Gonella-Ramos provided the Board with a PowerPoint presentation, beginning with a review of the process used for the regional transportation study. She provided information about the population in the study area, noting that the population projection for the quad-cities area by the year 2030 was 439,389 with the greatest percentage of population growth expected to occur in the Dewey-Humboldt area at 714.14% and the second greatest percentage of population growth at 642.63% expected to occur in the unincorporated areas. Ms. Ramos then reviewed recommended network improvements which included constructing to six lanes Glassford Hill Road Extension from SR 89A to Outer Loop Road, constructing Side Road and Great Western Blvd., and widening other existing regional roads. She also provided the Board with information regarding recommendations to widen numerous roads to four lanes as well as

recommendations for new two-lane roads identified as Santa Fe Loop, Valley View Extension, and a connection from Perkinsville Road to the Glassford Hill Extension. Ms. Gonella-Ramos also reviewed transit service scenarios and wrapped up her presentation by reviewing the recommendations of the study, which included the following: Adopt and implement the 2030 Regional System; develop a regional land use plan for the CYMPO and surrounding areas; begin the process for preservation of right-of-way for future corridors or roadway improvements; designate all new regional roads as limited and/or controlled access facilities; complete transit study and implement recommendations; and continually evaluate growth assumptions and reassess transportation needs. Following the presentation, there was brief discussion regarding connectivity from White Spar Road to SR 89 and traffic circulation within the city of Prescott, during which Supervisor Davis said he viewed Sheldon, Iron Springs and some other roads as regional roads and that he thought considering those roads was crucial in looking at a future master plan. Ms. Gonella-Ramos pointed out that the CYMPO study was a regional study and that the City of Prescott would need to do its own study with regard to roads within the city limits. Supervisor Springer said she thought the study area needed to be larger because of the potential for tremendous growth in the areas that are currently outside the study area, and that when it is time to update the current study that should be taken into consideration. Ms. Gonella-Ramos said she agreed. Supervisor Davis asked questions about secondary accesses for the Coyote Springs, Viewpoint and Poquito Valley areas; whether there would be land use planning and some kind of preservation for the Big Chino basin; and whether the Yavapai Prescott Tribe had participated in the study. He asked how accurate the last study was compared to current population figures, and he asked how much emphasis would be put on non-motorized traffic. Ms. Gonella-Ramos said the population figures were pretty close compared to the 2018 study and that most jurisdictions already had some sort of trail system in place. She said the study was more concerned with how traffic moves on a regional basis, and that the plan would have to be redone every five years. Supervisor Davis asked if it would be possible to have CYMPO meet with the Verde Valley Transportation Planning Organization once or twice a year in order to discuss their respective regional plans. He said he thought that a unified voice from the entire County would carry more clout when it comes to getting money for roads, and that perhaps the two organizations could agree to a process whereby they could support each others' efforts. Mr. Bourdon said he thought that was a great idea and that perhaps representatives from each organization could just attend each others' meetings.

-

CLAIMS AGAINST YAVAPAI COUNTY

-

<u>ACCOUNT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ACCOUNT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
General Fund	2,323,132.52	Forest Projects	20,000.00
Jail District	385,678.12	District 2 Park Fund	737.38
Local Region. & St Park	1,400.00	WMD Planner	2,727.14
HS 2004	5,208.36	Improve of Education	725.39
Enhance Ed thru Tech	2,504.13	Reading Special. H&S	1,918.04
Math & Science Ptnr	593.55	Adult Prob Fees over 40	1,255.93
Dewey Humboldt Agree	7,033.14	Public Health Reserve	11,229.12
Environmental Health	16,780.20	Susan Komen Breast H	469.04
Medical Reserve Corps	152.00	Resep Radiation Exp.	480.53
Comm. Health Center	18,329.66	AMPPHI	1,571.55
Family Planning	5,570.15	MCH Programs	6,552.16
Breast Feed. Couns.	2,709.40	Physical Activity	2,764.58
Cost Allocation	3,582.13	Nutrition	600.66
TB Control	1,915.69	WIC Program	17,071.33
Title X Family Plann.	4,981.05	Jail Enhancement	2,820.98
Juvenile Delinq. Reduct	15,266.07	Juvenile IPS	34,828.22
Family Counseling	70.00	Juvenile Food Prog	1,883.65
Comm. Advisory Bd	206.30	Probation Serv	5,792.78
Adult IPS	37,935.21	Adult Probation Fees	13,663.66
Teenage Preg. Prevent	1,780.67	Prob Enhance	48,156.14
Recorder's Surcharge	6,956.44	Indigent Def/Dg	2,859.33
Misc Small Grants	333.51	Crim Just/Atty	5,395.50
Bad Check Prog	2,759.11	CDBG Grant	55,728.99
Juv Prob Svs	2,180.47	Commodity Fd	277.58
Sexual Trans Disease	75.00	Hi Risk Chld HI	7,405.56
Clerk's Storage	1,452.50	HIV Counsel & Test	356.96



