

Water Advisory Committee Budget Brief v061207 DRAFT jrr061207

		FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10	FY11	Notes
Fund Balance		Jan 1-Jun30					
12/06 Account Balance							
421,245							Fiscal Year is from July 1 - June 30 (FY 08 starts 6/1/07) Only WAC funds in FY columns For analysis purposes only - not necessarily WAC policy
Income							
Communities		0	226,000	226,000	226,000	226,000	
Interest		5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	
Operating Expenses							
Coordinator Salary & ERE		39,000	78,000	80,000	85,000	89,000	ERE = Employee related expenses (about \$11K)
Supplies, etc		1,500	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	phone, copies, mileage, office supplies, recorder
Workshop attendance funding		500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	WAC only: Workshops for WAC members
Committed Project Funds							
USGS N AZ Flow Model		97,500	32,500				Cost Share: Work in Progress. FY total = \$170K (+adwr \$\$)
Water Festival		2,000		2,000			Cost Share: Verde Valley 2007 (total about \$ 8K)
Persistent Chemicals Proposal		0	0				Cost Share: Probably not funded (WAC = 20K) (\$400K)
USGS Model Scenario Development -Johnson		45,000	15,000				WAC only: Work in progress (30,000 paid as of 4/25/07)
Project WET County wide		13,200	0				Cost Share: separate from festival
Verde Valley Surface Flow Model USGS		21,000	21,000				Cost Share (15,000 USGS): total 57K
Potential Project Funding In Tentative Order of TAC Recommended Priority							
Verde Valley Surface Flow Model (NAU)			50,000	50,000			Cost Share (?):
Data Needs (e.g. data gaps)				30,000	30,000	30,000	e.g. other areas of County, or water budget or model gaps
Reclamation Appraisal Level Supply Study*			100,000	100,000	50,000		50-50 cost share - relevant in kind counts towards cost share
Refined / nested model					50,000	50,000	Continued work with USGS or NAU (or other as appropriate)
Water Festivals					2,000	2,000	Cost Share: out years
Geophysical Well Logging of new wells			20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	cost per well tbd (2,000) (work with ADWR & AZGS)
Project WET County wide			25,000				Cost Share: separate from festival
Regional Conservation Materials			5,000				(i.e. USBR - Prescott Grant: Publishing)
Metering of volunteer domestic wells**			0	0	0	0	cost per well tbd (200) (work with Co-op Extension ?)
Emergent Chemicals (e.g. USGS Carruth)***			0				Cost Share potential
NAU EMA 3/21/07 matrix & outreach							Karan English - reworking proposal
TOTALS							
Projected Expenses		219,700	351,000	286,500	241,500	195,500	
Projected Carry Over		206,545	86,545	31,045	20,545	56,045	

* Under Consideration (Likely that some other projects and staff time will serve as cost share thus reducing this number)

** Cochise County is attempting to do this - let them proceed and see what results they get.

*** Other research relevant to groundwater and surface is ongoing at this time (thus the priority for WAC is lower)

Other Potential Projects: gages, recharge, conservation, drought prep, other watersheds

see TAC list (for other potential items that fell off the priority list)

Note: Other work/activities not reflected in above costs are ongoing (e.g. working with County on conservation, regional management planning)
(e.g. speakers, Ed. activities, coordination with other groups, legislation related)

Calander year 2007
March fund balance report
345,475.53
April fund balance report
327,907.67
May fund balance report
280,530.59

any thought on next years USGS needs?? (model runs??)