Atty Anti-Racket	8,245.79	PANT	9,432.76
Law Library	2,882.73	CASA	3,779.16
Case Processing	6,392.78	Prim. Care – V.V.	5,573.63
Victim Witness Prog	9,860.75	Conciliations Court	608.90
Enhance Drug Court	3,921.38	Inmate Health Svs	821.27
Drug Enforce. Fund	3,437.91	Probate Fund	1,069.91
Primary Care Services	14,052.40	PC Fees VV	340.88
Local ADR	1,071.39	FTG Indigent Def.	132.70
Victims Rights Impl	3,372.42	JAIBG Juv Acct P 8	1,876.17
Yavapai Indian Agree	1,830.02	Dietetic Intern	458.86
Immuniz Service	2,334.59	Personal Care Svs	4,767.82
Idea-Preschool	617.18	Public Defender Train.	350.01
Subs Abuse/DARE	81.93	Chem Abuse	109.27
Family Drug Court	1,384.77	Juv Det/PACE	2,562.98
Collab. Comp Rev Gr	1,135.54	Special Program	12,264.55
Sm Schools BEHA	6,015.14	Mobile Command Cent.	547.98
FTG Local Court	12,485.61	Hurf Road Funds	748,715.85
Assessor Surcharge	10,758.02	Health Fund	68,585.46
Jail Commissary	16,236.14	FEMA	96,136.59
Solid Waste	67,880.35	Judge Pro Tem Div B	9,628.19
Water Advisory Comm.	3,176.49	Tire Recycle	2,699.85
Safe School Pro	4,645.60	Service Coordinator	797.79
Local Incentive Awards	998.34	EII Consortium 03 NCLB	1,483.66
Fill the Gap – Attorney	4,491.11	Family Law Commiss.	7,942.93
Comm Punish Pro	7,181.64	Juven. Detent Ed Pro	5,856.70
Regnl Road Project	1,002,470.98	Library Auto Consor	95,861.26
Health Start	3,234.88	Victim Compens. VOCA	6,939.12
Interstate Compact Pro	2,056.49	Ryan White II	4,136.58
Prepared. Bioterror	15,633.87	PC Fees W. Yav.	138,923.79
Perinatal Block	2,483.30	Well Woman Health	8,575.33
Tobacco Educ	25,270.42	Victim Assist. ACJC	1,173.24
St Implement Grant	76.52	School Reso. – Mayer	990.17
Az Region. Support	1,589.82	Drug Treat Ed	7,766.33
Mental HealthRWJF	3,173.99	Mental Health Part.	3,180.45
Field Trainer	2,495.96	Attendant Care	22,703.27
HIV WYGC	243.11	Childrens Justice	2,388.43
Child Sup & Vis	856.32	Education & Mediation	615.94
Juv Delq Case Proc.	1,041.17	Court Training	166.07
Self Service	23.82	VOCA	10,030.69
JTSF Treatment	12,676.77	Diversion Conseq.	1,845.68
Tobacco Donation Fund	100.00	ALTCS	536,207.36

In addition, payroll was issued on June 23 for the pay period ending June 17; warrant numbers 2462356 through 2462676, in the amount of \$280,767.20. Jury certificates issued during this time; 6876963 through 6877117. Warrants issued for July 5 Board day, 4267067 through 4267536; 4267537 through 4268047.

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned.

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_ Clerk \_\_\_\_\_ Chairman